Department of Defense Fiscal Year (FY) 2012 Budget Estimates

February 2011



Army

Justification Book Volume 7

Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

UNCLASSIFIED

Army • President's Budget FY 2012 • RDT&E Program

Volume 7 Table of Contents

Introduction and Explanation of Contents	Volume 7 - ii
Comptroller Exhibit R-1	Volume 7 - vi
Master Program Element Table of Contents (by Budget Activity then Line Item Number)	Volume 7 - xv
Master Program Element Table of Contents (Alphabetically by Program Element Title)	Volume 7 - xvii
Master Exhibit R-1	Volume 7 - xix
Exhibit R-2's	Volume 7 - 1

FY 2012 RDT&E, ARMY PROGRAM ELEMENT DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARIES

Introduction and Explanation of Contents

- 1. General. The purpose of this document is to provide summary information concerning the Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army program. The descriptive summaries are comprised of R-2 (Army RDT&E Budget Item Justification program element level), R-2A (Army RDT&E Budget Item Justification project level), R-3 (Army RDT&E Cost Analysis), R-4 (Schedule Profile Detail) and R-5 (Termination Liability Funding for MDAPs) Exhibits, which provide narrative information on all RDT&E program elements and projects through FY 2012.
- 2. Relationship of the FY 2012 Budget Submitted to Congress to the FY 2011 Budget Submitted to Congress. This paragraph provides a list of program elements/projects that are major new starts, restructures, developmental transitions, newly established, terminated or for which funding existed in the FY 11 budget but no longer exists in the FY 12 budget. Explanations for these changes can be found in the narrative sections of the Program Element R-2A Exhibits.

A. New Start Programs:

PE/PROJECT	PE TITLE	PROJECT TITLE
0604115/DS3	Technology Maturation Initiatives	Technology Maturation Initiatives
0203735/DS5	Combat Vehicle Improvement Program	Armored Multi Purpose Vehicle (AMPV)
0604808/434	Close Combat Capabilities Eng Dev	Anti-Personnel Landmine Alternatives
0603820/D20	UAS Modifications/Product Imp Prg	VTOL MODS/PIP
0603807/VS7	Medical Systems Advanced Dev	MEDEVAC Mission Equipment Package
0603817/S52	Soldier Systems – Adv Dev	Soldier Support Equipment – AD
0604270/VS6	EW Development	Integrated Electronic Warfare Sys
0604818/JN1	Army Tac Comm & Cont Hardware	*Joint Network Node (JNN) Testing
	And Software	
0604820/E10	Radar Development	Sentinel
0203726/33C	Advanced Field Artillery Tactical	Improved Position Azimuth Determining
	Data System	System (IPADs)
0303141/VU2	Global Combat Support System	Installation Fixed Base (IFB)
*Progra	ım Re-start	

B. Program Element/Project Restructures:

Old		New
PE/Project	New Project Title	PE/Project
0601104/J22	Network Science and Technology Research Center	0601104/H50
0602787/878	Warfighter Health Prot and Perf Stds	0602787/869
0602787/879	Warfighter Health Prot and Perf Stds	0602787/869
0603005/C66	Tractor Nail	0603130/DS8
0603006/DF7	Tractor Eggs	0603131/DS9
0603308/978	Tractor Jute	0604131/DT1
0604270/L20	Common Missile Warning System (CMWS)	0604270/VU7
	Common Infrared Counter Measure (CIRCM)	0604270/VU8
0604805/589	Army Sys Engineering & Warfighting	0604805/593
0305204/114	RQ-7 Shadow UAV	0305233/RQ7
0305204/D10	RQ-11 Raven (MIP)	0305232/RA7
0604710/L76	Dismounted Fire Support Laser Targeting System	0604710/L79
0604817/482	Ground Combat ID	0604284/VU4
0605605/E97	DOD HELSTF	0605601/F30
0605857/061	Material Sustainment Support AD	0603804/K42
0203759/122	Joint Battle Command – Platform	0604805/593
0203801/DF8	Tractor Barn	0203808/DS1
0203801/DF9	Tractor PUMA	0203808/DS2

C. Developmental Transitions:

Old		New
PE/Project	New Project Title	PE/PROJECT
0603804/L04	Joint Light Tactical Vehicles (JLTV) – SD	0604804/L50
0603827/S49	Ground Soldier Ensemble	0604827/S75

D. Establishment of new FY 2012 Program Elements/Projects. (Does not include any major new starts)

TITLE	PE/PROJECT
Surface Science Research	0601102/VR9
Center for Advanced Research	0601104/VS2
Expeditionary Mobile Base Camp Technology	0602786/VT4
Expeditionary Mobile Base Camp Demonstration	0603001/VT5
Tractor Nails	0603130/DS8

Tractor Eggs	0603131/DS9
*High Performance Computing Modernization Program	0603461/DS7
Tractor Jute	0604131/DT1
Soldier Protective Equipment	0603827/VS4
Combat Service Support Systems – AD	0603804/VR8
Joint Effects Targeting Systems (JETs)	0604710/L79
Combat Service Support Systems	0604804/VR7
TWV Protection Kits	0604622/VR5

*transferred from RDT&E,DW PE 0603755D8Z

E. Program Terminations.

TITLE	PE/PROJECT
Electric Gun Technology	0602618/H75
Aircraft Weapons	0603003/435
BCT Non-Line-of-Sight Launch System	0604646/F72
BCT Reconnaissance (UAV) Platforms	0604662/FC3
Close Combat Capabilities Eng Dev	0604808/016

F. Programs for which funding existed in the FY 11 budget but no longer exists in the FY 12 budget.

PE/PROJECT	TITLE	Brief Explanation
0601104/J22	Network Science & Tech Res	Restructure to 0601104/H50
0602618/H75	Electric Gun Tech	Termination
0602787/878	Hlth Haz Mil Material	Restructure to 0602787/869
0602787/879	Med Fact Enh Sold Eff	Restructure to 0602787/869
0603003/435	Aircraft Weapons	Termination
0603005/C66	DC66	Restructure to 0603130/DS8
0603006/DF7	DF7	Restructure to 0603131/DS9
0603308/978	Space Control	Restructure to 0604131/DT1
0603804/K42	Material Sustainment Support	Transition to Army Supply System
0603804/L04	Jt Light Tact Vehicle (JLTV)-AD	Transition to 0604804/L50
0603827/S49	Ground Soldier System (GSS)	Transition to 0604827/S75
0604270/L20	ATIRCM/CMWS	Restructured to 0604270/VU7 & VU8
0604609/198	Target Defeating System	Completed R&D
0604609/200	Smoke/Obscurant System	Completed R&D
0604622/659	Family of Hvy Tac Veh	Transition to production

0604642/E40	LTV Prototype	Completed R&D
0604646/F72	BCT NLOS Launch Sys	Termination
0604710/L76	Dismounted Fire Support Laser	Restructured to 0604710/L79
0.50.400.4%.4%	Targeting System	
0604804/L47	Improved Environmental Control Unit	Transition to production
0604805/589	Army Sys Engr & Warfighting	Restructured to 0604805/593
0604808/016	Close Combat Capabilities ED	Termination
0604817/482	Ground Combat ID	Restructured to
0605013/087	Distributed Learning System	Transition to production
0604662/FC3	BCT Reconnaissance (UAV)	Termination
	Platforms	
0605605/E97	DOD HELSTF	Restructured to 0605601/F30
0203759/122	Jt Battle Command Platform	Restructured to 0604805/593
0203801/DF8	DF8	Restructured to 0203808/DS1
0203801/DF9	DF9	Restructured to 0203808/DS2
0305204/114	Tactical Unmanned Aerial	Restructured to 0305233/RQ7
	Vehicles (MIP)	
0305204/D10	SUAV (MIP)	Restructured to 0305233/RA7
0305208/D15	MUSE & TES TADSS (MIP)	Completed R&D

- 3. **Classification:** This document contains no classified data Appropriately cleared individuals can obtain further information on Classified/Special Access Programs by contacting the Department of the Army (ASA(ALT)) Special Programs Office.
- 4. **Performance Metrics.** Performance metrics may be found in the Department's Performance Budget Justification Book, dated February 2012.

UNCLASSIFIED Department of the Army FY 2012 RDT&E Program

President's Budget 2012/13

Summary 10-Feb-2011

	Thousands of Dollars					
Summary Recap of Budget Activities	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2012 OCO	FY2012 Total	
Basic research	420,190	406,873	436,920	0	436,920	
Applied Research	1,321,605	841,364	869,332	0	869,332	
Advanced technology development	1,366,194	696,592	976,812	0	976,812	
Advanced Component Development and Prototypes	982,111	804,148	753,084	0	753,084	
System Development and Demonstration	4,285,025	5,035,046	4,190,788	0	4,190,788	
Management support	1,487,815	1,142,383	1,048,671	8,513	1,057,184	
Operational system development	1,843,989	1,553,445	1,403,837	0	1,403,837	
Total RDT&E, Army	11,706,929	10,479,851	9,679,444	8,513	9,687,957	

Page 1 of 9

Exhibit R-1

UNCLASSIFIED Department of the Army FY 2012 RDT&E Program

President's Budget 2012/13

Program Elemen				Thousands of	Dollars		
ine Numbe		t Item	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2012 OCO	FY2012 Tota
	Dooio #	and a state of the					
1 0601101		esearch IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	19,278	21,780	21,064		21,064
2 06011017	_	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	196,921	195,845	213,942		213,94
3 0601102/	_	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	96,409	91,161	80,977		80,97
4 0601104/	_	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS	107,582	98,087	120,937		120,93
	Total:	Basic research	420,190	406,873	436,920	0	436,920
	Applied	Research					
5 0602105	02	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY	88,022	29,882	30,258		30,25
6 0602120	02	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY	82,449	48,929	43,521		43,52
7 0602122	02	? TRACTOR HIP	13,807	14,624	14,230		14,23
8 0602211/	02	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY	44,810	43,476	44,610		44,61
9 0602270	02	P. ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	23,581	17,330	15,790		15,79
10 0602303/	02	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY	69,871	49,525	50,685		50,68
11 0602307	02	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	19,906	18,190	20,034		20,03
12 0602308	02	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION	22,070	20,582	20,933		20,93
13 0602601	02	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY	79,649	64,740	64,306		64,30
14 0602618	02	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY	73,456	60,342	59,214		59,21
15 0602622	02	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY	8,706	5,324	4,877		4,87
16 0602623	02	2 JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	9,001	7,893	8,244		8,24
17 0602624	02	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	140,727	42,645	39,813		39,81
18 0602705	02	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES	134,946	60,859	62,962		62,96
19 0602709	02	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY	48,250	40,228	57,203		57,20
20 0602712/	02	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS	27,892	19,118	20,280		20,28
21 0602716	02	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	30,395	21,042	21,801		21,80
22 0602720	02	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	17,545	18,364	20,837		20,83
23 0602782	02	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	31,691	25,573	26,116		26,1
24 0602783/	02	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY	9,896	6,768	8,591		8,59
25 0602784	02	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	60,536	79,189	80,317		80,31

Page 2 of 9

Fxhibit R-1

10-Feb-2011

UNCLASSIFIED Department of the Army

FY 2012 RDT&E Program

President's Budget 2012/13

Appropriation: 2040 Α RDT&E, Army Program Thousands of Dollars Element Line Number FY2010 FY2011 FY2012 FY2012 OCO FY2012 Total No Act Item 26 0602785A 02 MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY 16.358 22.198 18.946 18.946 27 0602786A 02 WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY 37,040 27,746 29,835 29,835 02 MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY 28 0602787A 96,797 105,929 105,929 231,001 1,321,605 841,364 869,332 0 869,332 Total: Applied Research Advanced technology development 29 0603001A 03 WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 51.596 37.364 52.979 52.979 30 0603002A 03 MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 336.741 71,510 68.171 68.171 31 0603003A 03 AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 104.229 57.454 62.193 62.193 32 0603004A 03 WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 92.638 64,438 77.077 77.077 33 0603005A 03 COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 261.689 89,499 106.145 106.145 34 0603006A 03 COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 12.074 8.102 5.312 5.312 35 0603007A 03 MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 7.220 7.921 10.298 10.298 36 0603008A 03 ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 55.903 50.359 57.963 57.963 37 0603009A 03 TRACTOR HIKE 10.945 8.015 8.155 8,155 38 0603015A 03 NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS 25.895 15,334 17.936 17.936 39 0603020A 03 TRACTOR ROSE 13.997 12.309 12.597 12.597 40 0603105A 03 MILITARY HIV RESEARCH 29.277 6.688 6.796 6.796 41 0603125A 03 COMBATING TERRORISM - TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT 11.366 10,550 12.191 12.191 42 0603130A 03 TRACTOR NAIL 4.278 4.278 43 0603131A 03 TRACTOR EGGS 2.261 2.261 44 0603270A 03 ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY 23.766 18.350 23.677 23.677 45 0603313A 03 MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 83.649 84,553 90.602 90.602 46 0603322A 03 TRACTOR CAGE 11.741 9.986 10.315 10.315 47 0603461A 03 HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM 183,150 183,150 0603606A 03 LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 35.765 26.953 31.541 31.541 49 0603607A 03 JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM 8.683 9.151 7.686 7.686 50 0603710A 03 NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 81.157 39.912 42.414 42.414 51 0603728A 03 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS 16.584 15.878 15.959 15.959 52 0603734A 03 MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY 40.423 27.393 36.516 36.516

Page 3 of 9

UNCLASSIFIED Department of the Army FY 2012 RDT&E Program

President's Budget 2012/13

Progra				Thousands of	Dollars		
ine Numb		t Item	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2012 OCO	FY2012 Tota
53 0603772	2A 03	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE AND SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	50,856	24,873	30,600		30,60
	Total:	Advanced technology development	1,366,194	696,592	976,812	0	976,81
	Advanc	eed Component Development and Prototypes					
54 0603024	4A 04	UNIQUE ITEM IDENTIFICATION (UID)	1,990				
55 060330	5A 04	ARMY MISSLE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	80,079	11,455	36,009		36,00
56 0603308	BA 04	ARMY SPACE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	126,189	27,551	9,612		9,61
57 060332	7A 04	AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	165,515				
58 0603619	9A 04	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER - ADV DEV	29,399	15,596	35,383		35,38
59 060362	7A 04	SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-ADV DEV	5,607	2,425	9,501		9,50
60 0603639	9A 04	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION	33,202	42,183	39,693		39,69
61 060365	3A 04	ADVANCED TANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (ATAS)	96,269	136,302	101,408		101,40
62 060374	7A 04	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY	40,392	76,456	9,747		9,74
63 060376	6A 04	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - ADV DEV	17,023	17,962	5,766		5,76
64 060377	4A 04	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	8,000				
65 0603779	9A 04	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY - DEM/VAL	20,203	4,695	4,946		4,9
66 0603782	2A 04	WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK-TACTICAL - DEM/VAL	164,014	190,903	297,955		297,9
67 0603790	OA 04	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	4,848	5,060	4,765		4,76
68 060380	1A 04	AVIATION - ADV DEV	13,177	8,355	7,107		7,1
69 0603804	4A 04	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ADV DEV	56,153	80,490	19,509		19,50
70 060380	5A 04	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT CONTROL SYSTEM EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS	9,898	14,290	5,258		5,2
71 060380	7A 04	MEDICAL SYSTEMS - ADV DEV	32,851	28,132	34,997		34,9
72 060382	7A 04	SOLDIER SYSTEMS - ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	75,833	48,323	19,598		19,5
73 0603850	OA 04	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE	1,469	970	1,496		1,4
74 060411	5A 04	TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES			10,181		10,1
75 060413	1A 04	TRACTOR JUTE			15,609		15,6
76 060428	4A 04	JOINT COOPERATIVE TARGET IDENTIFICATION - GROUND (JCTI-G) / TECHNOLOG			41,652		41,6
77 030520	5A 04	FINDURANCE UAVS		93,000	42,892		42,89
	Total:	Advanced Component Development and Prototypes	982,111	804,148	753,084	0	753,08

UNCLASSIFIED Department of the Army FY 2012 RDT&E Program

President's Budget 2012/13

Appropriation: 2040 A RDT&E, Army 10-Feb-2011

	Program Element			Thousands of	Dollars	
Line No	Number	Act Item	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012 FY20	012 OCO FY2012 Tota
	Sy	stem Development and Demonstration				
78	0604201A	05 AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	76,491	89,210	144,687	144,687
79	0604220A	05 ARMED, DEPLOYABLE HELOS	61,643	72,550	166,132	166,132
80	0604270A	05 ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	168,496	177,669	101,265	101,265
81	0604280A	05 JOINT TACTICAL RADIO		784		
82	0604321A	05 ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM	12,562	30,674	17,412	17,412
83	0604328A	05 TRACTOR CAGE	20,564	23,194	26,577	26,577
84	0604601A	05 INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS	64,930	80,337	73,728	73,728
85	0604604A	05 MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES	5,460	3,710	3,961	3,96
86	0604609A	05 SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS - ENG DEV	939	5,335		
87	0604611A	05 JAVELIN		9,999	17,340	17,340
88	0604622A	05 FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES	8,072	3,519	5,478	5,478
89	0604633A	05 AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	8,453	9,892	22,922	22,92
90	0604642A	05 LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES	1,140	1,990		
91	0604646A	05 NON-LINE OF SIGHT LAUNCH SYSTEM	88,205	81,247		
92	0604660A	05 FCS MANNED GRD VEHICLES & COMMON GRD VEHICLE	231,103			
93	0604661A	05 FCS SYSTEMS OF SYSTEMS ENGR & PROGRAM MGMT	847,011	568,711	383,872	383,87
94	0604662A	05 FCS RECONNAISSANCE (UAV) PLATFORMS	92,444	50,304		
95	0604663A	05 FCS UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLES	122,418	249,948	143,840	143,840
96	0604664A	05 FCS UNATTENDED GROUND SENSORS	39,664	7,515	499	49
97	0604665A	05 FCS SUSTAINMENT & TRAINING R&D	685,524	610,389		
98	0604710A	05 NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS - ENG DEV	56,992	52,549	59,265	59,265
99	0604713A	05 COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT	2,010	2,118	2,075	2,07
100	0604715A	05 NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES - ENG DEV	29,187	27,756	30,021	30,02
101	0604716A	05 TERRAIN INFORMATION - ENG DEV			1,596	1,59
102	0604741A	05 AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE - ENG DEV	32,450	34,209	83,010	83,010
103	0604742A	05 CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	32,126	30,291	28,305	28,30
104	0604746A	05 AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	11,737	14,041	14,375	14,37
105	0604760A	05 DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS) - ENG DEV	15,184	15,547	15,803	15,803
106	0604778A	05 POSITIONING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT (SPACE)	7,275			

Page 5 of 9

UNCLASSIFIED Department of the Army

FY 2012 RDT&E Program

President's Budget 2012/13

10-Feb-2011 Appropriation: 2040 Α RDT&E, Army Program Thousands of Dollars Element Line Number FY2010 FY2011 FY2012 FY2012 OCO FY2012 Total No Act Item 05 COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE 107 0604780A 25.241 27.670 22.226 22.226 108 0604802A 05 WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV 99,626 24,345 13,828 13,828 109 0604804A 05 LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ENGIDEV 41,039 35.046 251,104 251,104 110 0604805A 05 COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - ENGIDEV 57.040 90.736 137.811 137,811 111 0604807A 05 MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV 37.572 34.474 27.160 27.160 112 0604808A 05 LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER - ENG DEV 89,064 95,577 87,426 87,426 113 0604814A 05 ARTILLERY MUNITIONS - EMD 40,856 26,371 42,627 42,627 0604817A 05 COMBAT IDENTIFICATION 7.740 29.884 114 115 0604818A 05 ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE 72.820 60,970 123,935 123.935 116 0604820A 05 RADAR DEVELOPMENT 2,890 2.890 117 0604822A 05 GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM (GFEBS) 13,576 794 794 23.712 118 0604823A 05 FIREFINDER 19.534 24.736 10.358 10.358 119 0604827A 05 SOLDIER SYSTEMS - WARRIOR DEM/VAL 20.602 20.886 48.309 48,309 120 0604854A 05 ARTILLERY SYSTEMS - EMD 152,935 53,624 120,146 120,146 0604869A 05 PATRIOT/MEADS COMBINED AGGREGATE PROGRAM (CAP) 406,605 570,831 467,139 406,605 122 0604870A 05 NUCLEAR ARMS CONTROL MONITORING SENSOR NETWORK 6.860 7.276 7.398 7.398 0605013A 05 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT 108,146 23,957 37,098 37,098 124 0605018A 05 ARMY INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM (A-IMHRS) 100,500 68,693 68,693 125 0605450A 05 JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) 118,459 130,340 127,095 127,095 05 SLAMRAAM 126 0605455A 23.700 19.931 19,931 127 0605456A 05 PAC-3/MSF MISSILF 62,500 88.993 88.993 128 0605457A 05 ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AIAMD) 251,124 270,607 270,607 129 0605625A 76,861 05 MANNED GROUND VEHICLE 934.366 884,387 884.387 0605626A 05 AERIAL COMMON SENSOR 130 211.500 31,465 31,465 131 0303032A 05 TROJAN - RH12 3,697 3,920 3,920 132 0304270A 05 ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT 21,571 13,819 13,819 Total: System Development and Demonstration 4,285,025 5,035,046 4,190,788 0 4,190,788 Management support 133 0604256A **06 THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT** 23.120 26.158 16.992 16.992

Page 6 of 9

UNCLASSIFIED Department of the Army

FY 2012 RDT&E Program

President's Budget 2012/13

10-Feb-2011 Appropriation: 2040 Α RDT&E, Army Program Thousands of Dollars Element Line Number FY2010 FY2011 FY2012 FY2012 OCO FY2012 Total No Act Item 134 0604258A 06 TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT 13.183 8.614 11.247 11.247 135 0604759A 06 MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT 49,942 42,102 49,437 49,437 136 0605103A 06 RAND ARROYO CENTER 17.257 20,492 20,384 20,384 137 0605301A 06 ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL 157.391 163.788 145.606 145,606 138 0605326A 06 CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM 26.168 17,704 28,800 28,800 139 0605502A 06 SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH 273,678 140 0605601A 06 ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES 346,015 393.937 262,456 8,513 270.969 0605602A 06 ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS 82.054 59.040 70.227 70.227 142 0605604A 06 SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS 44.728 41,812 43.483 43.483 0605605A 06 DOD HIGH ENERGY LASER TEST FACILITY 7,307 143 4,710 18 18 06 AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION 5.630 5,630 144 0605606A 3.745 5.055 145 0605702A 06 METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES 8.173 7.185 7.182 7.182 146 0605706A 06 MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS 20.970 18.078 19.669 19,669 0605709A 06 EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS 5.403 147 5,460 5,445 5,445 0605712A 06 SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING 78.360 68,786 148 68,191 68,786 149 0605716A 06 ARMY EVALUATION CENTER 63.961 61,450 63.302 63,302 150 0605718A 06 ARMY MODELING & SIM X-CMD COLLABORATION & INTEG 5.885 3,926 3,420 3,420 151 0605801A 06 PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES 83,054 83,054 76,503 73,685 152 0605803A 06 TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES 63.872 77.926 48,309 63,872 153 0605805A 06 MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY 84.951 53.338 57.142 57.142 154 0605857A 06 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT 4.991 3.195 4,961 4,961 155 0605898A 06 MANAGEMENT HQ - R&D 15.772 16,154 17,558 17,558 226 156 0909980A 06 JUDGMENT FUND REIMBURSEMENT 106 157 0909999A 06 FINANCING FOR CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS Total: Management support 1,487,815 1,142,383 1,048,671 8.513 1.057.184 Operational system development 158 0603778A 07 MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM 26.624 51.619 66.641 66.641 159 0603820A 07 WEAPONS CAPABILITY MODIFICATIONS UAV 24.142 24.142 160 0102419A 07 AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE 317.132 372.493 344,655 344,655

Page 7 of 9

UNCLASSIFIED Department of the Army

FY 2012 RDT&E Program

President's Budget 2012/13

10-Feb-2011 Appropriation: 2040 Α RDT&E, Army Program Thousands of Dollars Element Line Number FY2010 FY2011 FY2012 FY2012 OCO FY2012 Total No Act Item 07 INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO CYBER (ISC) MIP 161 0203347A 2.360 162 0203726A 07 ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM 29.127 24,622 29,546 29,546 163 0203735A 07 COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS 53,307 169.400 204,481 53,307 164 0203740A 07 MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM 36.131 25.540 65.002 65.002 165 0203744A 07 AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS 240.321 134,999 163.205 163,205 166 0203752A 767 710 823 07 AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM 823 167 0203758A 07 DIGITIZATION 8,218 6,329 8,029 8,029 168 0203759A 07 FORCE XXI BATTLE COMMAND, BRIGADE AND BELOW (FBCB2) 3.935 07 MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM 169 0203801A 37.731 24,280 44,560 44,560 170 0203802A 07 OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS 3.979 171 0203808A 07 TRACTOR CARD 19.249 14,870 42,554 42,554 172 0208053A 07 JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM 13.189 12,403 27.630 27.630 3,044 173 0208058A 07 JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV) 2.961 3,153 3,044 174 0301359A 07 SPECIAL ARMY PROGRAM 175 0303028A 07 SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES 2.854 2,854 17,348 07 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM 61.220 176 0303140A 61.313 118,090 61,220 177 0303141A 07 GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM 138.764 125,569 100,505 100,505 178 0303142A 07 SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE) 32.453 33,694 12,104 12,104 179 0303150A 07 WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM 13.683 13,024 23,937 23,937 180 0305204A 07 TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES 262.655 54.300 40.650 40.650 181 0305208A 07 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS 191,253 119.202 44.198 44,198 182 0305219A 07 MQ-1 SKY WARRIOR A UAV 123,156 137,038 137,038 183 0305232A 1,599 07 RQ-11 UAV 1,938 1,938 184 0305233A 07 RQ-7 UAV 7,805 31,940 31,940 185 0307207A 07 AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) 115,432 186 0307665A 07 BIOMETRICS ENABLED INTELLIGENCE 14,114 15,018 15,018 187 0708045A 07 END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES 106,259 61,098 59,297 59,297

1,403,837

1,843,989

1,553,445

1,403,837

Total:

Operational system development

0

UNCLASSIFIED Department of the Army FY 2012 RDT&E Program

President's Budget 2012/13

Approp	oriation: 2040 A RDT&E, Army	10-Feb-2011	
Line	Program Element	Thousands of Dollars	_
No	Number Act Item	FY2010 FY2011 FY2012 FY2012 OCO FY2012 T	otal
Total:	RDT&E, Army	11,706,929 10,479,851 9,679,444 8,513 9,687,	957

Page 9 of 9

Exhibit R-1

Army • President's Budget FY 2012 • RDT&E Program

Master Program Element Table of Contents (by Budget Activity then Line Item Number)

Budget Activity 07: Operational Systems Development

Appropriation 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

Line Item	Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title	Page
158	07	0603778A	MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	Volume 7 - 1
159	07	0603820A	Weapons Capability Modifications UAV	Volume 7 - 29
160	07	0102419A	Aerostat Joint Project Office	Volume 7 - 33
161	07	0203347A	Intelligence Support to Cyber (ISC) - MIP	Volume 7 - 44
162	07	0203726A	Adv Field Artillery Tactical Data System	Volume 7 - 47
163	07	0203735A	Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs	Volume 7 - 63
164	07	0203740A	Maneuver Control System	Volume 7 - 86
165	07	0203744A	Aircraft Modifications/Product Improvement Programs	Volume 7 - 96
166	07	0203752A	Aircraft Engine Component Improvement Program	Volume 7 - 131
167	07	0203758A	Digitization	Volume 7 - 140
168	07	0203759A	Force XXI Battle Command, Brigade and Below (FBCB2)	Volume 7 - 147
169	07	0203801A	Missile/Air Defense Product Improvement Program	Volume 7 - 154
170	07	0203802A	Other Missile Product Improvement Programs	Volume 7 - 163
171	07	0203808A	TRACTOR CARD	Volume 7 - 166
172	07	0208053A	Joint Tactical Ground System	Volume 7 - 170

Army • President's Budget FY 2012 • RDT&E Program

Budget Activity 07: Operational Systems Development

Appropriation 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

Line Item	Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title	Page
173	07	0208058A	Joint High Speed Vessel (JHSV)	Volume 7 - 180
175	07	0303028A	Security and Intelligence Activities	Volume 7 - 185
176	07	0303140A	Information Systems Security Program	Volume 7 - 189
177	07	0303141A	Global Combat Support System	Volume 7 - 212
178	07	0303142A	SATCOM Ground Environment (SPACE)	Volume 7 - 232
179	07	0303150A	WWMCCS/Global Command and Control System	Volume 7 - 246
180	07	0305204A	Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicles	Volume 7 - 255
181	07	0305208A	Distributed Common Ground/Surface Systems	Volume 7 - 296
182	07	0305219A	MQ-1 Sky Warrior - Army UAV (MIP)	Volume 7 - 311
183	07	0305232A	RQ-11 Raven	Volume 7 - 320
184	07	0305233A	RQ-7 Shadow UAV	Volume 7 - 325
185	07	0307207A	Aerial Common Sensor (ACS)	Volume 7 - 335
187	07	0708045A	End Item Industrial Preparedness Activities	Volume 7 - 343

Army • President's Budget FY 2012 • RDT&E Program

Master Program Element Table of Contents (Alphabetically by Program Element Title)

Program Element Title	Program Element Number	Line Item	Budget Activity Page
Adv Field Artillery Tactical Data System	0203726A	162	07Volume 7 - 47
Aerial Common Sensor (ACS)	0307207A	185	07Volume 7 - 335
Aerostat Joint Project Office	0102419A	160	07Volume 7 - 33
Aircraft Engine Component Improvement Program	0203752A	166	07Volume 7 - 131
Aircraft Modifications/Product Improvement Programs	0203744A	165	07Volume 7 - 96
Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs	0203735A	163	07Volume 7 - 63
Digitization	0203758A	167	07Volume 7 - 140
Distributed Common Ground/Surface Systems	0305208A	181	07Volume 7 - 296
End Item Industrial Preparedness Activities	0708045A	187	07Volume 7 - 343
Force XXI Battle Command, Brigade and Below (FBCB2)	0203759A	168	07Volume 7 - 147
Global Combat Support System	0303141A	177	07Volume 7 - 212
Information Systems Security Program	0303140A	176	07Volume 7 - 189
Intelligence Support to Cyber (ISC) - MIP	0203347A	161	07Volume 7 - 44
Joint High Speed Vessel (JHSV)	0208058A	173	07Volume 7 - 180
Joint Tactical Ground System	0208053A	172	07Volume 7 - 170
MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	0603778A	158	07 Volume 7 - 1
MQ-1 Sky Warrior - Army UAV (MIP)	0305219A	182	07Volume 7 - 311

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED Army • President's Budget FY 2012 • RDT&E Program

Program Element Title	Program Element Number	Line Item	Budget Activity Page
Maneuver Control System	0203740A	164	07Volume 7 - 86
Missile/Air Defense Product Improvement Program	0203801A	169	07Volume 7 - 154
Other Missile Product Improvement Programs	0203802A	170	07Volume 7 - 163
RQ-11 Raven	0305232A	183	07Volume 7 - 320
RQ-7 Shadow UAV	0305233A	184	07Volume 7 - 325
SATCOM Ground Environment (SPACE)	0303142A	178	07Volume 7 - 232
Security and Intelligence Activities	0303028A	175	07Volume 7 - 185
TRACTOR CARD	0203808A	171	07Volume 7 - 166
Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicles	0305204A	180	07Volume 7 - 255
WWMCCS/Global Command and Control System	0303150A	179	07Volume 7 - 246
Weapons Capability Modifications UAV	0603820A	159	07Volume 7 - 29

Army • President's Budget FY 2012 • RDT&E Program Master Exhibit R-1

(Listing by Budget Activity, then Program Element Number)

BA# 07: Operational Systems Development

Cost (\$ in Millions)

Line#	BA#	PE#	PE Title	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
158	07	0603778A	MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	26.624	51.619	66.641	-	66.641
159	07	0603820A	Weapons Capability Modifications UAV	-	-	24.142	-	24.142
160	07	0102419A	Aerostat Joint Project Office	317.132	372.493	344.655	-	344.655
161	07	0203347A	Intelligence Support to Cyber (ISC) - MIP	-	2.360	-	-	-
162	07	0203726A	Adv Field Artillery Tactical Data System	29.127	24.622	29.546	-	29.546
163	07	0203735A	Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs	169.400	204.481	53.307	-	53.307
164	07	0203740A	Maneuver Control System	36.131	25.540	65.002	-	65.002
165	07	0203744A	Aircraft Modifications/Product Improvement Programs	240.323	134.999	163.205	-	163.205
166	07	0203752A	Aircraft Engine Component Improvement Program	0.767	0.710	0.823	-	0.823
167	07	0203758A	Digitization	8.218	6.329	8.029	-	8.029
168	07	0203759A	Force XXI Battle Command, Brigade and Below (FBCB2)	-	3.935	-	-	-
169	07	0203801A	Missile/Air Defense Product Improvement Program	37.731	24.280	44.360	-	44.360
170	07	0203802A	Other Missile Product Improvement Programs	3.979	-	-	-	-
171	07	0203808A	TRACTOR CARD	19.249	14.870	42.754	-	42.754
172	07	0208053A	Joint Tactical Ground System	13.189	12.403	27.630	-	27.630
173	07	0208058A	Joint High Speed Vessel (JHSV)	2.961	3.153	3.044	-	3.044
175	07	0303028A	Security and Intelligence Activities	17.348	-	2.854	-	2.854
176	07	0303140A	Information Systems Security Program	61.313	54.784	61.220	-	61.220

UNCLASSIFIED

Master Exhibit R-1 Page 1 of 2

Army • President's Budget FY 2012 • RDT&E Program Master Exhibit R-1

(Listing by Budget Activity, then Program Element Number)

BA# 07: Operational Systems Development

Cost (\$ in Millions)

Line#	BA#	PE#	PE Title	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
177	07	0303141A	Global Combat Support System	138.764	125.569	100.505	-	100.505
178	07	0303142A	SATCOM Ground Environment (SPACE)	32.453	33.694	12.104	-	12.104
179	07	0303150A	WWMCCS/Global Command and Control System	13.683	13.024	23.937	-	23.937
180	07	0305204A	Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicles	262.655	54.300	40.650	-	40.650
181	07	0305208A	Distributed Common Ground/Surface Systems	191.253	119.202	44.198	-	44.198
182	07	0305219A	MQ-1 Sky Warrior - Army UAV (MIP)	-	123.156	137.038	-	137.038
183	07	0305232A	RQ-11 Raven	-	1.599	1.938	-	1.938
184	07	0305233A	RQ-7 Shadow UAV	-	7.805	31.940	-	31.940
185	07	0307207A	Aerial Common Sensor (ACS)	115.432	-	-	-	-
187	07	0708045A	End Item Industrial Preparedness Activities	106.259	61.098	59.297	-	59.297
Tota	I: Ope	rational Systems Dev	elopment	1,843.991	1,476.025	1,388.819	-	1,388.819

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

DATE: February 2011

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	26.624	51.619	66.641	-	66.641	85.162	90.213	59.279	31.640	Continuing	Continuing
090: MLRS HIMARS	1.918	3.367	6.132	-	6.132	6.124	6.221	6.011	4.876	Continuing	Continuing
093: Multi-Launch Rocket System (MLRS)	6.350	3.691	15.883	-	15.883	13.236	8.663	0.979	0.993	Continuing	Continuing
784: GUIDED MLRS	7.864	2.582	2.543	-	2.543	34.690	44.561	23.176	25.771	Continuing	Continuing
78G: GMLRS ALTERNATIVE WARHEADS	10.492	41.979	42.083	-	42.083	31.112	30.768	29.113	-	0.000	185.547

Note

Change Summary Explanation: Funding - FY 2010: Inflation Adjustments; FY 2012: Funds used to develop increased crew survivability for the Multiple Launch Rocket System (093) and other inflation adjustments.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The M142 High Mobility Artillery Rocket System (HIMARS) is a full spectrum, combat proven, all weather, 24/7 lethal and responsive, precision strike weapon system that fully supports more deployable, affordable and lethal, Brigade Combat Teams, Fires Brigade, Modular Forces, and Joint Expeditionary Forces. The HIMARS launcher is a C-130 transportable, wheeled, indirect fire, rocket/missile launcher capable of firing all rockets and missiles in the current and future Multiple Launch Rocket System (MLRS) Family of Munitions (MFOM) and Army Tactical Missile System (ATACMS) Family of Munitions (AFOM) engaging targets with precision out to ranges of 300 kilometers. HIMARS satisfies the Army's digitization requirements by interfacing with the Advanced Field Artillery Tactical Data System (AFATDS) fire support command and control system. The HIMARS product improvement program provides funding for research, development, and integration efforts necessary for sustainment, obsolescence mitigation, reliability improvements, incorporation of advanced automotive, armor, armament and system hardware and software technologies, and decreasing the logistics footprint. This effort includes performing technical assessments, concept studies, and risk reduction efforts for incorporation of future requirements. The HIMARS product improvement program maintains compliance with Intra-Army Interoperability and Digital Communications.

The Multiple Launch Rocket and Missile System (MLRS) is a full spectrum, combat proven, all weather, 24/7 lethal and responsive, Precision Strike weapon system that is organic/assigned to Fires Brigades supporting Brigade Combat Teams. The MLRS launcher provides critical missile precision strike, operational shaping fires, counterfire, and close support destructive and suppressive fires. The launcher is complimented by the MLRS Family of Munitions (MFOM) to include the Guided Multiple Launch Rocket System (GMLRS), and the Army Tactical Missile System (ATACMS) Family of Munitions (AFOM), capable of engaging targets up to a range of 300 kilometers. The MLRS product improvement program provides funding for research, development, and integration efforts to the MLRS necessary for sustainment, obsolescence mitigation, reliability improvements, incorporation of advanced automotive, armor, armament and system hardware and software technologies, and decreasing the logistics footprint. This effort includes performing technical assessments, concept studies, and risk reduction efforts for incorporation of future requirements. The MLRS product improvement program maintains compliance with Intra-Army Interoperability and Digital Communications via Joint Variable Message Format.

Army Page 1 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158 Volume 7 - 1

Exhibit R-2, **RDT&E Budget Item Justification**: PB 2012 Army **DATE**: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

Guided Multiple Launch Rocket System (GMLRS) munitions are the Army's primary organic Joint Expeditionary, all-weather, all-terrain, 24/7, tactical precision guided rockets employed by modular Fires Brigades supporting Brigade Combat Teams, Divisions, Joint Special Operations Force, Joint Force Combatant Commanders, and is also a key component of the Marine Corps Future Fighting Effort. GMLRS is the primary munitions for units fielded with the High Mobility Artillery Rocket System (HIMARS) and Multiple Launch Rocket System (MLRS) M270A1 rocket and missile launcher platforms. GMLRS integrates a guidance and control package and an improved rocket motor achieving greater range and precision accuracy requiring fewer rockets to defeat targets, thereby reducing the logistics burden. The two fielded variants are GMLRS with Dual Purpose Improved Conventional Munitions (DPICM /Increment 1) and GMLRS Unitary a 200-pound class high explosive warhead (Increment 2). The GMLRS Unitary is a modification to the GMLRS DPICM integrating a multi-mode fuze and high explosive warhead making it an all-weather, low collateral damage, precision rocket. This modification expands the MLRS target set into urban and complex environments by adding, point, proximity and delay fuzing modes, and supports Troops in Contact (TIC). A third variant of GMLRS, the Alternative Warhead (AW/Increment 3) (currently in Technology Development), is scheduled to enter Engineering Manufacturing Development in 1QFY12; with the Production and Deployment beginning in 2QFY15. The GMLRS AW is being developed to replace DPICM and meet requirements outlined in a 25 JUN 2008 DoD Cluster Munitions Policy, which requires all cluster munitions by 2019 to produce less than 1% Unexploded Ordinance on the battlefield. As of FY10, the AW Program has been managed and funded under project code, 78G.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	27.549	51.619	54.018	-	54.018
Current President's Budget	26.624	51.619	66.641	-	66.641
Total Adjustments	-0.925	-	12.623	-	12.623
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
 Adjustments to Budget Years 	-0.925	-	12.623	-	12.623

Army UNCLASSIFIED
Page 2 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158
Volume 7 - 2

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army										DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development								PROJECT 090: MLRS HIMARS				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
090: MLRS HIMARS	1.918	3.367	6.132	-	6.132	6.124	6.221	6.011	4.876	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The M142 High Mobility Artillery Rocket System (HIMARS) is a full spectrum, combat proven, all weather, 24/7 lethal and responsive, precision strike weapon system that fully supports more deployable, affordable and lethal, Brigade Combat Teams, Fires Brigade, Modular Forces, and Joint Expeditionary Forces. The HIMARS launcher is a C-130 transportable, wheeled, indirect fire, rocket/missile launcher capable of firing all rockets and missiles in the current and future Multiple Launch Rocket System (MLRS) Family of Munitions (MFOM) and Army Tactical Missile System (ATACMS) Family of Munitions (AFOM) engaging targets with precision out to ranges of 300 kilometers. HIMARS satisfies the Army's digitization requirements by interfacing with the Advanced Field Artillery Tactical Data System (AFATDS) fire support command and control system. The HIMARS product improvement program provides funding for research, development, and integration efforts necessary for sustainment, obsolescence mitigation, reliability improvements, incorporation of advanced automotive, armor, armament and system hardware and software technologies, and decreasing the logistics footprint. This effort includes performing technical assessments, concept studies, and risk reduction efforts for incorporation of future requirements. The HIMARS product improvement program maintains compliance with Intra-Army Interoperability and Digital Communications. HIMARS has been deployed to both Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF) and Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF) with great success by both US Army and Marine Corps units.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: MLRS Production Improvement Program-HIMARS	1.918	3.367	6.132
Articles:	0	0	
Description: Continue system design and Production Qualification Testing, conduct Functional Configuration Audit, and develop Integrated Logistics Products; integrate and test Horizontal Technology Insertion (HTI) upgrades including Increased Crew Protection Cab, Enhanced Command and Control, Improved Initialization, Obsolescence Mitigation, Tactical Fire Control, Embedded Training Launcher Loader Module electric drive, Diagnostics/Prognostics, Alternate Coupling, Situational Awareness, Long Range Communication and future munition integration. Perform technical assessments, concept studies, cost reduction, risk reduction, field issue resolution and required documentation.			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Development of unique components for Long Range Communications, Driver Vision Enhancement, and Blue Force Tracking was mostly completed. Software updates were developed, tested and certified. Analysis and design for implementation of obsolescent components was conducted for the fire control system including Fire Control Display. Enhanced ballistic transparent armor progressed through development.			
FY 2011 Plans:			

Army Page 3 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158 Volume 7 - 3

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT	090: MLRS HIMARS
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Complete testing and integration efforts for Long Range Communications, Driver Vision Enhancement, Blue Force Tracking and Fire Control Display. Effort will be required to maintain C4I/Interoperability certification and Network Interoperability certification. Technical assessments and concept studies in the areas of automotive and hardware/software technologies and improved transportability will be conducted to support evolving mission requirements, planning for technology insertion and continued obsolescence mitigation.			
FY 2012 Plans: The focus of FY2012 program is execution of development activities for additional improved crew protection against emerging threats and enhancements to communications and battle command. Continued effort will be required to maintain C4I/ Interoperability certification and Network Interoperability certification. Technical assessments and concept studies in the areas of automotive and hardware/software technologies and improved transportability will be conducted to support evolving mission requirements, planning for technology insertion and continued obsolescence mitigation.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	1.918	3.367	6.132

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
Line Item	FY 2010	FY 2011	<u>Base</u>	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
C02901: HIMARS Launcher	208.416	211.517	31.674		31.674		0.338	0.344	0.350	0.000	472.866
C67501: HIMARS Modifications	70.890	39.371	11.670		11.670		15.324	15.490	15.731	Continuing	Continuing
CA0289: HIMARS Modifications:	1.786	1.856								0.000	3.642
Initial Spares											
CA0288: Initial Spares, HIMARS	9.748	9.706	0.937		0.937		1.238	1.260	1.284	1.284	26.676
,	9.748	9.706	0.937		0.937		1.238	1.260	1.284	1.284	26.676

D. Acquisition Strategy

HIMARS follow-on HTI efforts include the Increased Crew Protection, Enhanced Command and Control, Improved Initialization, and Long Range Communications.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Volume 7 - 4

Army Page 4 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

PROJECT

090: MLRS HIMARS

DATE: February 2011

Volume 7 - 5

Management Services ((\$ in Millic	ons)		FY 2	011		2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Government Program Management	Various	PFRMS Project Office:Redstone Arsenal, Alabama	9.016	0.147		0.199		-		0.199	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
	Subtotal 9.016			0.147		0.199		-		0.199			

Remarks

PFRMS - Precision Fires Rocket and Missile Systems

Product Development (in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2012 OCO		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Risk Reduction/Maturation Contract	SS/CPIF	LMMFC:Texas	110.202	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Path through Operational Test	SS/CPFF	LMMFC:Texas	11.455	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Battle Command	SS/CPFF	CECOM, STRICOM, AMRDEC, Techrizon, LMMFC:Various	12.281	2.516		5.075		-		5.075	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Work Directives/ Chassis and Cab	TBD	TACOM (S&S):Warren, Michigan	5.561	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Other Government Agencies (OGA)	Various	AMCOM/ GSA & RSA:Various	17.025	0.294		0.337		-		0.337	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Increased Crew Protection	SS/CPFF	LMMFC:Texas	25.462	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	181.986	2.810		5.412		-		5.412			

Remarks

Army

TACOM - Tank Automotive & Armaments Command; AMCOM - Aviation & Missile Command

RSA - Redstone Arsenal Alabama; STRICOM - Simulation Training and Instrument Command

S&S - Stewart & Stevenson; GSA - General Services Administration

LMMFC - Lockheed Martin Missile and Fire Control

TBD - To Be Determined; N/A - Not Applicable

CECOM - US Army Communication - Electronics Command

AMRDEC - Aviation and Missile Research Development and Engineering Center

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 5 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT

IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

090: MLRS HIMARS

Product Developmen	t (\$ in Millio	ns)		FY	2011		2012 ase		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
	Contract		Total Prior										Target
	Method	Performing	Years		Award		Award		Award		Cost To		Value of
Cost Category Item	& Type	Activity & Location	Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Complete	Total Cost	Contract

SS - Sole Source; CPIF - Cost Plus Incentive Fee; CPAF - Cost Plus Award Fee

CPFF - Cost Plus Fixed Fee; UA - Unit of Action

Support (\$ in Millions)	,			FY 2	2011		2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Support Contract	C/CPFF	Camber Research, S3, TMI:Various	3.259	0.299		0.311		-		0.311	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	3.259	0.299		0.311		-		0.311			

Remarks

S3 - Systems Studies Simulation, Inc., TMI - Tec Masters Inc

Test and Evaluation (\$	in Millions	s)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Test Support	Various	Fort Hood Texas, ATEC, APG MD, WSMR, RTTC RSA.:Various	43.232	0.111		0.210		-		0.210	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
	Subtotal 43.232			0.111		0.210		-		0.210			

Remarks

APG MD - Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland

WSMR NM - White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico

RTTC RSA - Redstone Technical Test Center, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama

ATEC - US Army Test and Evaluation Command

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 6 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158 **Volume 7 - 6**

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT
IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

090: MLRS HIMARS

То	otal Prior									Target
	Years			FY 2012	FY 2	2012	FY 2012	Cost To		Value of
	Cost	FY 2	2011	Base	0	co	Total	Complete	Total Cost	Contract
Project Cost Totals	237.493	3.367		6.132	-		6.132			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 7 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just		DATE: February 2011									
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	& Evaluation	n, Army			IOMENCLAT BA: MLRS P MENT PROG	RODUCT		PROJECT 093: Multi-Launch Rocket System (MLRS)			MLRS)
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
093: Multi-Launch Rocket System (MLRS)	6.350	3.691	15.883	- 15.883 13.236 8.663				0.979	0.993	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Multiple Launch Rocket and Missile System (MLRS) is a full spectrum, combat proven, all weather, 24/7 lethal and responsive, Precision Strike weapon system that is organic/assigned to Fires Brigades supporting Brigade Combat Teams. The MLRS launcher provides critical missile precision strike, operational shaping fires, counterfire, and close support destructive and suppressive fires. The launcher is complimented by the MLRS Family of Munitions (MFOM) to include the Guided Multiple Launch Rocket System (GMLRS), and the Army Tactical Missile System (ATACMS) Family of Munitions (AFOM), capable of engaging targets up to a range of 300 kilometers. The MLRS product improvement program provides funding for research, development, and integration efforts to the MLRS necessary for sustainment, obsolescence mitigation, reliability improvements, incorporation of advanced automotive, armorment and system hardware and software technologies, and decreasing the logistics footprint. This effort includes performing technical assessments, concept studies, and risk reduction efforts for incorporation of future requirements. The MLRS product improvement program maintains compliance with Intra-Army Interoperability and Digital Communications via Joint Variable Message Format.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: MLRS Product Improvement Program	6.350	3.691	15.883
Articles:	0	0	
Description: The MLRS product improvement program ensures compliance as defined in the Department of Defense (DoD) Information Technical Standards. Funding is provided to several Government Agencies/Laboratories each Fiscal Year in support of this program. Support efforts also include Enhanced C2, Interoperability Certifications, obsolescence mitigation, increased crew protection, automotive updates and hardware/software enhancements, and Information Assurance compliance. All efforts are directed toward preservation of platform viability and readiness to accept technology insertion as capability enhancements and obsolescence mitigations are developed.			
Perform Command, Control, Communications, Computers, and Intelligence (C4I)/Interoperability Certification Tests, Improved Operational Timeline, and Conduct Network Interoperability Testing/Certification. Perform technical assessments, concept studies, obsolescence mitigation, crew protection, automotive and hardware/software enhancements, and risk reduction.			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Executed development efforts for Long Range Communications, Driver Vision Enhancement, and Blue Force Tracker. MLRS Fire Control System Software V7.08C introduced functional updates to improve the communication interface between the M270A1 fire control system and precision guided rocket and missile munitions fired from the launch platform. Software was developed,			

Volume 7 - 8

Army UNCLASSIFIED
Page 8 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT	093: <i>Multi-l</i>	Launch Rocket System (MLRS)
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
verified, formally tested, and certified. MLRS Fire Control System Software V7.09 introduced functional updates to load and execute missions with both Guided Unitary rockets and Army TACMS missiles loaded onto a single M270A1, and to interface w an AN/PC-150 (UF) receiver/transmitter. Software suite was developed, verified, formally tested, and certified by the PM. Analyst and design for implementation of obsolescent components was conducted (including fire control system electronic components, Auxiliary Power Unit/Environmental Control Unit update, and mechanical components common with the Bradley vehicle). Conce activities related to crew protection and fire control system updates were executed.	is		
FY 2011 Plans: Continue concept studies supporting product improvement program - including prototyping of new fire control system hardware/software architecture. Complete analyses supporting definition of requirements for improved crew protection cab. Perform Technical assessments and concept studies in the areas of automotive and hardware/software technologies, to support evolving mission requirements, planning for technology insertion, and continued obsolescence mitigation.			
FY 2012 Plans: Execute development activities to improve crew protection with a new cab and enhanced chassis blast protection that includes design activities with formal PDR and CDR. Maintain C4l/Interoperability certification and Network Interoperability certification. Conduct technical assessments and concept studies in the areas of automotive and hardware/software technologies to support evolving mission requirements, planning for technology insertion, and continued obsolescence mitigation.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtot	ils 6.350	3.691	15.883

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	<u>000</u>	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
• MLRS Mods (C67500): MLRS	22.423	8.217	8.236		8.236		32.136	33.158	32.703	Continuing	Continuing
Mods(C67500)											
 MLRS Mod Initial Spares 	0.200	1.014	1.031		1.031		1.069	1.072	1.062	Continuing	Continuing
(CA0265): MLRS Mod Initial										_	-

Spares (CA0265)

D. Acquisition Strategy

The MLRS product improvement program is currently conducting concept studies and development efforts including Enhanced C2, Interoperability Certifications, obsolescence mitigation, increased crew protection, automotive updates and hardware/software enhancements, and Information Assurance compliance.

Army Page 9 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158 Volume 7 - 9

	UNCLASSIFIED	
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	PROJECT 093: Multi-Launch Rocket System (MLRS)
E. Performance Metrics		
Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification	n material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Perf	formance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010

Army Page 10 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158 Volume 7 - 10

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

PROJECT

093: Multi-Launch Rocket System (MLRS)

DATE: February 2011

Management Services	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	011		2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Government Program Management	SS/FP	PFRMS Proj Ofc, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama:Redstone Arsenal, Alabama	3.740	0.340		0.340		-		0.340	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	3.740	0.340		0.340		-		0.340			

Remarks

PFRMS - Precision Fires Rocket and Missile Systems

SS/FP Sole Source Fixed Price

Product Development (\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2	2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Contract	SS/FP	LMMFC-D:Texas	22.670	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Other Government Agencies OGA	SS/FP	FT SILL OK, CECOM- NJAMRDEC-RSA AL,:various	11.297	2.126		0.500		-		0.500	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
MLRS Improvement Contract	TBD	TBD:TBD	-	-		14.436		-		14.436	0.000	14.436	0.000
		Subtotal	33.967	2.126		14.936		-		14.936			

Remarks

SS/FP - Sole Source Fixed Price LMMFC-D - Lockheed Martin Missile and Fire Control-Dallas

TBD - To Be Determined

N/A - Not Applicable AMRDEC - United States Army Research, Development, and Engineering Command

RSA AL - Redstone Arsenal, Alabama OK - Oklahoma

CECOM - United States Army Communication - Electronics Command

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Support Contract	Various	Multiple:Multiple	2.128	0.725		0.457		-		0.457	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 11 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158

Volume 7 - 11

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT

PROJECT

093: Multi-Launch Rocket System (MLRS)

DATE: February 2011

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		Subtotal	2.128	0.725		0.457		-		0.457			

Test and Evaluation (\$ i	n Millions)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Test Support, Joint Interoperability Test Certificate	SS/FP	CTSF, Ft. Hood:Texas	1.737	0.500		0.150		-		0.150	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Test Support	SS/FP	AMCOM, RTTC, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama:Redstone Arsenal, Alabama	1.033	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Test Support	SS/FP	WSMR, New Mexico:New Mexico	0.442	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	3.212	0.500		0.150		-		0.150			

Remarks

CTSF - Central Test Support Facility AMCOM - Army Missile Command RTTC-Redstone Technical Test Center WSMR - White Sands Missile Range SS/FP Sole Source Fixed Price

	Total Prior Years			FY 2	2012	FY:	2012	FY 2012	Cost To		Target Value of
	Cost	FY 2	011	Ва	se	0	co	Total	Complete	Total Cost	Contract
Project Cost Totals	43.047	3.691		15.883		_		15.883			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 12 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army **DATE:** February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT 093: Multi-Launch Rocket System (MLRS) BA 7: Operational Systems Development IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

		FY 2	2010)		FY	2011		FY 2012				FY 2	2013		FY 2014				FY 2015				FY 2016				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Technical Assessments, Concept Studies, and Risk Reduction/FCS-U Risk Mitigation			•															,	,					,		•	•	
Improved Armored Cab Development																												

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT	093: <i>Multi-L</i>	aunch Rocket System (MLRS)
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM		

Schedule Details

	St	art	Eı	nd
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Technical Assessments, Concept Studies, and Risk Reduction/FCS-U Risk Mitigation	4	2010	2	2012
Improved Armored Cab Development	4	2011	3	2014

Army Page 14 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158 Volume 7 - 14

0044

Exhibit R-2A, RD1&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2012 Army	'						DAIE: Feb	ruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY				R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE				PROJECT			
2040: Research, Development, Test	nt, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT 784: GUIDED MLRS										
BA 7: Operational Systems Development				IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM							
COST (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
784: GUIDED MLRS	7.864	2.582	2.543	-	2.543	34.690	44.561	23.176	25.771	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Quantity of RDT&E Articles

Guided Multiple Launch Rocket System (GMLRS) munitions are the Army's primary organic Joint Expeditionary, all-weather, 24/7, tactical precision guided rockets employed by modular Fires Brigades supporting Brigade Combat Teams, Divisions, Joint Special Operations Force, and Joint Force combatant commanders and is also a key component of the Marine Corps Future Fighting Effort. GMLRS is the primary munitions for units fielded with the High Mobility Artillery Rocket System (HIMARS) and Multiple Launch Rocket System (MLRS) M270A1 rocket and missile launcher platforms. GMLRS provides close, medium, and long range precision and area fires to destroy, suppress, and shape threat forces and protect friendly forces against the following: cannon, mortar, rocket and missile artillery, light materiel and armor, personnel, command and control, and air defense surface targets. GMLRS integrates guidance and control packages and an improved rocket motor achieving greater range and precision accuracy, requiring fewer rockets to defeat targets, thereby reducing the logistics burden. The two fielded variants are GMLRS with Dual Purpose Improved Conventional Munitions (DPICM/Increment 1) and GMLS Unitary (U/Increment 2), a 200-pound class high explosive warhead. The GMLRS-U is the only variant currently in production, integrating a multi-mode fuze and high explosive warhead making it an all-weather, low collateral damage, precision strike rocket. GMLRS-U expands the MLRS target set into urban and complex environments by adding, point, proximity and delay fuzing modes. With over 1900 rockets fired in support of Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO), the GMLRS-U rocket has demonstrated high effectiveness and low collateral damage while supporting Troops in Contact (TIC). A third variant of GMLRS, the Alternative Warhead (AW/Increment 3), is being developed to replace DPICM and meet requirements outlined in a 25 JUN 2008 Cluster Munitions Policy, which requires all cluster munitions by 2019 to produce less than 1% Unexploded Ordinance (UXO) on the battlefield. Enhanced GMLRS technology improvements will provide the following: (1) enhanced operational capability and flexibility across the target set, (2) potential cost savings across weapon system life cycle through obsolescence initiatives, (3) test equipment commonality and reduced user effort for sustainment operations with enhancements to the MLRS Common Test Equipment (MCTE), (4) future insensitive munitions (IM) technology studies, and (5) optimize and extend ranges and scalable effects to reduce collateral damage, as per emerging requirements currently in the Joint Capability Integration and Development System (JCIDS) process.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Assess and improve GMLRS rockets.	1.552	1.734	1.526
Article: Article:	0	0	
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Assess rocket design/seek improvements in reliability.			
FY 2011 Plans:			

Army UNCLASSIFIED
Page 15 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158

Volume 7 - 15

	ONCLASSII ILD				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Feb	oruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	PROJEC 784: <i>GUI</i>	T DED MLRS		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	e Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Continue to assess GMLRS rocket design and seek improvemen	nts in reliability as necessary.				
FY 2012 Plans: Continue to assess and improve GMLRS rockets.					
Title: Conduct development engineering for IM program.		Articles:	3.281 0	-	0.38
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Testing of IM motors.					
FY 2012 Plans: Additional IM improvements investigation.					
Title: Investigate obsolescense/cost reduction opportunities/seco	ond source suppliers.	Articles:	0.853	0.848 0	0.630
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Conducted development engineering; perform integration and team while monitoring the industry to mitigate obsolescense and investigate.					
FY 2011 Plans: Conduct development engineering; perform integration and test of while monitoring the industry to mitigate obsolescense and investigate.	•				
FY 2012 Plans: Continue the development engineering; performing integration of assessing the industry to mitigate obsolescense and investigate					
Title: Testing		Articles:	2.178 0	-	-
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments:					

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 16 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT	784: <i>GUIDI</i>	ED MLRS
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Conduct test support and evaluation activities.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	7.864	2.582	2.543

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			<u>FY 2012</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	<u>Base</u>	<u>000</u>	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
• GMLRS: GMLRS	353.311	291.041	314.167		314.167		337.058	336.733	373.181	Continuing	Continuing

D. Acquisition Strategy

The MLRS Product Improvement Program project is intended to support streamlined product improvement initiatives as they are identified by the material developer or combat developer. This project also supports insensitive munition (IM) activities to improve the overall posture of the system all the way down to component level. The product office also leverages this project to investigate and develop alternative material changes to improve the GMLRS family of munitions. Future initiatives could include a service life extension program to extend the shelf life of the GMLRS rocket.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 17 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT

PROJECT

784: GUIDED MLRS

DATE: February 2011

Management Services	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011		2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Government Program Management	TBD	PFRMS Project Office,:RSA	26.498	0.599		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
	•	Subtotal	26.498	0.599		-		-		-			

Remarks

TBD-To Be Determined; Cont.-Continuing; PFRMS - Precision Fires Rocket and Missile Systems; RSA-Redstone Arsenal, Alabama

Product Development (\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
EMD DPICM Contract	SS/CPAF	LMMFCS:Dallas, TX	91.194	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Other Government Agencies	TBD	AMCOM/ AMRDEC,:RSA	76.086	0.338		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
EMD Unitary Contract/Multiple	SS/CPFF	LMMFCS:Dallas, TX	270.525	1.388		2.282		-		2.282	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	437.805	1.726		2.282		-		2.282			

Remarks

EMD-Engineering and Maunfacturing Development; DPICM - Dual Purpose Improved Conventional Munitions; SS/CPAF-Sole Source/Cost Plus Award Fee; SS/CPFF-Sole Source/Cost Plus Fixed Fee; Cont.-Continuing; LMMFCS - Lockheed Martin Missile and Fire Control System; TX - Texas; AMCOM-Aviation and Missile Command; TBD-To Be Determined; AMRDEC - U.S. Army Research, Development and Engineering Command; RSA - Redstone Arsenal, Alabama

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Support Contract	C/CPFF	Camber Research/S3/ TMI,:Alabama	20.196	0.257		0.261		-		0.261	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	20.196	0.257		0.261		-		0.261			

Remarks

C/CPFF-Cost/Cost Plus Fixed Fee; Cont.-Continuing; S3-Systems Studies Simulation, Inc.; TMI-Tec Masters, Inc.

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 18 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

,y

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PROJECT

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development

PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT

784: GUIDED MLRS

Test and Evaluation (\$ i	in Millions)		FY 2	2011		2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Test Support	TBD	WSMR,:NM	106.683	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
	-	Subtotal	106.683	-		-		-		-			

Remarks

TBD-To Be Determined; Cont.-Continuing; WSMR, NM - White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico

	Total Prior Years Cost		2011		2012 Ise	FY 2	2012 CO	FY 2012 Total	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Project Cost	Totals 591.182	2.582		2.543		-		2.543			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 19 of 28

R-1 Line Item #158

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT
IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

784: GUIDED MLRS

		FY 2010				FY	2011	1		FY	2012	2	FY 2013				FΥ	2014	1		FΥ	2015	5		FY 2	2016	;	
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Technical Assessment/Concept Studies/Cost Reduction Studies																												
Obsolescence/Enhanced Technology Improvements																												
Investigate Fuzing Technology																												
Warhead Effects Technology Improvements																												Ī
Technology Development																												Π

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PROJECT

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT 784: GUIDED MLRS

BA 7: Operational Systems Development IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Schedule Details

	St	art	E	nd
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Technical Assessment/Concept Studies/Cost Reduction Studies	4	2010	3	2015
Obsolescence/Enhanced Technology Improvements	4	2010	3	2015
Investigate Fuzing Technology	2	2012	3	2013
Warhead Effects Technology Improvements	4	2012	3	2016
Technology Development	4	2013	3	2016

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification: PE	3 2012 Army							DATE: Feb	ruary 2011	
2040: Research, Development, Te	APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development							PROJECT 78G: <i>GMLF</i>	RS ALTERNA	ATIVE WARI	HEADS
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
78G: GMLRS ALTERNATIVE WARHEADS	10.492	41.979	42.083	-	42.083	31.112	30.768	29.113	-	0.000	185.547
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

Note

Not applicable at this time.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Guided Multiple Launch Rocket System (GMLRS) munitions are the Army's primary organic Joint Expeditionary, all-weather, 24/7, tactical precision guided rockets employed by modular Fires Brigades supporting Brigade Combat Teams, Divisions, Joint Special Operations Force, and Joint Force combatant commanders and is also a key component of the Marine Corps Future Fighting Effort. GMLRS is the primary munitions for units fielded with the High Mobility Artillery Rocket System (HIMARS) and Multiple Launch Rocket System (MLRS) M270A1 rocket and missile launcher platforms. GMLRS provides close, medium, and long range precision and area fires to destroy, suppress, and shape threat forces and protect friendly forces against the following: cannon, mortar, rocket and missile artillery, light materiel and armor, personnel, command and control, and air defense surface targets. GMLRS integrates guidance and control packages and an improved rocket motor achieving greater range and precision accuracy, requiring fewer rockets to defeat targets, thereby reducing the logistics burden. The two fielded variants are GMLRS with Dual Purpose Improved Conventional Munitions (DPICM/Increment 1) and GMLRS Unitary (U/Increment 2), a 200-pound class high explosive warhead. A third variant of GMLRS, the Alternative Warhead (AW/Increment 3) (currently in the Technology and Development (TD) Phase) is being developed to replace DPICM and meet requirements outlined in a 25 JUN 2008 DoD Cluster Munitions Policy, which requires all cluster munitions to produce less than 1% Unexploded Ordinance (UXO) on the battlefield by 2019. Increment 3 will fill a Warfighting Capability Gap left by the future removal of current cluster munitions from the battlefield. This effort includes development, integration, and test activities to evaluate payload performance against validated models/simulations. Following the TD Phase and successful Milestone B, the Army will down-select to a single warhead design to carry into Engineering and Manufacturing Development (1QFY12); with Production and Deployment beginning in 2QFY15. Enhanced GMLRS technology improvements will provide the following: (1) enhanced operational capability and flexibility across the target set, (2) potential cost savings across weapon system life cycle through obsolescence initiatives, (3) test equipment commonality and reduced user effort for sustainment operations with enhancements to the MLRS Common Test Equipment (MCTE), (4) future insensitive munitions (IM) technology studies, and (5) optimize and extend ranges and scalable effects to reduce collateral damage, as per emerging requirements currently in the Joint Capability Integration and Development System (JCIDS) process.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Conduct Development Engineering, Design Component Testing, and Performance Analysis.	2.609	22.938	21.587
Articles:	0	0	
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort			

 UNCLASSIFIED

 Army
 Page 22 of 28
 R-1 Line Item #158
 Volume 7 - 22

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	PROJEC 78G: <i>GN</i>	CT MLRS ALTERN	IATIVE WAR	HEADS
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Assemble and integrate warhead prototypes.					
FY 2011 Plans: Preliminary Design Review (PDR) in support of MS B.					
FY 2012 Plans: Design optimization and analysis, System Readiness Review (SRI	R) and Initial Design Review (IDR) in EMD Phase				
Title: Perform technical assessments and concept studies.		Articles:	1.663 0	10.967 0	6.214
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Begin Analysis of Alternatives for Milestone B.					
FY 2011 Plans: Complete Analysis of Alternatives for Milestone B/Technical Asses	ssments/Model/Simulation.				
FY 2012 Plans: Evaluate SRR and IDR in EMD.					
Title: Prepare Milestone Documentation, Risk Reduction, and Pro	gram Reviews.	Articles:	1.962 0	3.148 0	1.657
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Statutory/Regulatory milestone documentation support for MS B.					
FY 2011 Plans: Capabilities Development Document (CDD), Statutory/Regulatory	documentation support for MS B.				
FY 2012 Plans: Design optimization and analysis in EMD Phase.					
Title: Conduct System Test and Evaluation Activities.		Articles:	4.258 0	4.926 0	12.625

UNCLASSIFIED

Army

Page 23 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT	78G: <i>GMLF</i>	RS ALTERNATIVE WARHEADS
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Flyoff testing of warhead candidates.			
FY 2011 Plans: Test flight data analysis.			
FY 2012 Plans: Test planning in support of MS C.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	10.492	41.979	42.083

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

The GMLRS AW rocket will be a product improved version of the current GMLRS DPICM rocket. The GMLRS Program strategy relative to design technology is to competitively evaluate leading technologies, offerors, and hardware through an open competition between three potential warheads and develop the most promising solution for system procurement. At the conclusion of the Technology Demonstration (TD) Phase, the government will make a downselect to one technology to be fully developed and integrated during Engineering and Manufacturing Development Phase.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Army Page 24 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158 Volume 7 - 24

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

PROJECT

78G: GMLRS ALTERNATIVE WARHEADS

DATE: February 2011

Management Services (\$ in Millio	ons)		FY 2	2011	1	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Government Program Management	TBD	PFRMS Project Office,:RSA	-	3.615		4.481		-		4.481	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	-	3.615		4.481		-		4.481			

Remarks

TBD-To Be Determined; Cont.-Continuing; PFRMS-Precision Fires Rocket and Missile Systems; RSA-Redstone Arsenal, Alabama

Product Development (\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
AWP Contracts (Multiple)	Various	GD-OTS (Niceville, FL); ATK (Plymouth, MN); OR Aerojet (Sacramento, CA); single vendor:LMMFCS (Dallas, TX), Systems Integrator	-	30.584		28.782		-		28.782	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Other Government Agencies	TBD	AMCOM/ AMRDEC,:RSA	-	2.404		2.605		-		2.605	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	-	32.988		31.387		-		31.387			

Remarks

AWP-Alternative Warhead Program; Various-Competitive/Firm Fixed Price/Sole Source/Cost Plus Fixed Fee; TBD-To Be Determined; Cont.-Continuing; AMCOM-Army Materiel Command; AMRDEC-U.S. Army Research, Development and Engineering Command; RSA-Redstone Arsenal, Alabama; GD-OTS-General Dynamics-Ordnance and Tactical Systems; FL-Florida; ATK-Alliant Techsystems, Inc.; MN-Minnesota; LMMFCS-Lockheed Martin Missile and Fire Control System; TX-Texas

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2	-	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Support Contract	C/CPFF	Camber Research/S3/ TMI,:Alabama	-	1.029		1.044		-		1.044	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 25 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT

IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

78G: GMLRS ALTERNATIVE WARHEADS

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		Subtotal	-	1.029		1.044		-		1.044			

Remarks

C/CPFF-Competitive/Cost Plus Fixed Fee; Cont.-Continuing; S3-Systems Studies Simulation, Inc.; TMI-Tec Master, Inc.

Test and Evaluation (\$ i	in Millions)		FY 2	011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Test Support	TBD	WSMR,:NM	-	4.347		5.171		-		5.171	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	-	4.347		5.171		-		5.171			

Remarks

TBD-To Be Determined; Cont.-Continuing; WSMR,NM-White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico

	Total Prior Years Cost	FY	2011	FY 2 Ba	FY 2	2012 CO	FY 2012 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Projec	t Cost Totals -	41.979		42.083	-		42.083			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 26 of 28 R-1 Line Item #158 Volume 7 - 26

Army

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT
IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

PROJECT
78G: GMLRS ALTERNATIVE WARHEADS

	F	Y 20	10		F	Y 20	11			FY 2	2012			FY	201	3		FY	201	14			FY	201	5		FY	201	16
	1	2 3	3 4	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	3 4	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Warhead Flight Demonstrations							•													•	•						•		
System PDR																													
Milestone B																													
Engineering Development Testing (EDT)																													
Critical Design Review (CDR)																													
Production Qualification Testing (PQT)																													
Limited User Test (LUT)																													
Milestone C																													
Initial Operational Test (IOT)																													
Full Rate Production (FRP)																													

 UNCLASSIFIED

 Army
 Page 27 of 28
 R-1 Line Item #158
 Volume 7 - 27

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0603778A: MLRS PRODUCT	78G: GMLRS ALTERNATIVE WARHEADS
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	

Schedule Details

	St	art	E	nd
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Warhead Flight Demonstrations	3	2010	3	2010
System PDR	1	2011	1	2011
Milestone B	3	2011	3	2011
Engineering Development Testing (EDT)	1	2013	3	2013
Critical Design Review (CDR)	1	2013	1	2013
Production Qualification Testing (PQT)	4	2013	2	2014
Limited User Test (LUT)	3	2014	3	2014
Milestone C	1	2015	1	2015
Initial Operational Test (IOT)	3	2016	3	2016
Full Rate Production (FRP)	4	2016	4	2016

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0603820A: Weapons Capability Modifications UAV

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	-	24.142	-	24.142	54.361	116.446	98.448	68.677	Continuing	Continuing
D20: VTOL Mods/PIP	-	-	24.142	-	24.142	54.361	116.446	98.448	68.677	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Extended Range Multi-Purpose (ERMP) Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS) includes and addresses the full scale development and integration of a weapon system capability.

These modifications include the refinement of requirements, the selection of the weapons matched to the aircraft capabilities, hardware and software design, development, and integration with the system.

This will include requisite airframe, mission management software and weapon compatibility modifications necessary to carry and employ weapons. Tests are required to ensure reliable, safe, accurate, and timely weapons stowage and delivery. Weaponization of ERMP includes the full scale development and integration of a modified HELLFIRE missile into the ERMP UAS. Missile development will include type classification and formal material release.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	-	-	-	-	-
Current President's Budget	-	-	24.142	-	24.142
Total Adjustments	-	-	24.142	-	24.142
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
Other Adjustments 1	-	-	24.142	-	24.142

Army UNCLASSIFIED
Page 1 of 4 R-1 Line Item #159 Volume 7 - 29

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	stification: Pl	3 2012 Army	/						DATE : February 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACT 2040: Research, Development, Te BA 7: Operational Systems Development			NOMENCLA 0A: Weapon ns UAV			PROJECT D20: VTOL Mods/PIP						
COST (\$ in Millions) FY 2010 FY 2011 Base				FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
D20: VTOL Mods/PIP 24.142					24.142	54.361	116.446	98.448	68.677	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Army has an emerging requirement to provide Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) platforms capable of operating in and near unfriendly territories/areas of conflict. The system shall be a rotary wing unmanned vertical takeoff and landing(VTOL) aircraft system not conducive to standard airfields but forward deployable to support extended operations in austere environment. The aircraft system will be made up of multiple aircraft (minimum of 4) that incorporates high value technologies in the airframe, propulsion, datalink systems, communications systems, and avionics systems. The system will be common with the Army selection of the Line of Sight and Beyond Line of Sight Tactical Common Data Link and the Universal Ground Control Station. The aircraft will simultaneously carry multi-functional payloads such as SIGINT, EO/IR/LD, and Wide Area Surveillance without degrading time on station. This system will provide dramatic improvements in operational flexibility, and mission performance.

FY12 RDT&E funds will resource the Material Solution Analysis Phase of the program.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Military Unit Assessment	-	-	20.642
Description: provides funding to define requirements and modifications			
FY 2012 Plans: provides funding for Military Unit Assessment to define requirements and modifications required for full scale development and integration of capability.			
Title: Program Management Support	-	-	3.500
Description: Launcher Modification / Test Equipment / Integration			
FY 2012 Plans: provide funding for program management support			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	-	-	24.142

Army Page 2 of 4 R-1 Line Item #159 Volume 7 - 30

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603820A: Weapons Capability Modifications UAV	PROJECT D20: VTOL Mods/PIP
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A		
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A		
E. Performance Metrics Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification ma	aterial may be found in the FY 2010 Army Perforn	nance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 3 of 4 R-1 Line Item #159

Army

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0603820A: Weapons Capability

Modifications UAV

PROJECT

D20: VTOL Mods/PIP

DATE: February 2011

Management Services	Management Services (\$ in Millions)					FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Contract Method Performing Years Cost Category Item & Type Activity & Location Cost		Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract		
Program Management	Various	Various:Various	-	-		3.500		-		3.500	0.000	3.500	0.000
Subtotal -				-		3.500		-		3.500	0.000	3.500	0.000

Product Development (roduct Development (\$ in Millions)						FY 2012 Base		FY 2012 OCO				
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Integration and Testing of UADD	Various	Other Government Agency:various	7.301	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
Launcher Modification / Test Equipment / Integration	Various	Other Government Agency:various	3.766	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
Military Utility Assessment (MUA)	Various	Various:Various	-	-		20.642		-		20.642	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
	Subtotal 11.06					20.642		-		20.642			0.000

	Total Prior Years Cost	FY	2011	FY 2 Ba		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Project Cost Totals	11.067	-		24.142	-		24.142			0.000

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 4 of 4 R-1 Line Item #159

DATE: February 2011

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0102419A: Aerostat Joint Project Office

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	317.132	372.493	344.655	-	344.655	156.421	58.124	19.717	19.726	Continuing	Continuing
E55: Jnt Land Atk Msl Def Elevated Netted Sensor-JLENS	317.132	372.493	344.655	-	344.655	156.421	58.124	19.717	19.726	Continuing	Continuing

Note

Adjustments to FY 2012: JLENS FY 2012 funds increased by \$168.457 million to fund the extension of the Engineering and Manufacturing Development phase.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This system is an integral part of the overall Air and Missile Defense (AMD) architecture and will provide for an incrementally fielded Integrated Air and Missile Defense (IAMD) Fire Control System/capability for the composite Army Air and Missile Defense Brigades.

The Joint Land Attack Cruise Missile Defense Elevated Netted Sensor Systems (JLENS) is a key component of the Army and Joint IAMD, providing a persistent surveillance and tracking capability for Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) and Cruise Missile (CM) defense to the current and projected defense forces (including air fighter forces). JLENS will provide fire control quality data to Army Surface to Air missile systems such as Patriot, Surface Launched Advanced Medium Range Air to Air Missile (SLAMRAAM) and Navy Aegis; in addition, increasing weapons' capabilities by allowing these systems to engage targets normally below, outside or beyond surface based weapons' field of view.

JLENS has secondary roles to detect and track Surface Moving Targets (SMT) and to detect, track, and provide launch point estimate (LPE) for Tactical Ballistic Missiles (TBM) and Large Caliber Rockets (LCR). JLENS supports military operations across the full spectrum of conflict.

A JLENS Orbit consists of two systems: a fire control radar system and a wide-area surveillance radar system. Each radar system employs a separate 74-meter tethered aerostat, mobile mooring station, radar and communications payload, processing station, and associated ground support equipment. JLENS uses advanced sensor and networking technologies to provide 360-degree, wide-area surveillance and precision target tracking. This JLENS information is distributed via joint service networks and contributes to the development of a single integrated air picture. JLENS also performs as a multi-role platform to enable extended range command and control linkages, communications relay, and battlefield situational awareness. JLENS can stay aloft up to 30 days providing 24-hour radar coverage of the assigned areas. JLENS is relocatable and can be transported by aircraft, railway, ship, or roadway. JLENS does not replace another system.

Army Page 1 of 11 R-1 Line Item #160 Volume 7 - 33

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

DATE: February 2011

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

Army

040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army A 7: Operational Systems Development	PE 01				
s. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	328.356	372.493	176.198	-	176.198
Current President's Budget	317.132	372.493	344.655	-	344.655
Total Adjustments	-11.224	-	168.457	-	168.457
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
 SBIR/STTR Transfer 	-11.224	-			
 Adjustments to Budget Years 	-	-	168.457	-	168.457

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB	3 2012 Army							DATE: Febr	uary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	& Evaluation	n, Army			IOMENCLAT 9A: Aerostat		t Office	PROJECT E55: Jnt Land Atk Msl Def Elevated Netted Sensor-JLENS			
COST (\$ in Millions) FY 2010 FY 2011 Base				FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
E55: Jnt Land Atk Msl Def Elevated Netted Sensor-JLENS	317.132	372.493	344.655	-	344.655	156.421	58.124	19.717	19.726	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This system is an integral part of the overall Air and Missile Defense (AMD) architecture and will provide for an incrementally fielded Integrated Air and Missile Defense (IAMD) Fire Control System/capability for the composite Army Air and Missile Defense Brigades.

The Joint Land Attack Cruise Missile Defense Elevated Netted Sensor Systems (JLENS) is a key component of the Army and Joint IAMD, providing a persistent surveillance and tracking capability for Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) and Cruise Missile (CM) defense to the current and projected defense forces (including air fighter forces). JLENS will provide fire control quality data to Army Surface to Air missile systems such as Patriot, Surface Launched Advanced Medium Range Air to Air Missile (SLAMRAAM) and Navy Aegis; in addition, increasing weapons' capabilities by allowing these systems to engage targets normally below, outside or beyond surface based weapons' field of view.

JLENS has secondary roles to detect and track Surface Moving Targets (SMT) and to detect, track, and provide launch point estimate (LPE) for Tactical Ballistic Missiles (TBM) and Large Caliber Rockets (LCR). JLENS supports military operations across the full spectrum of conflict.

A JLENS Orbit consists of two systems: a fire control radar system and a wide-area surveillance radar system. Each radar system employs a separate 74-meter tethered aerostat, mobile mooring station, radar and communications payload, processing station, and associated ground support equipment. JLENS uses advanced sensor and networking technologies to provide 360-degree, wide-area surveillance and precision target tracking. This JLENS information is distributed via joint service networks and contributes to the development of a single integrated air picture. JLENS also performs as a multi-role platform to enable extended range command and control linkages, communications relay, and battlefield situational awareness. JLENS can stay aloft up to 30 days providing 24-hour radar coverage of the assigned areas. JLENS is relocatable and can be transported by aircraft, railway, ship, or roadway. JLENS does not replace another system.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) phase contract activity	259.651	258.467	260.667
Articles:	0	0	
Description: Continue EMD phase contract activities.			
FY 2010 Accomplishments:			

Army Page 3 of 11 R-1 Line Item #160 Volume 7 - 35

	UNCLASSIFIED				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Fel	oruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0102419A: Aerostat Joint Project Office	PROJECT E55: Jnt L Sensor-JL	and Atk Msl	Def Elevated	Netted
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	e Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Continue integration of system hardware components and begin integration and test.	system level integration. Continue software developme	ent,			
FY 2011 Plans: Complete integration of system hardware components and system and test. Deliver Orbits 1 and 2 to test sites. Initiate Development		tegration			
FY 2012 Plans: Complete software development, integration and test. Continue D	OT and conduct Limited User Testing- Operational Test	ing.			
Title: Government System Test and Evaluation (STE)		Articles:	17.491 0	52.700 0	32.839
Description: Government System Test and Evaluation (STE) pro (EMD).	ogram in support of Engineering and Manufacturing De	velopment			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Prepare for Developmental Testing (DT) activities, including test	site preparation.				
FY 2011 Plans: Orbits 1 and 2 will be delivered to test sites. Initiate DT and cond	duct user training.				
FY 2012 Plans: Continue DT and conduct Limited User Test- Operational Testing	g (OT).				
Title: Other contracts and Other Government Agencies (OGAs)		Articles:	30.986 0	40.073 0	25.734
Description: Other contracts and OGAs support of EMD phase a reduction, risk reduction and required documentation.	activities. Perform technical assessments, concept stud	lies, cost			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continue support of EMD activities. Continue to support integration Continue to support software development, integration and test. Firsk reduction and required documentation.					
FY 2011 Plans: Continue support of EMD activities. Support completion of integral integration. Continue to support software development, integration					

Army Page 4 of 11 R-1 Line Item #160 Volume 7 - 36

BA 7: Operational Systems Development B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each) Support Initialization of Developmental Testing (DT) and user training. Prepare for Milestone C Decision. Perform technical assessments, concept studies, cost reduction, risk reduction and required documentation. FY 2012 Plans: Continue support of EMD activities. Support the completion of software development, integration and test. Continue to support I and Limited User Testing- OT. Execute Milestone C Decision. Perform technical assessments, concept studies, cost reduction, risk reduction and required documentation. Title: Government Program Management (PM) Articl Description: Provide Government PM management of EMD activities. FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continue Government PM management of EMD activities. Continue management of integration of system hardware component and system level integration. Continue management of software development, integration and testing. FY 2011 Plans: Continue Government PM management of EMD activities. Manage completion of integration of system hardware components a system level integration. Continue management of software development, integration and test. Manage the delivery of Orbits 1 and 2 to test sites. Initiate Developmental Testing (DT) and conduct user training. Prepare for Milestone (MS) C Decision. FY 2012 Plans: Continue Government Program Management (PM) of Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) activities. Manage completion of software development, integration and test. Continue management of Developmental Testing (DT) and conduct Limited User Testing- Operational Testing (OT). Prepare for Milestone (MS) C Decision. Title: Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) Articl Description: The GFE provided to the Prime Contractor for hardware and system integration.			
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each) Support Initialization of Developmental Testing (DT) and user training. Prepare for Milestone C Decision. Perform technical assessments, concept studies, cost reduction, risk reduction and required documentation. FY 2012 Plans: Continue support of EMD activities. Support the completion of software development, integration and test. Continue to support I and Limited User Testing- OT. Execute Milestone C Decision. Perform technical assessments, concept studies, cost reduction, risk reduction and required documentation. Title: Government Program Management (PM) Articl Description: Provide Government PM management of EMD activities. FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continue Government PM management of EMD activities. Continue management of integration of system hardware component and system level integration. Continue management of software development, integration and testing. FY 2011 Plans: Continue Government PM management of EMD activities. Manage completion of integration of system hardware components a system level integration. Continue management of software development, integration and test. Manage the delivery of Orbits 1 and 2 to test sites. Initiate Developmental Testing (DT) and conduct user training. Prepare for Milestone (MS) C Decision. FY 2012 Plans: Continue Government Program Management (PM) of Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) activities. Manage completion of software development, integration and test. Continue management of Development Testing (DT) and conduct Limited User Testing- Operational Testing (OT). Prepare for Milestone (MS) C Decision. Title: Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) Articl Description: The GFE provided to the Prime Contractor for hardware and system integration.	DATE	ATE: February 2011	
Support Initialization of Developmental Testing (DT) and user training. Prepare for Milestone C Decision. Perform technical assessments, concept studies, cost reduction, risk reduction and required documentation. FY 2012 Plans: Continue support of EMD activities. Support the completion of software development, integration and test. Continue to support I and Limited User Testing- OT. Execute Milestone C Decision. Perform technical assessments, concept studies, cost reduction, risk reduction and required documentation. Title: Government Program Management (PM) Articl Description: Provide Government PM management of EMD activities. FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continue Government PM management of EMD activities. Continue management of integration of system hardware component and system level integration. Continue management of software development, integration and testing. FY 2011 Plans: Continue Government PM management of EMD activities. Manage completion of integration of system hardware components a system level integration. Continue management of software development, integration and test. Manage the delivery of Orbits 1 and 2 to test sites. Initiate Developmental Testing (DT) and conduct user training. Prepare for Milestone (MS) C Decision. FY 2012 Plans: Continue Government Program Management (PM) of Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) activities. Manage completion of software development, integration and test. Continue management of Developmental Testing (DT) and conduct Limited User Testing-Operational Testing (OT). Prepare for Milestone (MS) C Decision. Title: Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) Articl Description: The GFE provided to the Prime Contractor for hardware and system integration.		Atk Msl Def Elevate	d Netted
assessments, concept studies, cost reduction, risk reduction and required documentation. FY 2012 Plans: Continue support of EMD activities. Support the completion of software development, integration and test. Continue to support I and Limited User Testing- OT. Execute Milestone C Decision. Perform technical assessments, concept studies, cost reduction, risk reduction and required documentation. Title: Government Program Management (PM) Articl Description: Provide Government PM management of EMD activities. FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continue Government PM management of EMD activities. Continue management of integration of system hardware component and system level integration. Continue management of software development, integration and testing. FY 2011 Plans: Continue Government PM management of EMD activities. Manage completion of integration of system hardware components a system level integration. Continue management of software development, integration and test. Manage the delivery of Orbits 1 and 2 to test sites. Initiate Developmental Testing (DT) and conduct user training. Prepare for Milestone (MS) C Decision. FY 2012 Plans: Continue Government Program Management (PM) of Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) activities. Manage completion of software development, integration and test. Continue management of Developmental Testing (DT) and conduct Limited User Testing- Operational Testing (OT). Prepare for Milestone (MS) C Decision. Title: Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) Articl Description: The GFE provided to the Prime Contractor for hardware and system integration.	FY 201	2010 FY 2011	FY 2012
Continue support of EMD activities. Support the completion of software development, integration and test. Continue to support I and Limited User Testing- OT. Execute Milestone C Decision. Perform technical assessments, concept studies, cost reduction, risk reduction and required documentation. Title: Government Program Management (PM) Article Description: Provide Government PM management of EMD activities. FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continue Government PM management of EMD activities. Continue management of integration of system hardware component and system level integration. Continue management of software development, integration and testing. FY 2011 Plans: Continue Government PM management of EMD activities. Manage completion of integration of system hardware components a system level integration. Continue management of software development, integration and test. Manage the delivery of Orbits 1 and 2 to test sites. Initiate Developmental Testing (DT) and conduct user training. Prepare for Milestone (MS) C Decision. FY 2012 Plans: Continue Government Program Management (PM) of Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) activities. Manage completion of software development, integration and test. Continue management of Developmental Testing (DT) and conduct Limited User Testing- Operational Testing (OT). Prepare for Milestone (MS) C Decision. Title: Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) Article Description: The GFE provided to the Prime Contractor for hardware and system integration.			
Provide Government PM management of EMD activities. FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continue Government PM management of EMD activities. Continue management of integration of system hardware component and system level integration. Continue management of software development, integration and testing. FY 2011 Plans: Continue Government PM management of EMD activities. Manage completion of integration of system hardware components a system level integration. Continue management of software development, integration and test. Manage the delivery of Orbits 1 and 2 to test sites. Initiate Developmental Testing (DT) and conduct user training. Prepare for Milestone (MS) C Decision. FY 2012 Plans: Continue Government Program Management (PM) of Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) activities. Manage completion of software development, integration and test. Continue management of Developmental Testing (DT) and conduct Limited User Testing- Operational Testing (OT). Prepare for Milestone (MS) C Decision. Title: Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) Articl Description: The GFE provided to the Prime Contractor for hardware and system integration.	Т		
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continue Government PM management of EMD activities. Continue management of integration of system hardware component and system level integration. Continue management of software development, integration and testing. FY 2011 Plans: Continue Government PM management of EMD activities. Manage completion of integration of system hardware components a system level integration. Continue management of software development, integration and test. Manage the delivery of Orbits 1 and 2 to test sites. Initiate Developmental Testing (DT) and conduct user training. Prepare for Milestone (MS) C Decision. FY 2012 Plans: Continue Government Program Management (PM) of Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) activities. Manage completion of software development, integration and test. Continue management of Developmental Testing (DT) and conduct Limited User Testing- Operational Testing (OT). Prepare for Milestone (MS) C Decision. Title: Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) Article Description: The GFE provided to the Prime Contractor for hardware and system integration.		3.310 2.272 0 0	2.815
Continue Government PM management of EMD activities. Continue management of integration of system hardware component and system level integration. Continue management of software development, integration and testing. FY 2011 Plans: Continue Government PM management of EMD activities. Manage completion of integration of system hardware components a system level integration. Continue management of software development, integration and test. Manage the delivery of Orbits 1 and 2 to test sites. Initiate Developmental Testing (DT) and conduct user training. Prepare for Milestone (MS) C Decision. FY 2012 Plans: Continue Government Program Management (PM) of Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) activities. Manage completion of software development, integration and test. Continue management of Developmental Testing (DT) and conduct Limited User Testing- Operational Testing (OT). Prepare for Milestone (MS) C Decision. Title: Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) Articl Description: The GFE provided to the Prime Contractor for hardware and system integration.			
Continue Government PM management of EMD activities. Manage completion of integration of system hardware components a system level integration. Continue management of software development, integration and test. Manage the delivery of Orbits 1 and 2 to test sites. Initiate Developmental Testing (DT) and conduct user training. Prepare for Milestone (MS) C Decision. FY 2012 Plans: Continue Government Program Management (PM) of Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) activities. Manage completion of software development, integration and test. Continue management of Developmental Testing (DT) and conduct Limited User Testing- Operational Testing (OT). Prepare for Milestone (MS) C Decision. Title: Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) Article Description: The GFE provided to the Prime Contractor for hardware and system integration.	S		
Continue Government Program Management (PM) of Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) activities. Manage completion of software development, integration and test. Continue management of Developmental Testing (DT) and conduct Limited User Testing- Operational Testing (OT). Prepare for Milestone (MS) C Decision. Title: Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) Articl Description: The GFE provided to the Prime Contractor for hardware and system integration.	nd		
Articl Description: The GFE provided to the Prime Contractor for hardware and system integration.			
		5.694 6.880	4.791
FY 2010 Accomplishments: The GFE provided to the Prime Contractor for hardware and system integration.			
FY 2011 Plans: The GFE provided to the Prime Contractor for hardware and system integration.			
FY 2012 Plans:			

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 5 of 11 R-1 Line Item #160

Army

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB	2012 Army							DATE: Feb	ruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	t & Evaluation,	Army		R-1 ITEM NO PE 0102419 <i>i</i>		_	Office	PROJECT E55: Jnt L Sensor-JL	and Atk Msl E	ef Elevated	Netted
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	grams (\$ in N	Millions, Art	icle Quant	ities in Each))				FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
The GFE provided to the Prime Con	ntractor for har	dware and s	system integ	gration.							
Title: Organizational Support Equip	ment (OSE)							Articles:	-	12.101 0	17.809
Description: The OSE required for	Operational T	esting (OT)	of Enginee	ring and Manı	ufacturing D	evelopment	(EMD) Orbi	it 1.			
FY 2011 Plans: Begin acquisition of the OSE require	ed for OT of E	MD Orbit 1.									
FY 2012 Plans: Complete the acquisition OSE requi	ired for OT of	EMD Orbit 1									
				Accon	nplishment	s/Planned P	rograms S	Subtotals	317.132	372.493	344.655
C. Other Program Funding Summa	ary (\$ in Million	ons) FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 201	5 FY 2016	Cost To	
0604869A: Patriot/MEADS Combined Aggregate Program (CAP)	570.831	467.139	406.605	<u>555</u>	406.605	1 1 2010	112014	<u> 20 .</u>	<u> </u>		Continuing
• 0605456A: <i>PAC-3/MSE Missile</i>											
• C53101: MSE Missile		62.500	88.993 74.953		88.993 74.953		68.938 532.540			Continuing Continuing	
 C53101: MSE Missile C53201: Patriot/MEADS GSE BZ0525: JLENS Production 0604802A: SLAMRAAM 0605455A: SLAMRAAM 	56.441	23.700						487.04	9 560.099	Continuing Continuing Continuing Continuing	Continuing Continuing Continuing
 C53101: MSE Missile C53201: Patriot/MEADS GSE BZ0525: JLENS Production 0604802A: SLAMRAAM 0605455A: SLAMRAAM C81002: SLAMRAAM Launcher C81004: SLAMRAAM Missile 0603305A: Indirect Fire 	56.441		74.953		74.953		532.540	487.04	9 560.099 6 416.888	Continuing Continuing Continuing Continuing	Continuing Continuing Continuing Continuing
 C53101: MSE Missile C53201: Patriot/MEADS GSE BZ0525: JLENS Production 0604802A: SLAMRAAM 0605455A: SLAMRAAM C81002: SLAMRAAM Launcher C81004: SLAMRAAM Missile 	56.441 164.719	23.700 116.732	74.953 19.931		74.953 19.931		532.540 501.459	487.04 454.96	9 560.099 6 416.888	Continuing Continuing Continuing Continuing Continuing Continuing Continuing	Continuing Continuing Continuing Continuing

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 6 of 11 R-1 Line Item #160

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB	2012 Army							DATE: Febr	uary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	ΊΤΥ			R-1 ITEM NO	MENCLAT	JRE		PROJECT	,		
2040: Research, Development, Test		Army		PE 0102419/	۹: <i>Aerostat</i> ۵	loint Project	Office		nd Atk Msl D	ef Elevated	Netted
BA 7: Operational Systems Develop								Sensor-JLE	:NS		
C. Other Program Funding Summa	ary (\$ in Milli	<u>ons)</u>									
			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	<u>Base</u>	<u>000</u>	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
0605457A: Army Integrated Air										-	
and Missile Defense (AIAMD)											
BZ5075: Army IAMD Battle							23.587	100.560	256.855	Continuing	Continuing
Command System (IBCS)											
• 0208053: JOINT TACT GRD	13.189	12.403	27.630		27.630		14.109	7.912	8.039	Continuing	Continuing
STATION-P3I (MIP)											
BZ8401: Joint Tactical Ground	6.682	9.279	1.199		1.199		9.740	4.432	4.496	Continuing	Continuing
Station (JTAGS)										_	
• 0604820A: Sentinel			2.890		2.890		1.983	1.968	2.937	Continuing	Continuing
WK5057: Sentinel Mods	25.783		41.657		41.657		48.418	46.613	46.463	Continuing	Continuing

D. Acquisition Strategy

The JLENS Operational Requirements Document (ORD) calls for initial fielding to Block I requirements (tethered aerostat platforms for Fire Control and Surveillance radars), followed by fielding of Block II (untethered platforms for Fire Control and Surveillance radars), and Block III (both radars on a single untethered platform). There is currently no funding beyond Block I.

On 28 Jun 05, the Defense Acquisition Board (DAB) approved the JLENS program for entry into Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) as recommended by the Army Acquisition Executive. The DAB elected to maintain oversight of JLENS as an ACAT 1D program as stated in the Acquisition Decision Memorandum signed on August 5, 2005.

The Defense Acquisition Executive, on August 5, 2005, approved the JLENS Acquisition Strategy to field an EMD system in order to get the capabilities provided by JLENS to the warfighter expeditiously. In fielding an EMD system (Orbit 1), DoDI 5000.02 requires the JLENS program to conduct full Operational Testing using Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) funding as well as the traditional Demonstration Testing conducted during EMD. RDT&E funds for Fiscal Year (FY) 2012, FY 2013 and FY 2014 are necessary to execute the testing of the EMD system. A Milestone C decision for JLENS to enter Low Rate Initial Production will occur fourth quarter FY 2012, in parallel to testing of the EMD system. All of the EMD phase exit criteria will be met with Orbits 1 and 2 serving as production representative articles. The LRIP contract will be awarded first quarter FY 2013 with the first initial production fielding (LRIP Orbit 1) scheduled for FY 2016.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Volume 7 - 39

Army Page 7 of 11 R-1 Line Item #160

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0102419A: Aerostat Joint Project Office

PROJECT

DATE: February 2011

E55: Jnt Land Atk Msl Def Elevated Netted

Sensor-JLENS

Management Services ((\$ in Millic	ons)		FY 2	2011		2012 ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
EMD Government Program Management	Various	PEO Missiles and Space:Various	13.361	2.272		2.815		-		2.815	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	13.361	2.272		2.815		-		2.815			

Product Development (\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2	2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Contractor Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) Hardware/Software	SS/CPIF	Raytheon Systems Co.:Andover, MA	962.145	223.011		209.398		-		209.398	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Technology Development (TD) Phase Contracts and Government	SS/CPIF	Raytheon Systems Co.:MA/CA/FL/TX	301.083	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
EMD Other Government Agency System Engineering	Various	Multiple:Various	17.746	5.242		7.244		-		7.244	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Lightweight X-Band Radar Antenna	Various	Various:Various	7.811	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
EMD System Engineering Contracts	Various	Multiple:Various	62.409	33.261		16.606		-		16.606	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
EMD Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) Various	Various	Multiple:Various	18.754	1.690		2.191		-		2.191	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
EMD GFE - Cooperative Engagement Transmission Processing Set (CETPS)	Various	Multiple:Various	24.541	5.190		2.600		-		2.600	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
EMD Government Integrated Logistics Support	Various	Multiple:Various	3.915	1.570		1.884		-		1.884	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
EMD Organizational Support Equipment	Various	Multiple:Various	-	12.101		17.809		-		17.809	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	1,398.404	282.065		257.732		-		257.732			

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 8 of 11 R-1 Line Item #160

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0102419A: Aerostat Joint Project Office

PROJECT

DATE: February 2011

E55: Jnt Land Atk Msl Def Elevated Netted Sensor-JLENS

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
TD Phase Misc Support	Various	Multiple:Various	2.084	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
		Subtotal	2.084	-		-		-		-			0.000

Test and Evaluation (\$ i	n Millions	5)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
TD Phase Maintain Test Bed	SS/CPFF	CAS, Inc.:NM	3.056	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
EMD Contractor System Test and Evaluation	SS/CPIF	Raytheon Systems Co.:MA/CA/FL/TX	10.267	35.456		51.269		-		51.269	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
EMD Government System Test and Evaluation	Various	Multiple:Various	32.235	52.700		32.839		-		32.839	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	45.558	88.156		84.108		-		84.108			

_									
	Total Prior								Target
	Years		FY 2012	FY:	2012	FY 2012	Cost To		Value of
	Cost	FY 2011	Base	0	co	Total	Complete	Total Cost	Contract
Project Cost Totals	1,459.407	372.493	344.655	-		344.655			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 9 of 11 R-1 Line Item #160

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0102419A: Aerostat Joint Project Office
Sensor-JLENS

		FY	2010)		FY	2011			FY 2	012			FY 2	2013	3		FY	2014			FY	2015	5		FY 2	2016	,
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Orbit 1 Delivery to Test		,																		,								
Milestone (MS) C																												
Orbit 2 Delivery to Test																												
First Unit Equipped (FUE)																												
Subsystem/System (Ss/Sys) Level Integration																												
Developmental Testing (DT)																												
Limited User Test (LUT) - OT																												-
Force Development Test (FDT)- OT																												
Initial Operational Test (IOT)																												

 UNCLASSIFIED

 Army
 Page 10 of 11
 R-1 Line Item #160
 Volume 7 - 42

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	and Alle Mal Dof Floring and Notice
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	PE 0102419A: Aerostat Joint Project Office	Sensor-JLE	nd Atk MsI Def Elevated Netted :NS

Schedule Details

	St	art	End		
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year	
Orbit 1 Delivery to Test	2	2011	2	2011	
Milestone (MS) C	3	2012	3	2012	
Orbit 2 Delivery to Test	3	2011	3	2011	
First Unit Equipped (FUE)	3	2013	3	2013	
Subsystem/System (Ss/Sys) Level Integration	3	2010	3	2011	
Developmental Testing (DT)	4	2010	4	2013	
Limited User Test (LUT) - OT	2	2012	2	2012	
Force Development Test (FDT)- OT	2	2013	3	2013	
Initial Operational Test (IOT)	4	2013	1	2014	

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0203347A: Intelligence Support to Cyber (ISC) - MIP

DATE: February 2011

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	2.360	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	2.360
CY7: INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO CYBER (ISC) MIP	-	2.360	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	2.360

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Mission Description: INSCOM conducts Research, Development, Testing, and Evaluation (RDTE) of rapid prototype cyber ttack weapons systems in support of full-spectrum military operations. INSCOM's cyber weapons are low-density

or non-standard items whose requirements have been Army G3/5/7 or Joint validated and are not in development by acquisition technology developers or system program managers. Justification: INSCOM executes its assigned RDTE mission in compliance with AR 10-87, 70-1, 71-9 and 700-142; CJCSI 3170.01E and O-3600.1; Army Cyberspace OPLAN 8039, and HQDA Cyberspace EXORD 155-10. INSCOM's rapid Cyber weapons systems developments are based on national, strategic,

operational, and tactical requirements outlined in NSPD-38/54, HSPD-23, National Strategic Plan for United States Offensive Cyber Operations, National Strategy to Secure Cyberspace, National Military Strategy for Cyberspace Operations, Comprehensive National Cybersecurity Initiative, USSTRATCOM CONPLAN 8039, and COCOM Joint Urgent/Operational Needs Statements. Priority of effort is given to requirements that are immediately traceable to land component command operations. Risk/Funding: Not funding INSCOM's RDTE program severely degrades the Army's ability to provide Combatant Commanders with critical warfighting capabilities, resulting in additional risks of failure to Army operational missions or increased costs in Soldier lives and fighting capability due to loss of combat advantages provided through this program.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	-	2.360	2.660	-	2.660
Current President's Budget	-	2.360	-	-	-
Total Adjustments	-	-	-2.660	-	-2.660
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
 SBIR/STTR Transfer 	-	-			
Other Adjustments 1	-	-	-2.660	-	-2.660

UNCLASSIFIED

Army Page 1 of 3 R-1 Line Item #161 Volume 7 - 44

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	t ification: Pl	3 2012 Army							DATE : Feb	ruary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development					R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203347A: Intelligence Support to Cyber (ISC) - MIP				CT TELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO CYBE P			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
CY7: INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO CYBER (ISC) MIP	-	2.360	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	2.360	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

Note

Not applicable for this item.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Mission Description: INSCOM conducts Research, Development, Testing, and Evaluation (RDTE) of rapid prototype cyber ttack weapons systems in support of full-spectrum military operations. INSCOM's cyber weapons are low-density

or non-standard items whose requirements have been Army G3/5/7 or Joint validated and are not in development by acquisition technology developers or system program managers. Justification: INSCOM executes its assigned RDTE mission in compliance with AR 10-87, 70-1, 71-9 and 700-142; CJCSI 3170.01E and O-3600.1; Army Cyberspace OPLAN 8039, and HQDA Cyberspace EXORD 155-10. INSCOM's rapid Cyber weapons systems developments are based on national, strategic,

operational, and tactical requirements outlined in NSPD-38/54, HSPD-23, National Strategic Plan for United States Offensive Cyber Operations, National Strategy to Secure Cyberspace, National Military Strategy for Cyberspace Operations, Comprehensive National Cybersecurity Initiative, USSTRATCOM CONPLAN 8039, and COCOM Joint Urgent/Operational Needs Statements. Priority of effort is given to requirements that are immediately traceable to land component command operations.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Title: Military Intelligence Program	-	2.360	-	
Articles:		0		
Description: This is a Military Intelligence Program				
FY 2011 Plans: Classified MIP				
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	-	2.360	-	

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

Page 2 of 3 R-1 Line Item #161

Volume 7 - 45

Army

LINCI ASSIEIED

	UNCLASSIFIED	
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203347A: Intelligence Support to Cyber (ISC) - MIP	PROJECT CY7: INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO CYBER (ISC) MIP
E. Performance Metrics		
Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification	n material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performar	nce Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0203726A: Adv Field Artillery Tactical Data System

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

, ,											
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	29.127	24.622	29.546	-	29.546	24.448	24.593	24.444	24.655	Continuing	Continuing
322: Adv Fa Tac Data Sys/Eff Cntrl Sys (AFATDS/ECS)	17.687	12.835	18.039	-	18.039	18.722	18.886	18.711	18.827	Continuing	Continuing
F19: JADOCS	11.440	11.787	11.507	-	11.507	5.726	5.707	5.733	5.828	Continuing	Continuing

Note

The increase in the FY12 funding of \$8.000 million is to fund requirements for AFATDS Increment II Capability Development Document (CDD).

The increase in the FY12 funding of \$5.890 million is to fund upgrade and sustain JADOCS (273726.F19)

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Advanced Field Artillery Tactical Data System (AFATDS) automates fire support planning and coordination for the Army, Navy, and Marine Corps. AFATDS automates the planning, coordinating and controlling of all fire support assets in the Joint battlespace (field artillery, mortars, close air support, naval gunfire, attack helicopters, and offensive electronic warfare) from Echelons Above Corps to Battery or Platoon in support of all levels of conflict. As a result of Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF)/Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF), AFATDS has implemented precision fires capabilities in new/improved munitions such as Multiple Launch Rocket System (MLRS) Unitary Vertical Attack, Excalibur, Smart and 155 Bonus. Additional implemented capabilities include automatic conduct of Unit Fratricide Avoidance Checks and Collateral Damage Avoidance. AFATDS will interoperate with the other Army Battle Command Systems, current and future Army, Navy and Air Force Command and Control weapon systems, and the German, French, British, and Italian fire support systems. The system is composed of common hardware/software employed in varying configurations at different operational facilities (or nodes) and unique system software interconnected by tactical communications in the form of a software-driven, automated network. The system is currently fielding non-developmental, rugged common hardware, running the Windows Operating System. The total force will be fielded a Windows based platform by fiscal year 2013.

Joint Automated Deep Operations Coordination System (JADOCS) is a Joint, Interagency, and Multinational (JIM) Targeting, Mission Management, and Common Operational Picture (COP) Windows based software suite which functions as a complementary system to the AFATDS. JADOCS provides integration and synergy between multiple Command & Control (C2) systems of the uniformed services, and Joint and combined elements involved in the targeting process and performs coordination and calculates collateral damage. JADOCS Mission Managers support this coordination amongst Warfighter functional areas to rapidly execute critical missions. JADOCS is a component of the Integrated Fires Family of Systems (FOS) and complementary to the Army Battle Command Systems (ABCS) System of Systems (SoS).

Army Page 1 of 16 R-1 Line Item #162 Volume 7 - 47

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203726A: Adv Field Artillery Tactical Data System	
BA 7: Operational Systems Development		

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	29.174	24.622	16.497	-	16.497
Current President's Budget	29.127	24.622	29.546	-	29.546
Total Adjustments	-0.047	-	13.049	-	13.049
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
 SBIR/STTR Transfer 	-	-			
 Adjustments to Budget Years 	-	-	8.000	-	8.000
Other Adjustments 1	-	-	5.890	-	5.890
Other Adjustments 2	0.357	-	-0.641	-	-0.641
Other Adjustments 3	-0.404	-	-0.200	-	-0.200

DATE: February 2011

Volume 7 - 49

	······································										
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test	& Evaluation	n, Army		PE 0203726A: Adv Field Artillery Tactical Data				PROJECT 322: Adv Fa	Sys		
BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	ment			System				(AFATDS/E	CS)		
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
322: Adv Fa Tac Data Sys/Eff Cntrl Sys (AFATDS/ECS)	17.687	12.835	18.039	-	18.039	18.722	18.886	18.711	18.827	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Army

Exhibit R-2A. RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army

The Advanced Field Artillery Tactical Data System (AFATDS) automates fire support planning and coordination for the Army, Navy, and Marine Corps. AFATDS automates the planning, coordinating and controlling of all fire support assets in the Joint battlespace (field artillery, mortars, close air support, naval gunfire, attack helicopters, and offensive electronic warfare) from Echelons Above Corps to Battery or Platoon in support of all levels of conflict. As a result of Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF)/Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF), AFATDS has implemented precision fires capabilities in new/improved munitions such as Multiple Launch Rocket System (MLRS) Unitary Vertical Attack, Excalibur, Smart and 155 Bonus. Additional implemented capabilities include automatic conduct of Unit Fratricide Avoidance Checks and Collateral Damage Avoidance. AFATDS will interoperate with the other Army Battle Command Systems, current and future Army, Navy and Air Force Command and Control weapon systems, and the German, French, British, and Italian fire support systems. The system is composed of common hardware/software employed in varying configurations at different operational facilities (or nodes) and unique system software interconnected by tactical communications in the form of a software-driven, automated network. The system is currently fielding non-developmental, rugged common hardware, running the Windows Operating System. The total force will be fielded a Windows based platform by fiscal year 2013. The increase in the FY12 - 16 funding of \$8.000 million per year is to fund requirements for AFATDS Increment II Capability Development Document (CDD).

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: Program Support Costs for AFATDS software development efforts	1.025	1.087	1.244	-	1.244
Articles:	0	0			
Description: Provide program support for AFATDS software development efforts for Versions 6.6, 6.7, 6.8 and 6.9.					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Funded program support for AFATDS software development efforts					
FY 2011 Plans: Continue program support for AFATDS software development efforts					
FY 2012 Base Plans: Future program support for AFATDS software development efforts					
Title: AFATDS software development efforts costs	11.575	8.748	13.235	_	13.235

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 3 of 16 R-1 Line Item #162

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army				D	ATE: Febru	ary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203726A: Adv Field Artill System		al Data 3	ROJECT 22: Adv Fa Tac Data Sys/Eff Cntrl Sys AFATDS/ECS)				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	
		Articles:	(0				
Description: Complete development of Versions 6.7 and 6.8. In	titate development of Version 6.9							
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continued AFATDS software development efforts for Version 6.7	and Version 6.8.							
FY 2011 Plans: Complete AFATDS software development versions 6.7 and 6.8.								
FY 2012 Base Plans: Initiate AFATDS software Version 6.9 development effort.								
Title: Voice Recognition/Technology Insertion		Articles:	2.000	-	-	-	-	
Description: Voice Recognition/Technology Insertion								
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Completed Voice Recognition/Technology Insertion.								
Title: Testing			2.800		3.560	-	3.56	
		Articles:	(0				

FY 2010 Accomplishments:

Conducted and supported AFATDS test activities.

Description: Conduct and support test activities

FY 2011 Plans:

Conduct and support AFATDS test activities.

FY 2012 Base Plans:

Conduct and support AFATDS test activities.

Title: Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology transfer program

Description: Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology transfer program

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 4 of 16 R-1 Line Item #162

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army	DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203726A: Adv Field Artillery Tactical Data	322: Adv Fa	a Tac Data Sys/Eff Cntrl Sys
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	System	(AFATDS/E	CS)

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Supported Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology transfer program					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	17.687	12.835	18.039	-	18.039

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To		
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	<u>Base</u>	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost	
• B28600: ADV FA TAC DATA SYS	1.536	4.240	0.337	5.782	6.119		0.232			Continuing	Continuing	
• B28620: MOD OF IN-SVC	29.175	35.608	34.556		34.556		17.194	10.148	10.053	Continuing	Continuing	
EQUIP, AFATDS												

D. Acquisition Strategy

AFATDS began fielding in 1996, with the original AFATDS Version 96 Materiel Release. It has been updated with subsequent releases reflecting the Spiral development strategy of the program. Full Materiel Release of AFATDS 6.5.0.1 (Windows version) was achieved in Aug 2009. Full Materiel Release of AFATDS 6.6.0 (Windows version) was achieved in June 2010. AFATDS 6.7 is nearing completion and will be fielded in FY2011.

Development efforts will continue to enhance Command and Control for precision weapons, Excalibur Height above Ellipsoid (HAE), Active Weapon Target pairing and Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) area computations. It will also provide backward interoperability to Pass and Subscribe Services (PASS) and AFATDS XML Engine (AXE) for Software Block 2 (SWB2) to enable connection to SWB1/1+ versions.

AFATDS Capability Development Document (CDD) is under development and currently going through Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JROC) review. JROC approval is expected in Fiscal Year 2011. AFATDS also continues to develop to Battle Command Capability Set requirements.

E. Performance Metrics

Army

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 5 of 16 R-1 Line Item #162

Volume 7 - 51

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Pro	ject Cost	Analysis: PB 2012 A	Army							DATI	E: Februar	y 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDO 2040: <i>Research, Develop</i> BA 7: <i>Operational System</i>	oment, Tes	t & Evaluation, Army			0203726A	MENCLAT : Adv Field		actical Data		ECT dv Fa Tac DS/ECS)	Data Sys/l	Eff Cntrl Sy	⁄s
Management Services ((\$ in Millio	ons)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 20		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Program Management	SS/FP	PM Battle Command (BC):Ft. Monmouth/ APG, MD	14.307	1.012		1.164		-		1.164	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
SBIR/STTR	SS/FP	Ft. Monmouth, NJ:Ft. Monmouth, NJ	-	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
		Subtotal	14.307	1.012		1.164		-		1.164			
Product Development (\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 20		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Software Development	SS/CPAF	Raytheon Systems Corp.:Ft. Wayne, IN	282.803	8.748		13.235		-		13.235	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
		Subtotal	282.803	8.748		13.235		-		13.235			
Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 20		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Information Assurance	C/FP	CSC:Eatontown, NJ	0.195	0.075		0.080		-		0.080	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	0.195	0.075		0.080		-		0.080			
Test and Evaluation (\$ i	and Evaluation (\$ in Millions)			FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 20		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Test Support	C/FP	Titan and various contractors:Various Locations	0.195	1.950		2.360		-		2.360	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
Limited User Test/Government Confidence Demo	C/FP	Army Test & Evaluation Command	11.052	1.050		1.200		-		1.200	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 6 of 16 R-1 Line Item #162

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203726A: Adv Field Artillery Tactical Data
System

PROJECT
322: Adv Fa Tac Data Sys/Eff Cntrl Sys
(AFATDS/ECS)

st and Evaluation (\$	in Millions	s)		FY 2	011		2012 ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		(ATEC)/Fire Support Test Directorate (FSTD):Various Locations											
		Subtotal	11.247	3.000		3.560		-		3.560			
			Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2	011		2012 ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		Project Cost Totals	308.552	12.835		18.039		-		18.039			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 A	ırmy					DATE: Februa	ry 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, A BA 7: Operational Systems Development		NOMENCLATUF 26A: <i>Adv Field A</i>	RE rtillery Tactical Data		1.1100_01			
	FY 2010 1 2 3 4 1	FY 2011 2 3 4	FY 2012 1 2 3 4	FY 2013 1 2 3 4 1	FY 2014 2 3 4	FY 2015 1 2 3 4	FY 2016 1 2 3 4	

		FY 2010 FY 2011			FY	2012	2		FY 2	2013	3	FY 2014			Ļ	FY 2015 FY 201			016	;								
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Materiel Release V6.6 (BC 10 - Marshall)								•							•				•	•		•						
Fielding V6.6 (BC 10 - Marshall)																												
Materiel Release V6.7 (BC 11 - MacArthur)																												
Fielding V6.7 (BC 11 - MacArthur)																												
Materiel Release V6.8 (BC 13 - Eisenhower)																												
Fielding V6.8 (BC 13 - Eisenhower)																												
Development and Testing V6.9 (BC 15 - Arnold)																												
Materiel Release V6.9 (BC 15 - Arnold)																												
Fielding V6.9 (BC 15 - Arnold)																												
Development and Testing V7.0																												
Materiel Release V7.0																												Ī

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203726A: Adv Field Artillery Tactical Data	322: Adv Fa	a Tac Data Sys/Eff Cntrl Sys
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	System	(AFATDS/E	CS)

Schedule Details

	St	art	Er	nd
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Materiel Release V6.6 (BC 10 - Marshall)	3	2010	3	2010
Fielding V6.6 (BC 10 - Marshall)	3	2010	4	2010
Materiel Release V6.7 (BC 11 - MacArthur)	1	2011	1	2011
Fielding V6.7 (BC 11 - MacArthur)	1	2011	2	2011
Materiel Release V6.8 (BC 13 - Eisenhower)	4	2012	4	2012
Fielding V6.8 (BC 13 - Eisenhower)	4	2012	2	2013
Development and Testing V6.9 (BC 15 - Arnold)	4	2011	1	2014
Materiel Release V6.9 (BC 15 - Arnold)	3	2014	3	2014
Fielding V6.9 (BC 15 - Arnold)	3	2014	1	2015
Development and Testing V7.0	4	2013	2	2016
Materiel Release V7.0	3	2016	3	2016

Army

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	t ification : PE	3 2012 Army							DATE: Febi	uary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test		n, Army		R-1 ITEM N PE 0203726		TURE d Artillery Tad		PROJECT Pata F19: JADOCS			
BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	ment			System							
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
F19: JADOCS	11.440	11.787	11.507	-	11.507	5.726	5.707	5.733	5.828	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Army

Joint Automated Deep Operations Coordination System (JADOCS) is a Joint, Interagency, and Multinational (JIM) Targeting, Mission Management, and Common Operational Picture (COP) Windows-based software suite which functions as a complementary system to the Advanced Field Artillery Tactical Data System (AFATDS). JADOCS provides integration and synergy between multiple Command & Control (C2) systems of the uniformed services, and joint and combined elements involved in the targeting process and performs coordination and calculates collateral damage. JADOCS Mission Managers support this coordination amongst Warfighter functional areas to rapidly execute critical missions. JADOCS enables coordination and de-confliction of conventional and asymmetric war-fighting missions. JADOCS uses a map-oriented Graphical User Interface (GUI) and overlays as a framework for information display. JADOCS is fielded to Air Force, Navy, Marine, and Army units involved in the targeting process at Echelons Above Corps, Corps, and Division. JADOCS provides the Combatant Commands with the capability to plan and direct theater counter-fire and precision strike operations through the real time synchronization of US and Coalition assets. The application provides the Warfighter with a combination of tools, services and Mission Managers for rapid "system of systems" integration, visualization, coordination and deconfliction of critical mission information. It not only enhances Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (C4ISR) systems in the areas of strike planning but also in situational awareness, joint and combined interoperability and force transition in war. JADOCS is a component of the Integrated Fires Family of Systems (FOS) and complementary to the Army Battle Command Systems (ABCS) System of Systems (SoS). The increase in the FY12 funding of \$5.890 million is to upgrade and sustain JADOCS.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: Program Support Costs for JADOCS Software Development Efforts Articles:	0.571 0	0.630 0	0.315	-	0.315
Description: Program support for JADOCS software development efforts for versions 1.0.4.1, 1.0.5.1, 1.0.6.0, and 1.0.7.0					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Funded Program support for JADOCS software development efforts					
FY 2011 Plans: Continues the program support for JADOCS software development efforts					
FY 2012 Base Plans:					

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 10 of 16

R-1 Line Item #162

Volume 7 - 56

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203726A: Adv Field Artillery Tactical Data	F19: <i>JADO</i>	CS
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	System		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Future program support for JADOCS software development efforts					
Title: JADOCS Software Develop Efforts costs Articles:	8.450 0	8.750 0	9.992	-	9.992
Description: Complete development version 1.0.4.1, 1.0.5.1 and 1.0.6.0 Initiate development of version 1.0.7.0.					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: JADOCS software development efforts for version 1.0.4.1, 1.0.5.1 and 1.0.6.0					
FY 2011 Plans: Complete JADOCS software development verison 1.0.5.1 and 1.0.6.0					
FY 2012 Base Plans: Initiate JADOCS software version 1.0.7.0 efforts.					
Title: Testing Articles:	2.087 0	2.407 0	1.200	-	1.200
Description: Conduct and Support Test Activities					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Conducted and supported JADOCS test activities.					
FY 2011 Plans: Continued JADOCS test activities.					
FY 2012 Base Plans: Future JADOCS test activities.					
Title: SBIR/SBTT Articles:	0.332 0	-	-	-	-
Description: Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfer Program					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Supported Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfer Program					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	11.440	11.787	11.507	-	11.507

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 11 of 16 R-1 Line Item #162

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203726A: Adv Field Artillery Tactical Data	F19: <i>JADO</i>	CS
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	System		

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

In FY 2003, the Automated Deep Operations Coordination System (ADOCS) was renamed the Joint Automated Deep Operations Coordination System (JADOCS) and was transitioned to Product Manager, Fire Support Command and Control (FSC2).

JADOCS has operated as a graduated Advanced Concept Technology Demonstration (ACTD) program since 2005. In 2008, the Vice Chief of Staff Army approved JADOCS for Acquisition program status under the Capabilities Development for Rapid Transition (CDRT) program. Commencing in FY 10 and continuing through FY 15, the Army will provide funding for its requirements under JADOCS. The Army started development of a Capabilities Production Document in 2008 and should seek Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JROC) approval in FY2011.

JADOCS is presently fielded to U.S. Central Command (USCENTCOM), U.S. Pacific Command (USPACOM), U.S. Forces Korea (USFK) and U.S. European Command (USEUCOM), including their subordinate commands. JADOCS is distributed to over 200 servers, 2600 workstations and 4000 users worldwide. Additionally, JADOCS is fielded to coalition partners. The Republic of Korea, the United Kingdom, and North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) have Foreign Military Sales (FMS) cases.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in t	the propagation of this	justification material ma	y be found in the FY 2010 Arm	v Porformanco Bud	not Justification Book	dated May 2010
renormance memos used in i	the preparation of this	justilication material ma	y be loulld ill the FT ZUTU Allii	y Penomiance bud	get Justilication book,	, dated May 2010

Army UNCLASSIFIED
Page 12 of 16 R-1 Line Item #162
Volume 7 - 58

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army DATE: February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY **R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT** 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0203726A: Adv Field Artillery Tactical Data F19: JADOCS BA 7: Operational Systems Development System FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Management Services (\$ in Millions) FY 2011 Base oco Total **Total Prior** Contract Target Method Performing Years Award Award Award Cost To Value of Cost Cost Category Item & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Date Cost Date Date Complete **Total Cost** Contract Cost Cost Ft. Monmouth:Fort SIBR/STTR Various Continuing Continuing Continuing Monmouth, NJ Chenega Federal **Business/Technical Services** 0.404 Various 0.165 0.165 Continuina Continuina Continuina Systems:Various Subtotal 0.404 0.165 0.165 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 **Product Development (\$ in Millions)** FY 2011 Base oco Total **Total Prior** Contract Target Performing Years Award **Cost To** Value of Method Award Award **Cost Category Item** & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Cost Date Complete **Total Cost** Contract Date Cost Raytheon Systems SS/FP Software Development & Test 8.809 Continuing Continuina Continuina Corp.:Alexandria, VA TBD:TBD Continuing Continuing Software Development & Test Various 9.992 9.992 Continuina Subtotal 8.809 9.992 9.992 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Support (\$ in Millions) **FY 2011** Base oco Total Contract **Total Prior** Target Method Performing Years Award Award Award Cost To Value of **Cost Category Item Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Complete **Total Cost** Contract & Type Program Management -Fort Monmouth and Various 0.200 0.150 0.150 Continuing Continuing Continuina Government APG:NJ / MD Subtotal 0.200 0.150 0.150 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Test and Evaluation (\$ in Millions) **FY 2011** oco Base Total Contract **Total Prior** Target Performing Cost To Value of Method Years Award Award Award **Cost Category Item Activity & Location** Cost Cost Cost Complete **Total Cost** & Type Cost Date Date Date Cost Contract Joint Service 2.374 **Test Support** Various 1.200 1.200 Continuina Continuing Continuina Testing:Various Subtotal 2 374 1 200 1.200

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 13 of 16 R-1 Line Item #162 Volume 7 - 59

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203726A: Adv Field Artillery Tactical Data	F19: <i>JADO</i>	CS
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	System		

	Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2	FY 2 011 Ba		2012 FY 2012 CO Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Project Cost Totals	-	11.787	11.507	-	11.507			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

R-1 Line Item #162

Volume 7 - 60

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203726A: Adv Field Artillery Tactical Data
System

PROJECT
F19: JADOCS

		FY	201	0		FY 2	2011			FY 2	2012	2		FY	201	3		FY	201	4		FY	201	5		FY 2	2016	,
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Materiel Release - McArthur					ĺ				,	,						•			,					,	,	,		
Fielding - McArthur																												
Software Development (BC 13) Capability Set 13-14 (Eisenhower)																												
Materiel Release - Eisenhower																												
Fielding - Eisenhower																												
Software Development (BC 15) Capability Set 15-16 (Arnold)																												
Materiel Release - Arnold																												
Fielding - Arnold																												
Software Development (BC 17) - Capability Set 17-18																												
Materiel Release																												

Army UNCLASSIFIED
Page 15 of 16 R-1 Line Item #162

Volume 7 - 61

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army DATE: February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0203726A: Adv Field Artillery Tactical Data F19: JADOCS

BA 7: Operational Systems Development System PROJECT

Schedule Details

	St	art	E	nd
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Materiel Release - McArthur	4	2010	4	2010
Fielding - McArthur	4	2010	2	2011
Software Development (BC 13) Capability Set 13-14 (Eisenhower)	3	2010	4	2011
Materiel Release - Eisenhower	4	2012	4	2012
Fielding - Eisenhower	1	2013	3	2013
Software Development (BC 15) Capability Set 15-16 (Arnold)	4	2011	4	2013
Materiel Release - Arnold	4	2014	4	2014
Fielding - Arnold	1	2015	3	2015
Software Development (BC 17) - Capability Set 17-18	4	2013	4	2015
Materiel Release	4	2016	4	2016

UNCLASSIFIED Volume 7 - 62 Page 16 of 16 R-1 Line Item #162 Army

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs

DATE: February 2011

Volume 7 - 63

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	169.400	204.481	53.307	-	53.307	252.334	245.368	257.684	344.146	Continuing	Continuing
330: ABRAMS TANK IMPROVE PROG	93.832	107.479	9.657	-	9.657	78.675	79.737	189.415	262.548	Continuing	Continuing
371: BRADLEY BASE SUSTAIN	75.568	97.002	12.250	-	12.250	100.359	40.631	34.169	49.598	Continuing	Continuing
DS5: Armored Multipurpose Vehicle	-	-	31.400	-	31.400	73.300	125.000	34.100	32.000	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Army has completed a comprehensive Combat Vehicle (CV) modernization strategy, which includes the Abrams, Bradley and Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle (AMPV) Platforms.

This Program Element (PE) corrects vehicle deficiencies identified in Army operations; continues technical system upgrades to include the integration of applicable technologies on ground systems; addresses needed evolutionary enhancements to tracked combat vehicles; and, develops technology improvements which have application to or insertion opportunities across multiple Ground Combat Systems vehicles. This PE provides combat effectiveness and Operating and Support (O&S) cost reduction enhancements for the Abrams tanks and Bradley Fighting Vehicles through a series of product improvements.

This project funds improvements to the Abrams Family of Vehicles (FOV). The Abrams mission is to provide necessary firepower, mobility and survivability to overmatch all current and emerging enemy threats in achieving decisive dominant maneuver. The M1A2 SEP (current production model) refers to a System Enhancement Package incorporated on the tank in 1998, which upgraded the M1A2's computer systems and its night vision capabilities. Since that time, the M1A2 SEP has virtually reached its upper limits for space, weight, and power (SWaP). The Abrams tank is expected to be in service through 2045 and will be modernized in accordance with a revised capabilities document to: (1) posture the tank infrastructure to enable incremental growth as a hedge against other risks and contingencies, (2) maintain threat overmatch to deter aggression, project power and protect US interests and allies around the globe - especially with regards to the lessons of counterinsurgency learned during the tank's successful campaigns during Operation Iraqi Freedom, and (3) leverage the mature and relevant technology enhancements from the Army Research & Development Technology base. The Abrams tank must embark on a modernization effort in order to remain relevant and maintain threat overmatch capability. The objective is to maintain Survivability, Combat Overmatch and reduce O&S costs through an evolutionary approach with incremental development.

The Bradley Fighting Vehicle System (BFVS) improvements will provide the Heavy Brigade Combat Team (HBCT) with an improved capability to effectively fight in current and future environments. The BFVS improvements achieve the Bradley Capability Development Document to maintain combat over match through a combination of enhanced survivability, mobility and situational awareness subsystems. Improved survivability will leverage and build on lessons learned from Operation Iraqi Freedom to ensure protection against current and future threats in both asymmetric and full spectrum warfare. This provides the Bradley fleet the capability to complement the Abrams Tank and Ground Combat Vehicle in the HBCT. This also provides the HBCT commander with the necessary capabilities

Army Page 1 of 23 R-1 Line Item #163

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

to employ the Bradley and Abrams in a combined arms approach as well as appropriate mounted and dismounted schemes of maneuver on current and future battlefields.

The AMPV will provide the HBCT with a replacement for the M113 Family of Vehicles (FOVs) that is more survivable and mobile to accomplish operational support missions across the full spectrum of conflict. The AMPV will be designed with growth margin in anticipation of inbound and future interoperable capability. The AMPV will use a turretless Bradley A3 chassis and integrate the existing M113 Mission Equipment Packages (MEPs) for the General Purpose, Mortar and C2 vehicles. The MedEvac vehicle will integrate the CAIMAN Hagga system. This provides the AMPV fleet the capability to support the Abrams Tank, Bradley IFV and Ground Combat Vehicle in the HBCT. This also provides the HBCT commander with necessary capabilities to employ mounted and dismounted schemes of maneuver on current and future battlefields.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	196.393	204.481	142.105	-	142.105
Current President's Budget	169.400	204.481	53.307	-	53.307
Total Adjustments	-26.993	-	-88.798	-	-88.798
Congressional General Reductions		-			
Congressional Directed Reductions		-			
Congressional Rescissions	-	-			
Congressional Adds		-			
Congressional Directed Transfers		-			
Reprogrammings	-9.514	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-6.478	-			
 Adjustments to Budget Years 	-	-	-88.798	-	-88.798
Other Adjustments 1	-11.001	-	-	_	-

Army UNCLASSIFIED
Page 2 of 23 R-1 Line Item #163
Volume 7 - 64

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justi	ification: PB	3 2012 Army							DATE: Febr	uary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develope	& Evaluation	n, Army			OMENCLAT 5A: Combat		ovement	PROJECT 330: ABRAI	MS TANK IM	Cost To Complete Total C Cost To Complete Total C Continuing Continu		
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016		Total Cost	
330: ABRAMS TANK IMPROVE PROG	93.832	107.479	9.657	-	9.657	78.675	79.737	189.415	262.548	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Army

The Army is currently preparing a comprehensive Combat Vehicle modernization strategy of which the M1A2 Abrams is one component. There are multiple other combat vehicles covered by this comprehensive strategy. Once the strategy is complete and approved, the M1A2 Abrams modernization component will be prioritized in that strategy. The Abrams Fleet Modernization program will utilize an evolutionary acquisition approach with incremental development. This incremental approach will provide upgrades identified as critical from Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF), emerging threats, and operational interoperability traced to approved requirement documents. The Abrams Fleet Modernization Program is anticipating a Materiel Development Decision (MDD) in Mid FY11. Following the MDD, the Analysis of Alternatives (AoA) will be completed, Army Cost Position (ACP) defined, and the engineering efforts for Increment 1 initiated, leading to a Preliminary Design Review in FY13.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Systems Engineering/project management	16.004	22.500	9.657
Articles:	0	0	
Description: Systems Engineering/project management consists of requirements decomposition & flow down, trade studies, modeling & simulation and risk management			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Requirements decomposition & flow down, trade studies, modeling & simulation, and risk management			
FY 2011 Plans: Requirements decomposition & flow down, trade studies, modeling & simulation, and risk management			
FY 2012 Plans: Requirements decomposition & flow down, trade studies, modeling & simulation, and risk management			
Title: Vehicle Architecture & Software Articles:	14.893 0	15.529 0	-
Description: Vehicle Architecture & Software, to include system concepting, vetronics and software subsystems, power distribution and management system, specification development, Net-Centric and Information Assurance compliance			
FY 2010 Accomplishments:			

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 3 of 23 R-1 Line Item #163

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Fel	oruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs	PROJEC 330: <i>ABF</i>	T RAMS TANK II	MPROVE PR	rog
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Arti	icle Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Vehicle Architecture & Software, to include system concepting management system, specification development, Net-Centric		j			
FY 2011 Plans: Vehicle Architecture & Software, to include system concepting management system, specification development, Net-Centric		i			
Title: Lethality Systems		Articles:	6.900 0	7.500 0	
Description: Lethality Systems, include cannon integration & integration, sensor development and integration, specification		s			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Cannon integration & characterization, fire control enhancement integration, specification development	ents, future munitions integration, sensor development and				
FY 2011 Plans: Cannon integration & characterization, fire control enhanceme integration, specification development	ents, future munitions integration, sensor development and				
Title: Survivability Systems		Articles:	6.200	7.200	
Description: Survivability Systems, to include armor development management, specification development					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Survivability Systems, to include armor development, system of specification development	design and integration, hit avoidance and signature manage	ment,			
FY 2011 Plans: Survivability Systems, to include armor development, system of specification development	design and integration, hit avoidance and signature manage	ment,			
Title: Mobility Systems		A -4: -1	7.700	7.900	
		Articles:	0	0	

UNCLASSIFIED

Army Page 4 of 23 R-1 Line Item #163

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs	PROJEC 330: ABF	RAMS TANK I	MPROVE PR	ROG
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	e Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Description: Mobility Systems, to include fuel efficiency, reliabili specification development	ity/maintainability improvements, track & suspension des	ign,			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Mobility Systems, to include fuel efficiency, reliability/maintainabil development	ility improvements, track & suspension design, specificat	ion			
FY 2011 Plans: Mobility Systems, to include fuel efficiency, reliability/maintainabil development	ility improvements, track & suspension design, specificat	ion			
Title: Auxiliary Systems		Articles:	9.000	9.000	-
Description: Auxiliary Systems, to include Auxiliary Power Unit technology, specification development	development, Nuclear/ Biological/Chemical detection & p				
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Auxiliary Systems, to include Auxiliary Power Unit development, specification development	Nuclear/ Biological/Chemical detection & protection tech	nology,			
FY 2011 Plans: Auxiliary Systems, to include Auxiliary Power Unit development, specification development	Nuclear/ Biological/Chemical detection & protection tech	nology,			
Title: Battle Command/Communication Integration		Articles:	3.000	0.500	-
Description: Battle Command/Communication Integration					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Battle Command/Communication Integration					
FY 2011 Plans: Battle Command/Communication Integration					
Title: Mobility, Survivability, Vetronics and Software Integration		Articles:	2.500 0	2.500 0	-

UNCLASSIFIED

Army

Page 5 of 23 R-1 Line Item #163

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs	PROJECT 330: ABRA	MS TANK IMPROVE PROG

BA 1. Operational Systems Development				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Description: Mobility, Survivability, Vetronics and Software Integration				
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Mobility, Survivability, Vetronics and Software Integration				
FY 2011 Plans: Mobility, Survivability, Vetronics and Software Integration				
Title: Improved Lethality	Articles:	19.000 0	24.000 0	
Description: Improved Lethality (Sensor Integration, Advanced Munitions, Cannon Integration).				
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Sensor Integration, Advanced Munitions, Cannon Integration				
FY 2011 Plans: Sensor Integration, Advanced Munitions, Cannon Integration				
Title: Government Engineering Support	Articles:	0.840 0	6.500 0	
Description: Government Engineering Support				
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Government Engineering Support				
FY 2011 Plans: Government Engineering Support				
Title: Transmission - Integral Generator	Articles:	-	4.200 0	
Description: Transmission - Integral Generator				
FY 2011 Plans:				
Transmission - Integral Generator				
Title: Test & Evaluation	Articles:	0.675 0	0.150 0	

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 6 of 23 R-1 Line Item #163

Volume 7 - 68

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army		DAT	E: Februa	ary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs	PROJECT 330: ABRAMS 7	ANK IMP	ROVE PF	ROG
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Artic	ele Quantities in Each)	FY 20)10 F	Y 2011	FY 2012
Description: Test and Evaluation					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Test and Evaluation					
FY 2011 Plans: Test and Evaluation					
Title: Combat Vehicle Electrical Power - 21st Century (Combat	Vehicle Evaluation Program (CVEP)-21)	Articles:	3.120 0	-	-
Description: Combat Vehicle Electrical Power - 21st Century (be to demonstrate electrical power generation utilizing an X110		fort will			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: This effort will be to demonstrate electrical power generation ut test cell. The demonstration will encompass transmission basic and regulation, electrical characterization of the generator, and increase, the integral generator has the potential to provide future.	c function with an integral generator, electrical power generator cooling. As vehicle power requirements contin	eration			
Title: Current Force Common Active Protection System Radar		Articles:	000.1	-	-
Description: Radar Active Protection System is the conceptua Abrams M1A2 SEP(v2) and the Abrams M1A1 SA as a means intent would be to examine the potential for replacing the ARAT 360 degree protection, reducing the potential for fratricide, and	to address the Abrams Tank mid-range survivability need /ARAT 2 reactive tiles with an active protection system the	s. The			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: This effort will fund the assessment and analysis to determine a Range (SR) APS to the Abrams M1A2 SEP(v2) and the Abram survivability needs. The intent would be to examine the potent protection system thus giving 360 degree protection, reducing the state of the complex content of the complex co	s M1A1 SA as a means to address the Abrams Tank midial for replacing the ARAT/ARAT 2 reactive tiles with an a	range ctive			
Title: Vibration Management Enhancement Program		Articles:	2.400	-	-

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 7 of 23 R-1 Line Item #163

Army

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army	DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement	330: <i>ABRA</i>	MS TANK IMPROVE PROG
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Programs		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Description: The Vibration Management Enhancement Program (VMEP) will monitor the health and state of an Abrams AGT1500 engine as part of the TIGER (Total InteGrated Engine Revitalization) program. The commercial off-the-shelf VMEP system will be adapted to the AGT1500 engine parameters and the VMEP system will be tested and demonstrated on an Abrams tank. The system will ultimately provide diagnostic information to the maintainers and maintenance managers in the operating units. The intent of the system is to keep vibrations at their lowest possible level, provide health status of drive train components, extending or eliminating time between overhaul (TBO), eliminating scheduled inspections, consequently reducing Operations and Sustainment costs.			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: The commercial off-the-shelf VMEP system will be adapted to the AGT1500 engine parameters and the VMEP system will be tested and demonstrated on an Abrams tank. The system will ultimately provide diagnostic information to the maintainers and maintenance managers in the operating units. The intent of the system is to keep vibrations at their lowest possible level, provide health status of drive train components, extending or eliminating time between overhaul (TBO), eliminating scheduled inspections, consequently reducing Operations and Sustainment costs.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	93.832	107.479	9.657

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

	• •		FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	<u>Base</u>	<u>000</u>	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
GA0750: Abrams Upgrade	185.038	183.000	181.329		181.329				372.418	0.000	995.267
Program											
GA0700: Abrams Vehicle	174.562	230.907	160.578		160.578		104.631	104.068	103.014	1,993.900	3,035.860
Modification											
GE0161: Spares (Initial) Abrams			7.219		7.219					0.000	7.219
Upgrade											

D. Acquisition Strategy

Contractor selection for FY2012 and beyond pending Milestone A decision for Increment 1.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Volume 7 - 70

Army Page 8 of 23 R-1 Line Item #163

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement

Programs

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

330: ABRAMS TANK IMPROVE PROG

Product Development (\$	in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Systems Engineering/project management	SS/CPIF	TBD:TBD	22.985	22.500		3.157		-		3.157	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Vehicle Architecture & Software	Various	TBD:TBD	14.893	15.529		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Lethality Systems	Various	TBD:TBD	6.900	7.500		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Survivability Systems	Various	TBD:TBD	6.200	7.200		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Mobility Systems	Various	TBD:TBD	7.700	7.900		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Auxiliary Systems	Various	TBD:TBD	11.833	9.000		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Cannon Integration	Various	ARDEC:Various	14.088	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Sensor Integration	Various	CECOM-NVESD:Ft. Belvoir, VA	7.032	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Battle Command/ Communication Integration	Various	CECOM/PEO C3T:Ft. Belvoir, VA	3.283	0.500		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Mobility/Survivability/ Vetronics/Software Integration	Various	TARDEC:Warren, MI	2.964	2.500		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Improved Lethality	Various	PM, MAS:Picatinny, MJ	1.430	24.000		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Improved Situational Awareness/Supportability/ Survivability	Various	General Dynamics:Sterling Heights, MI	18.845	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Advance Technology Insertion/ Systems Enginering, Electronic Architecture, Battle Command/Comms	Various	General Dynamics Land Systems:Sterling Heights, MI	38.185	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Transmission - Integral Generator	Various	Allison Transmission:Indianapoli IN	s, -	4.200		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Ground Combat Systems (GCS) Open Architecture Electronic Enhancements	Various	Curtis Wright:Various	2.400	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Current Force Common Active Protection System Radar	Various	TBD:TBD	-	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
	Various		-	-		-		-		_	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 9 of 23 R-1 Line Item #163

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement

Programs

PROJECT

DATE: February 2011

330: ABRAMS TANK IMPROVE PROG

Product Development	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011	FY 2012 FY 2012 Base OCO			FY 2012 Total				
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Combat Vehicle Electrical Power - 21st Century (CVEP-21)		Allison Transmission:Indianapoli IN	s,										
Vibration Management Enhancement Program	Various	TBD:TBD	-	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfer Programs (SBIR/STTR)	Various	Various:Various	0.997	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	159.735	100.829		3.157		-		3.157			

Remarks

Contractor, award date and type of contract is to be determined (TBD) due to ongoing development of acquisition strategy.

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2	-	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Engineering Support	SS/LH	Various:Various	18.168	6.500		6.500		-		6.500	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	18.168	6.500		6.500		-		6.500			

Test and Evaluation (\$	in Millions	s)		FY 2	011		2012 ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Advance Technology Preparation and Testing	Various	Aberdeen Proving Ground; Yuma Proving Ground; White Sands Missile Range,:Various	7.401	0.150		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
	•	Subtotal	7.401	0.150		-		-		-			

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 10 of 23 R-1 Line Item #163

Volume 7 - 72

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement	330: <i>ABRA</i>	MS TANK IMPROVE PROG
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Programs		

	Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2	FY 2		2012 FY 2012 CO Total	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
	0000		.011 Du	JU U	10141	Complete	Total Goot	Jonnada
Project Cost Totals	185.304	107.479	9.657	-	9.657			

<u>Remarks</u>

UNCLASSIFIED

R-1 Line Item #163

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement
Programs

PROJECT
330: ABRAMS TANK IMPROVE PROG

		FY 2010 FY 2011				FY 2	2012	2		FY	201	13		FY 2014				FY 2015				FY 2016			3				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	2 3	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Increment 1														·	•		,	,								•			
Management Decision Document (MDD)																													
Preliminary Design																													
Milestone A																													
Preliminary Design Review (PDR)																													
Detail Design and Prototype																													
MS B																													•
Critical Design Review (CDR)																													

Army UNCLASSIFIED
Page 12 of 23 R-1 Line Item #163
Volume 7 - 74

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army	DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement	330: <i>ABRA</i>	MS TANK IMPROVE PROG
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Programs		

Schedule Details

	St	art	E	ind
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Increment 1	2	2011	2	2011
Management Decision Document (MDD)	2	2011	2	2011
Preliminary Design	4	2011	3	2013
Milestone A	1	2012	1	2012
Preliminary Design Review (PDR)	1	2013	1	2013
Detail Design and Prototype	3	2013	3	2015
MS B	3	2013	3	2013
Critical Design Review (CDR)	1	2014	1	2014

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2012 Army							DATE: Febi	uary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	& Evaluation	n, Army			OMENCLAT 5A: Combat		rovement	PROJECT 371: BRADI	LEY BASE S	SUSTAIN	
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
371: BRADLEY BASE SUSTAIN	75.568	97.002	12.250	-	12.250	100.359	40.631	34.169	49.598	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Army has completed a comprehensive Combat Vehicle modernization strategy, which includes the Bradley Vehicle. The Bradley Fighting Vehicle System (BFVS) improvements will provide the Heavy Brigade Combat Team (HBCT) with an improved capability to effectively fight in current and future environments. The BFVS improvements achieve the Bradley Capability Development Document to maintain combat over match through a combination of enhanced survivability, mobility and situational awareness subsystems. Improved survivability will leverage and build on lessons learned from Operation Iraqi Freedom to ensure protection against current and future threats in both asymmetric and full spectrum warfare. This provides the Bradley fleet the capability to complement the Abrams Tank and Ground Combat Vehicle in the HBCT. This also provides the HBCT commander with the necessary capabilities to employ the Bradley and Abrams in a combined arms approach as well as appropriate mounted and dismounted schemes of maneuver on current and future battlefields.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Bradley Modernization	63.568	77.102	_
Articles:	0	0	
Description: The Bradley Fighting Vehicle System (BFVS) improvements will provide the Heavy Brigade Combat Team (HBCT) with an improved capability to effectively fight in current and future environments. The BFVS improvements will focus on enhanced survivability, mobility, vetronics, situational awareness and software subsystems.			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Pre MDD work and Program Documentation			
FY 2011 Plans: MDD approval expected in March 2011 to enter Technology Development Phase. Initiate Analysis of Alternatives (AoA) and Milestone Documentation required for Milestone A. Begin design and integration of subsystems focusing on survivability enhancements, mobility, vetronics, situational awareness and software subsystems.			
Title: Support Costs Articles:	12.000 0	19.900 0	12.250
Description: Government System Engineering and Program Management Support Costs. These funds cover the costs of Government and contractor salaries, travel and the facilities required to effectively manage the program.			
FY 2010 Accomplishments:			

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 14 of 23 R-1 Line Item #163

Volume 7 - 76

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs	371: <i>BRAD</i>	LEY BASE SUSTAIN

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Government System Engineering and Program Management Support Costs - Effort to begin initial requirement decomposition for the Bradley Modernization Program leading towards MDD in March 2011.			
FY 2011 Plans: Government System Engineering and Program Management Support Costs - Develop required documentation to support decision to enter at Technology Development Phase. Formulate the Program Office cost estimate to support future budget development. Provide integrated program management to include planning, directing, tools and controlling functions for all supplier management activities. These activies will support data and supplier management, program control, government training, procurement and contracts management, operations management for the development of the Bradley Modernization Program. Develop and publish the detailed plans for cost analysis and management, budget management and execution, Earned Value Management and operations management with contractor. These funds also cover the costs of travel and the facilities/operational equipment required to effectively manage the program. TRADOC support is also included for requirement analysis, and Milestone Reviews.			
FY 2012 Plans: Government System Engineering and Program Management Support Costs - Support Analysis of Alternatives (AoA) effort, concept development and cost estimate development. Prepare Milestone documentation required for Milestone A event in 4th quarter of FY12.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	75.568	97.002	12.250

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
Bradley Mod Line: GZ2400		215.133	250.710		250.710		143.118	245.451	508.907	0.000	1,388.227

Bradley Program (MOD)

D. Acquisition Strategy

The Acquisition Strategy for the Bradley Modernization Program will be addressed as part of the Materiel Development Decision in FY2011

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Army UNCLASSIFIED
Page 15 of 23 R-1 Line Item #163

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement

Programs

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

371: BRADLEY BASE SUSTAIN

Product Development ((\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011		2012 ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Bradley Modernization Program	C/CPIF	PMO:Warren, MI	94.900	77.102		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	94.900	77.102		-		-		-			

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	011	FY 2 Ba	2012 ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
РМО	MIPR	PMO:Bradley Modernization	12.997	6.500		3.250		-		3.250	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Government Engineering Support	MIPR	Various:Bradley Modernization	12.400	13.400		9.000		-		9.000	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	25.397	19.900		12.250		-		12.250			

	Total Prior Years Cost	FY	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Project Cost Totals	120.297	97.002		12.250		-		12.250			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 16 of 23 R-1 Line Item #163

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs	PROJECT 371: BRADLEY BASE SUSTAIN

		FY	2010)		FY	201 [′]	1		FY	2012	2	FY 2013		FY 2014					FΥ	2015	5		FY	2016	6		
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Materiel Development Decision								·												,								
Milestone A																												
Technology Development																												
System Requirements Review																												
Preliminary Design Review																												
Milestone B																												
Critical Design Review																												

 UNCLASSIFIED

 Army
 Page 17 of 23
 R-1 Line Item #163
 Volume 7 - 79

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

PROJECT

371: BRADLEY BASE SUSTAIN

Programs

Schedule Details

	St	tart	E	nd
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Materiel Development Decision	1	2011	1	2011
Milestone A	3	2012	3	2012
Technology Development	4	2012	2	2015
System Requirements Review	1	2013	1	2013
Preliminary Design Review	4	2014	4	2014
Milestone B	1	2015	1	2015
Critical Design Review	4	2016	4	2016

Army

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army DATE: February 2011											
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development			R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs				PROJECT DS5: Armored Multipurpose Vehicle				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
DS5: Armored Multipurpose Vehicle	-	-	31.400	-	31.400	73.300	125.000	34.100	32.000	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

Note

Not applicable for this item

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Army has completed a comprehensive Combat Vehicle modernization strategy, which includes the Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle (AMPV). The AMPV will provide the HBCT with a replacement for the M113 Family of Vehicles (FOVs) that is more survivable and mobile to accomplish operational support missions across the full spectrum of conflict. The AMPV will be designed with growth margin in anticipation of inbound and future interoperable capability. The AMPV will use a turretless Bradley A3 chassis and integrate the existing M113 Mission Equipment Packages (MEPs) for the General Purpose, Mortar and C2 vehicles. The MedEvac vehicle will integrate the CAIMAN Hagga system. This provides the AMPV fleet the capability to support the Abrams Tank, Bradley IFV and Ground Combat Vehicle in the HBCT. This also provides the HBCT commander with necessary capabilities to employ mounted and dismounted schemes of maneuver on current and future battlefields.

Description: The Army is currently preparing a comprehensive Combat Vehicle modernization strategy, of which the Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle (AMPV) is one component. There are multiple other Combat Vehicles covered by this comprehensive strategy. Once the strategy is complete and approved, the AMPV will be prioritized in that strategy. The AMPV will provide the HBCT with a replacement for the M113 FOVs and recoup Space Weight and Power-Cooling (SWAP-C) and allow for growth potential. The AMPV will use a turretless Bradley A3 chassis and integrate the existing M113 MEPS for the General Purpose, Mortar and C2 vehicles. The MedEvac vehicle will integrate the CAIMAN Hagga system. This provides the AMPV fleet the capability to support the Abrams Tank, Bradley IFV and Ground Combat Vehicle in the HBCT. This also provides the HBCT commander with necessary capabilities to employ mounted and dismounted schemes of maneuver on current and future battlefields. FY 2012 Plans: MDD approval expected in March 2011 to allow for entry into Engineering and Manufacturing Development Phase. RFP will be released. Development of a competitive TDP for the conversion of M2A0 to a turretless M2A3	B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Multi-Purpose Vehicle (AMPV) is one component. There are multiple other Combat Vehicles covered by this comprehensive strategy. Once the strategy is complete and approved, the AMPV will be prioritized in that strategy. The AMPV will provide the HBCT with a replacement for the M113 FOVs and recoup Space Weight and Power-Cooling (SWAP-C) and allow for growth potential. The AMPV will use a turretless Bradley A3 chassis and integrate the existing M113 MEPS for the General Purpose, Mortar and C2 vehicles. The MedEvac vehicle will integrate the CAIMAN Hagga system. This provides the AMPV fleet the capability to support the Abrams Tank, Bradley IFV and Ground Combat Vehicle in the HBCT. This also provides the HBCT commander with necessary capabilities to employ mounted and dismounted schemes of maneuver on current and future battlefields. FY 2012 Plans: MDD approval expected in March 2011 to allow for entry into Engineering and Manufacturing Development Phase. RFP will be released. Development of a competitive TDP for the conversion of M2A0 to a turretless M2A3	Title: Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle	-	-	31.400
MDD approval expected in March 2011 to allow for entry into Engineering and Manufacturing Development Phase. RFP will be released. Development of a competitive TDP for the conversion of M2A0 to a turretless M2A3	Multi-Purpose Vehicle (AMPV) is one component. There are multiple other Combat Vehicles covered by this comprehensive strategy. Once the strategy is complete and approved, the AMPV will be prioritized in that strategy. The AMPV will provide the HBCT with a replacement for the M113 FOVs and recoup Space Weight and Power-Cooling (SWAP-C) and allow for growth potential. The AMPV will use a turretless Bradley A3 chassis and integrate the existing M113 MEPS for the General Purpose, Mortar and C2 vehicles. The MedEvac vehicle will integrate the CAIMAN Hagga system. This provides the AMPV fleet the capability to support the Abrams Tank, Bradley IFV and Ground Combat Vehicle in the HBCT. This also provides the HBCT commander with necessary capabilities to employ mounted and dismounted schemes of maneuver on current and future			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals 31	MDD approval expected in March 2011 to allow for entry into Engineering and Manufacturing Development Phase. RFP will be			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	-	-	31.400

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 19 of 23 R-1 Line Item #163

Volume 7 - 81

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

PROJECT

PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement
Programs

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement
Programs

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 **Cost To** Line Item FY 2010 FY 2015 FY 2016 Complete Total Cost FY 2011 **Base** OCO Total FY 2013 FY 2014 • Bradley Mod Line: GZ2400 390.000 604.800 605.200 0.000 1.600.000 Bradley Program (MOD)

D. Acquisition Strategy

The Acquisition Strategy for the Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle Program will be addressed as part of the Materiel Development Decision in March 2011.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army DATE: February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY **R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT** 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement DS5: Armored Multipurpose Vehicle BA 7: Operational Systems Development Programs FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 **Product Development (\$ in Millions)** FY 2011 oco Base Total **Total Prior** Contract Target Method Performing Years Award Award Award Cost To Value of **Cost Category Item** & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Complete **Total Cost** Contract Cost Armored Multi-Purpose C/CPIF PMO:Warren, MI 15.400 15.400 168.400 183.800 0.000 Vehicle Subtotal 15.400 15.400 168.400 183.800 0.000 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Support (\$ in Millions) FY 2011 Base oco Total **Total Prior** Contract Target Method Performing Years Award Award Award **Cost To** Value of **Cost Category Item Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Complete **Total Cost** Contract & Type **PMO MIPR** PMO:Warren, MI 6.000 6.000 24.000 30.000 0.000 Government Engineering TACOM LCMC:Warren. **MIPR** 10.000 10.000 20.000 30.000 0.000 Support MI Subtotal 16.000 16.000 44.000 60.000 0.000 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Test and Evaluation (\$ in Millions) FY 2011 Base oco Total Contract **Total Prior** Target Method Performing Years Award Award Award Cost To Value of Cost **Total Cost Cost Category Item** & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Date Complete Contract Cost Government Test Support MIPR ATEC:various sites 52.000 52.000 0.000 52.000 Subtotal 52.000 0.000 **Total Prior** Target Years FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Cost To Value of Cost FY 2011 oco Total Complete **Total Cost** Contract Base **Project Cost Totals** 31.400 31.400 264.400 295.800 0.000

Remarks

Army

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 21 of 23 R-1 Line Item #163 Volume 7 - 83

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement

DS5: Armored Multipurpose Vehicle

BA 7: Operational Systems Development Programs

Army

FY 2010 FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2013 FY 2014 FY 2015 FY 2016 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 2 3 4 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 Materiel Development Decision

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 22 of 23 R-1 Line Item #163 Volume 7 - 84

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army DATE: February 2011						
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT				
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	PE 0203735A: Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs	DS5: Armor	red Multipurpose Vehicle			

Schedule Details

	St	art	End	
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Materiel Development Decision	1	2011	1	2011

UNCLASSIFIED Volume 7 - 85

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0203740A: Maneuver Control System

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	36.131	25.540	65.002	-	65.002	56.161	14.006	4.809	15.284	Continuing	Continuing
484: MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)	36.131	25.540	65.002	-	65.002	56.161	14.006	4.809	15.284	Continuing	Continuing

Note

Change Summary Explanation: Funding - FY12: Change in funding provides for the Battle Command Collapse development and integration efforts to allow for a single Battle Command solution with an open architecture that produces a collaborative Battle Command environment for C2, maneuver and protection capabilities.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Tactical Battle Command (TBC) is a suite of products and services that provide commanders and staffs executive decision making capability in a collaborative environment, planning tools, and Common Operational Picture (COP) management and other maneuver functional tools. TBC satisfies requirements and capabilities identified in the Maneuver Control System (MCS) Good Enough Operational Requirements Document (ORD) and MCS 6.4 Capability Production Document (CPD) which includes Army migration to Department of Defense (DoD) net-centric environment. The overarching capability includes a user-defined COP with integrated Command and Control (C2) and Situational Awareness (SA), map-centric collaboration, Army Battle Command System (ABCS) and other enabling system interoperability, data management, and enterprise services. The suite of products include Command Post of the Future (CPOF), Battle Command Common Services (BCCS) that provides the consolidate server and services infrastructure for systems supporting Army Battle Command from Battalion to Army Component Command, Battalion and Above Joint Convergence with the Marine Corps, and Tactical Web Portal for Knowledge management. TBC products and services are compliant with the joint technical architecture. In addition, this project funds the development of a collaborative Battle Command (BC) environment for ABCS to operate more efficiently and effectively. The BC environment will serve as a common foundation for functionality development and support by collapsing onto a common architecture and infrastructure. Battle Command Collapse development and integration will significantly enhance the ability of commanders and staff to effectively conduct collaborative mission planning and execution across a range of operations and spectrum of conflict. MCS as a stand-alone product was designated as end-of-life as of Jan 11 by a memo signed by Project Manager Battle Command. All relevant capabilities provided by MCS are now delivered as part of the other TBC products (BCCS & CPOF)

Army UNCLASSIFIED
Page 1 of 10 R-1 Line Item #164 Volume 7 - 86

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203740A: Maneuver Control System	

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	21.283	25.540	13.314	-	13.314
Current President's Budget	36.131	25.540	65.002	-	65.002
Total Adjustments	14.848	-	51.688	-	51.688
Congressional General Reductions		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
 Adjustments to Budget Years 	14.848	-	51.688	-	51.688

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	stification: PE	3 2012 Army							DATE: Febr	uary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACT 2040: Research, Development, Te BA 7: Operational Systems Develo	st & Evaluation	n, Army			IOMENCLAT DA: Maneuve		rstem	PROJECT 484: MANE	UVER CON	TROL SYST	EM (MCS)
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
484: MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)	36.131	25.540	65.002	-	65.002	56.161	14.006	4.809	15.284	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Army

Tactical Battle Command (TBC) is a suite of products and services that provide commanders and staffs executive decision making capability in a collaborative environment, planning tools, and Common Operational Picture (COP) management and other maneuver functional tools. TBC satisfies requirements and capabilities identified in the Maneuver Control System (MCS) Good Enough Operational Requirements Document (ORD) and MCS 6.4 Capability Production Document (CPD) which includes Army migration to Department of Defense (DoD) net-centric environment. The overarching capability includes a user-defined COP with integrated Command and Control (C2) and Situational Awareness (SA), map-centric collaboration, Army Battle Command System (ABCS) and other enabling system interoperability, data management, and enterprise services. The suite of products include Command Post of the Future (CPOF), Battle Command Common Services (BCCS) that provides the consolidate server and services infrastructure for systems supporting Army Battle Command from Battalion to Army Component Command, Battalion and Above Joint Convergence with the Marine Corps, and Tactical Web Portal for Knowledge management. TBC products and services are compliant with the joint technical architecture. In addition, this project funds the development of a collaborative Battle Command (BC) environment for ABCS to operate more efficiently and effectively. The BC environment will serve as a common foundation for functionality development and support by collapsing onto a common architecture and infrastructure. Battle Command Collapse development and integration will significantly enhance the ability of commanders and staff to effectively conduct collaborative mission planning and execution across a range of operations and spectrum of conflict. MCS as a stand-alone product was designated as end-of-life as of Jan 11 by a memo signed by Project Manager Battle Command. All relevant capabilities provided by MCS are now delivered as part of the other TBC products (BCCS & CPOF)

FY12 funding will provide for the continuing development of the products and services that will satisfy the TBC capability requirements, while completing the migration to a service oriented architecture supporting the Mission Command Essential Capability Set 13-14. Funding also provides for the continued development of BCCS enabling infrastructure for Tactical Battle Command. FY12 funding also provides for continuing development of the Third Party Developer Kit (3PDK) to support other Battle Command (and non-Battle Command) programs, agencies and capabilities. In addition, FY12 funding provides for BC Collapse development and integration efforts to allow for a single BC solution with open architecture that produces a collaborative BC environment for Maneuver, Fires and Air supported by Intel and Logistics.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total
Title: MCS software development to enhance Interoperability, Usability, and Functionality	0.642	-	-	-	-
Articles.	0				
Description: MCS software development to enhance Interoperability, Usability, and Functionality					

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 3 of 10 R-1 Line Item #164

Volume 7 - 88

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army **DATE:** February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0203740A: Maneuver Control System 484: MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS) BA 7: Operational Systems Development

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
FY 2010 Accomplishments:						
MCS software development to enhance Interoperability, Usability, and Functionality						
Title: Joint Convergence Engineering and Development	Articles:	3.901 0	-	3.899	-	3.899
Description: Joint Convergence Engineering and Development						
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Joint Convergence Engineering and Development						
FY 2012 Base Plans: Joint Convergence Engineering and Development						
Title: CPOF Development	Articles:	15.372 0	22.391 0	14.699	-	14.699
Description: CPOF Development						
FY 2010 Accomplishments: CPOF Development						
FY 2011 Plans: CPOF Development						
FY 2012 Base Plans: CPOF Development						
Title: Battle Command Collapse		-	-	35.566	-	35.566
Description: Battle Command Collapse Development and Integration						
FY 2012 Base Plans: Battle Command Collapse Development and Integration						
Title: Battle Command Common Services Development	Articles:	4.620 0	3.149 0	10.838	-	10.838
Description: Battle Command Common Services Development						

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 4 of 10 R-1 Line Item #164

				UNULAU							
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	stification: PB	2012 Army						D	ATE: Febr	uary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTI 2040: Research, Development, Tes BA 7: Operational Systems Develo	st & Evaluation,	Army		R-1 ITEM NO PE 0203740	_	URE r Control Syste	I	ROJECT B4: <i>MANEU</i>	VER CONT	TROL SYST	EM (MCS)
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pr	rograms (\$ in N	lillions, Art	icle Quantit	ties in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Battle Command Common Service	es Development										
FY 2011 Plans: Battle Command Common Service	es Development										
FY 2012 Base Plans: Battle Command Common Service											
Title: Personalized Assistant that I	Learns integrati	on efforts				Articles	11.000	-	-	-	-
Description: Personalized Assista	ant that Learns (PAL) Phase	e II integratio	on efforts							
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Personalized Assistant that Learns	s (PAL) Phase I	l integration	efforts								
Title: Small Business Innovative R	Research/Small	Business Te	echnology Tr	ransfer Prog	rams	Articles	0.596	-	-	-	-
Description: Small Business Inno	vative Research	n/Small Bus	iness Techn	ology Transt	fer Programs	3					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Small Business Innovative Resear	rch/Small Busine	ess Technol	logy Transfe	r Programs							
			Accomplis	hments/Pla	nned Progra	ams Subtotals	36.131	25.540	65.002	2 -	65.002
C. Other Program Funding Sumr	mary (\$ in Millio	ons)									
I Para B	EV 0046	FW 6544	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012	EV 0040	EV 0044	EV 0045	FV 6546	Cost To	T-4-10 1
Line Item • BA9320: BA9320 Maneuver Control System (MCS)	FY 2010 84.440	FY 2011 156.273	<u>Base</u> 34.031	<u>OCO</u> 44.000	<u>Total</u> 78.031	FY 2013	FY 2014 59.095	FY 2015 137.430		Complete Continuing	•
	1.425	1.591	1.633		1.633		0.772	0.584	0.594	Continuing	Continuing

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 5 of 10 R-1 Line Item #164

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army **DATE:** February 2011 **PROJECT**

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY **R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE** PE 0203740A: Maneuver Control System

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development

484: MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012

Cost To Line Item FY 2010 FY 2011 Base OCO Total FY 2013 FY 2014 FY 2015 FY 2016 Complete Total Cost

• BS9710: BS9710 MCS Spares

Procurement

D. Acquisition Strategy

In accordance with the Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC) requirements document approved in 2008, entitled Mission Command Essential Capability, software capability will be developed in 2-year increments as capability sets designed to Collaborate, Collapse and Converge Battle Command products. The product development funded under this R-Form is an integral part of the ABCS, a system of systems, under a strategy designed to optimize opportunity for improved interoperability among the systems, to capture the benefits of competition where possible and to ensure the rapid integration of new capability into warfighter systems. This strategy is designed to increase operational efficiency, reduce the physical footprint, and logistics support requirements.

E.

<u>. Performance Metrics</u>				
Performance metrics used in the prepar	ation of this justification material may be	found in the FY 2010 Army Performar	nce Budget Justification Book,	dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0203740A: Maneuver Control System

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

484: MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)

Management Services	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011		2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Program Office Mgmt	Various	PM Battle Command:Aberdeen Proving Grounds, MD	7.761	0.754		1.854		-		1.854	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
	·	Subtotal	7.761	0.754		1.854		-		1.854			

Product Development (in Millio	ns)		FY 2	011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2	2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
MCS Software Development	Various	Lockheed Martin Corp.:Tinton Falls, NJ	190.787	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Misc Contracts	Various	Various:Various	23.194	0.514		0.923		-		0.923	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
CPOF Development	Various	DARPA:DARPA	20.737	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Software Development & Technical Support	Various	CECOM Software Engineering Center:Ft. Monmouth, NJ	46.067	2.519		5.394		-		5.394	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
CPOF Development	Various	General Dynamics:Scottsdale, AZ	50.751	18.637		14.113		-		14.113	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
MCS, Joint Convergence, and BCCS System Engineering & Development	Various	Lockheed Martin Corp.:Tinton Falls, NJ	39.828	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
ABCS SoS Contract (Joint Convergence Development)	Various	Lockheed Martin:Tinton Falls, NJ	1.062	1.200		3.899		-		3.899	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Technical Support	Various	PM Battle Command:Various	23.632	0.624		1.535		-		1.535	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
PSE H/W & S/W	Various	Various:Various	2.775	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
MITRE System Engineering	Various	MITRE Corp.:New Jersey	12.533	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Battle Command Collapse Development & Integration	Various	Various:Various	-	-		35.566		-		35.566	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
ABCS SE&I	Various		1.830	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army DATE: February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY **R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT** 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0203740A: Maneuver Control System 484: MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS) BA 7: Operational Systems Development FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 **Product Development (\$ in Millions)** FY 2011 oco Base Total **Total Prior** Contract Target Method Performing Years Award Award Award Cost To Value of Cost Date Cost Cost **Total Cost** Contract **Cost Category Item** & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Date Date Cost Complete PEO C3T. NJ:New Jersey SS/CR PAL Integration SRI:AZ Continuina Continuing 0.000 23.494 61.430 61.430 Subtotal 413.196 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Support (\$ in Millions) FY 2011 oco Base Total **Total Prior** Contract Target Method Performing Years Award Award Cost To Value of Award **Cost Category Item** & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Complete Total Cost Contract Cost PM Battle Continuing Continuing Continuing Misc Support Various Command:Fort 6.577 0.547 0.772 0.772 Monmouth, NJ Continuing Continuing Misc Contracts Various Various: Various 3.872 0.364 0.586 0.586 Continuing Subtotal 10.449 0.911 1.358 1.358 _ FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Test and Evaluation (\$ in Millions) **FY 2011** Base oco Total **Total Prior** Contract Target Method Performing Years Award Award Award Cost To Value of **Cost Category Item** & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Complete **Total Cost** Contract OGA Various:APG. MD 0.195 0.187 Various 5.115 0.187 Continuina Continuing Continuing Misc Contracts Various:APG, MD 0.186 0.173 Various 6.369 0.173 Continuing Continuing Continuing 24.894 Test Planning/Conduct Various Various:APG. MD Continuina Continuina Continuina Subtotal 36.378 0.381 0.360 0.360 **Total Prior** Target FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Value of Years Cost To Cost **FY 2011** oco Total Complete **Total Cost** Contract Base

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

65.002

Project Cost Totals

467.784

25.540

Page 8 of 10 R-1 Line Item #164 Volume 7 - 93

65.002

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army **DATE:** February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0203740A: Maneuver Control System 484: MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS) BA 7: Operational Systems Development

		FY 2010		FY 2011			FY 2012			FY 2013		FY 2014				FY 2015			5	FY 2016			;					
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Battle Command Capability Set 13-14 Software Development																												
Battle Command Capability Set 15-16 Software Development																												
Battle Command Capability Set 17-18 Software Development																												

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203740A: Maneuver Control System	484: <i>MANE</i>	EUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)
BA 7: Operational Systems Development			

Schedule Details

	St	art	E	nd
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Battle Command Capability Set 13-14 Software Development	4	2010	3	2012
Battle Command Capability Set 15-16 Software Development	4	2012	3	2014
Battle Command Capability Set 17-18 Software Development	4	2014	3	2016

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 10 of 10 R-1 Line Item #164

Volume 7 - 95

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product Improvement Programs

DATE: February 2011

Volume 7 - 96

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	240.323	134.999	163.205	-	163.205	256.600	298.595	337.420	307.720	Continuing	Continuing
028: Aerial Common Sensor (ACS) (MIP)	0.074	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	0.074
430: IMPR CARGO HELICOPTER	21.495	21.039	48.939	-	48.939	70.794	60.767	58.496	43.321	Continuing	Continuing
504: BLACK HAWK RECAPITALIZATION/ MODERNIZATION	59.117	20.640	21.467	-	21.467	71.362	101.626	120.780	127.813	Continuing	Continuing
D12: LONGBOW APACHE OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOP	12.763	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	12.763
D17: APACHE BLOCK III	146.874	93.320	92.799	-	92.799	114.444	136.202	158.144	136.586	Continuing	Continuing

Note

Change Summary Explanation: Funding - FY 2009: \$157.71 million rescinded from Aerial Common Sensor.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

FY 2011 budget request funds aviation development of modifications and improvements for the Guardrail Common Sensor/Aerial Common Sensor, the Improved Cargo Helicopter (ICH), the UH-60A/L Black Hawk Recapitalization/ Modernization.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	226.492	134.999	154.611	-	154.611
Current President's Budget	240.323	134.999	163.205	-	163.205
Total Adjustments	13.831	-	8.594	-	8.594
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
 Adjustments to Budget Years 	10.432	-	-	-	-
Other Adjustments 1	3.399	-	8.594	-	8.594

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 1 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2012 Army	•						DATE: Feb	ruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop			Modifications	PROJECT 028: Aerial	Common Se	Common Sensor (ACS) (MIP)					
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
028: Aerial Common Sensor (ACS) (MIP)	0.074	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	0.074
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

(U) Aerial Common Sensor (ACS) is an Airborne Reconnaissance, Surveillance and Target Acquisition (RSTA)/Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) capability directly supporting Battlespace Awareness for tactical commanders in irregular warfare scenarios. Specifically, ACS will provide real-time, persistent, precision, networked, wide-area, high-capacity, multi-sensor intelligence collection capability throughout the joint battlespace. ACS will guickly produce actionable intelligence that provides commanders and soldiers critical shared situational understanding delivered with the speed, accuracy, and timeliness necessary to conduct successful and when necessary, lethal joint operations. ACS will support focused Intelligence Preparation of the Battlespace (IPB), Indications and Warnings (I&W), precision targeting, battle damage assessment (BDA), Situational Development, battle command, and Force Protection. Each of these will be synchronized with operations in order to develop and maintain situational awareness and reduce clutter in the maneuver environment. ACS will be a manned, fixed-wing aircraft capable of worldwide deployment carrying multiple sensor payloads and intelligence processing, appropriate air/ground/satellite data links, and air crew (i.e., pilots and intelligence systems operations). The RSTA/ISR payload will consist of a suite of modular, scaleable Signals Intelligence (SIGINT), Imagery Intelligence (IMINT), Ground Moving Target Indicator (GMTI) and Measurement and Signature Intelligence (MASINT) sensors and processors that can operate alone or simultaneously in combination with each other (e.g., automated cross-cueing). The intelligence processing suite onboard ACS and in the ground station, provided by the Distributed Common Ground System-Army (DCGS-A), will integrate the products from all ACS Sensor payloads as well as the sensor feeds from other joint force sensors, including manned/unmanned (MUM) teaming with Army Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS), to provide a correlated near-real-time picture of the tactical operational environment with the greatest degree of granularity possible. Onboard communications will consist of a robust set of line-of-sight (LOS) and satellite communications (SATCOM) datalinks that will enable direct linkage to Brigade Combat Teams, Manned-Unmanned teaming with Army UAS, wideband/worldwide connectivity to DCGS and the Global Information Grid, and interoperability with other Army, Joint and National RSTA/ISR assets. ACS will be a critical and integral component of the future force.

The Department of Defense (DoD) has redefined the Aerial Common Sensor Program as the Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System (EMARSS). The EMARSS program will be funded through PE 0307207A - Aerial Common Sensor Project 024 in FY10 and and 655626 - Aerial Common Sensor Project AC5 in FY11 and beyond.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: EMARSS Program Management	0.074	_	_	-	-
Articles:	0				
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					

Page 2 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product	028: Aerial	Common Sensor (ACS) (MIP)
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Improvement Programs		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Program Office Management					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	0.074	-	-	-	-

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

The Department of Defense (DoD) has redefined the Aerial Common Sensor Program as the Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System (EMARSS). The EMARSS program will be funded through PE 0307207A - Aerial Common Sensor Project 024 in FY10 and 655626 - Aerial Common Sensor Project AC5 in FY11 and beyond.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the	preparation of this	iustification material ma	v be found in the FY 2010 Arm	v Performance Bude	get Justification Book, dated Ma	v 2010.
	p. 0 p a. a. a. a. a. a. a. a.		,	,	901 00000000000000000000000000000000000	,

UNCLASSIFIED

Army Page 3 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PROJECT

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product Improvement Programs

028: Aerial Common Sensor (ACS) (MIP)

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

Management Services	(\$ in Millio	ons)		FY 2	2011		2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
PMO Staff/travel/O/H expenses	Various	PM, AC Sensors;:Various	32.455	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	32.455	-		-		-		-			
			Total Prior Years Cost	FY	2011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		Project Cost Totals	32.455	-		-		-		-			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product
Improvement Programs

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product
Improvement Programs

	FY 2010		FY 2010 FY 2011				FY 2	2012	2		FY	2013	3	FY 2014			FY 2015			5	FY 2016							
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Material Development Decision (MDD)			,	,	•	•	•		•					,	•	,	,	,	,		•							
Contract Award IPR																												
Engineering Manufacturing & Development																												
SRR/SFR																												
System Design Review																												
CT/DT																												
DT/OT & LUT																												
MS C																												
LRIP																												
IOT&E																												
Full Rate Production																												
Production																												

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product
Improvement Programs

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT
028: Aerial Common Sensor (ACS) (MIP)

Schedule Details

	St	art	Er	nd
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Material Development Decision (MDD)	1	2010	1	2010
Contract Award IPR	2	2010	2	2010
Engineering Manufacturing & Development	2	2010	3	2011
SRR/SFR	3	2010	3	2010
System Design Review	1	2011	1	2011
CT/DT	1	2011	2	2011
DT/OT & LUT	2	2011	3	2011
MS C	3	2011	3	2011
LRIP	3	2011	2	2012
IOT&E	1	2012	1	2012
Full Rate Production	2	2012	2	2012
Production	2	2012	3	2015

Army

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justi	ification: PE	3 2012 Army							DATE: Feb	uary 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develope	& Evaluation	n, Army		R-1 ITEM N PE 0203744 Improvemen		/lodifications		PROJECT 430: IMPR	CARGO HEI	CARGO HELICOPTER			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
430: IMPR CARGO HELICOPTER	21.495	21.039	48.939	-	48.939	70.794	60.767	58.496	43.321	Continuing	Continuing		
Quantity of RDT&E Articles													

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The CH-47 Chinook is a twin-turbine, tandem-rotor, heavy-lift transport helicopter with a useful load of up to 25,000 pounds. As the Army's only heavy lift helicopter, the CH-47 is an essential component of the Army Future Force. The CH-47F program fills the Army's Aviation Transformation Chinook requirement. Key product improvements integrate the CH-47F Common Avionics Architecture System (CAAS) digital cockpit which will provide future growth potential to meet the Net-Ready Key Performance Parameters (KPPs) and also includes a digital data bus that permits installation of enhanced communication and navigation equipment for improved situational awareness, mission performance, and survivability. This program funds improvements to the engines and airframe components. The T55-GA-714A engine improvements include a redesigned N1 Drive Train and a new torque system. The Airframe Component Improvement Program includes development of new rotor blades, drive train, aircraft power generation systems, and avionics solutions that will allow the Chinook to improve its performance by providing improved aircraft controls, increased payload capability, and advanced avionics capabilities. Early studies will be performed to identify largest areas of payback in fleet modernization.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total
Title: 714 Engine Component Improvement Program	6.226	6.800	5.689	-	5.689
Articles:	0	0			
Description: This funding supports the Engine Component Improvement Program and quality improvements that address safety, reliability, and readiness issues. Improvements include N1 Drive Train update, a new torque system, and improved electronic control unit software.					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: This funding supported the Engine Component Improvement Program and quality improvements that address safety, reliability, and readiness issues. Improvements include N1 Drive Train update, a new torque system, and improved electronic control unit software.					
FY 2011 Plans: This funding continues to support the Engine Component Improvement Program and quality improvements that address safety, reliability, and readiness issues. Improvements include N1 Drive Train update, a new torque system, and improved electronic control unit software.					
FY 2012 Base Plans:					

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 7 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			D	ATE: Febru	ary 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE		ROJECT	500 45:				
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Pro Improvement Programs	duct 43	430: IMPR CARGO HELICOPTER					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total		
This funding will support the Engine Component Improvement Prosafety, reliability, and readiness issues. Improvements include im								
Title: Airframe Component Improvement Program	Articles:	7.623 0		40.920	-	40.920		
Description: This funding supports airframe component improved blades that will result in significant performance improvement such lift, improving erosion protection, and reducing retreating blade stated Also funds the development of an advanced torque management between the forward and aft rotor head.	n as gaining an additional 1,500 - 2000 lbs of all. Completes drivetrain improvement studies.							
FY 2010 Accomplishments: This funding provides development of new rotor blades that will re such as gaining an additional 1,500 - 2000 lbs of lift, improving ero stall.								
FY 2011 Plans: This funding provides development of new rotor blades that will re such as gaining an additional 1,500 - 2000 lbs of lift, improving ero stall. Completes drivetrain improvement studies.								
FY 2012 Base Plans: This funding provides development of new rotor blades that will re such as gaining an additional 1,500 - 2000 lbs of lift, improving erostall. Initiates drivetrain improvements to improve aircraft perform	osion protection, and reducing retreating blade							
Title: Crash Worthy Passenger Seating	Articles:	6.731 0	10.143 0	-	-	-		
Description: This funding develops and qualifies crashworthy pas Helicopter Survivability Task Force study.	ssenger seating as identified in the OSD							
FY 2010 Accomplishments:								

UNCLASSIFIED

Army Page 8 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

	5110±710011 1±5						
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army				D	ATE: Febru	uary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLAT PE 0203744A: Aircraft N Improvement Programs			ROJECT 30: IMPR CA	ARGO HELI	ICOPTER	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quai	ntities in Each <u>)</u>		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
This funding develops and qualifies crashworthy passenger seating as Survivability Task Force study.	identified in the OSD Helic	opter					
FY 2011 Plans: This funding will support the development and will qualifies crashworth OSD Helicopter Survivability Task Force study.	ny passenger seating as ide	entified in the					
Title: In-house and Program Management Administration		Articles:	0.548			-	2.330
Description: This funding provides support costs for various government	ent agencies.						
FY 2010 Accomplishments: This funding provided the support costs for various government agencies.	es.						
FY 2011 Plans: This funding will continue to provide support costs for various governments.	ent agencies.						
FY 2012 Base Plans: This funding provides future support costs for various government ager	ncies.						
Title: SBIR/STTR		Articles:	0.367		-	-	-
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort							
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Trans	sfer Programs (SBIR/STTR	()					
Accomp	lishments/Planned Progr	ams Subtotals	21.495	21.039	48.939	-	48.939
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) FY 201 Line Item FY 2010 FY 2011 Bas • CH-47 MODS: CH-47 CARGO 102.876 79.71	e OCO Total		-Y 2014 254.981	FY 2015 276.782		Cost To Complete 6,622.220	
HELICOPTER MODS (MYP)	2 19.112	2	20 4 .70 I	210.102	712.103	0,022.220	1,911.903

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 9 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product	430: IMPR CARGO HELICOPTER
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Improvement Programs	

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	000	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
(Including Adv Proc and Initial											
Spares)											
• CH-47 SLEP: <i>CH-47 SLEP</i>	345.939		423.917		423.917		625.547	746.709	732.027	2,073.835	5,487.523
CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER	706.024		936.399		936.399		207.183	139.866	405.687	155.000	3,342.836
NEW BUILD: CH-47 CARGO											

HELICOPTER NEW BUILD

(Including Adv Proc)

D. Acquisition Strategy

The CH-47F program replaces one for one, the aging CH-47D aircraft by FY2020, incorporates a new machined airframe, and includes a new Common Avionics Architecture System (CAAS) cockpit with digital communication/navigation capability allowing improved interoperability on the digital battlefield. The CH-47F program includes recapitalization of key dynamic components, bringing them to a near zero time.

E. Performance Metrics

Army

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 10 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product

Improvement Programs

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

430: IMPR CARGO HELICOPTER

Product Development (in Millio	ns)		FY 2011			FY 2012 Base		FY 2012 OCO				
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
TOCR	Various	RDEC:RDEC	1.600	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
EMD	Various	ATTC:ATTC	117.221	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Technical Support	Various	ATTC:ATTC	10.158	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Rotary Wing Helicopter Crash Worthy Seating	Various	Boeing:Boeing	-	10.143		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
714 Engine Component Improvement Program	Various	Honeywell:Honeywell	33.302	6.800		5.689		-		5.689	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Airframe Component Improvement Program	Various	Boeing:Boeing	19.560	3.593		40.920		-		40.920	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	181.841	20.536		46.609		-		46.609			

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
PMO/OGA	Various	Various government:various government	16.966	0.503		2.330		-		2.330	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
SBIR/STTR	Various	SBIR/STTR:Various	1.115	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	18.081	0.503		2.330		-		2.330			

Test and Evaluation (\$ i	in Millions)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
DT/OT	Various	Various government:Various	25.527	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Live Fire Test & Eval	Various	Contract/Govt:Various	6.365	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Live Fire Test & Eval	Various	TBD:TBD	0.050	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Test Analysis	Various		1.500	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product

Improvement Programs

PROJECT

430: IMPR CARGO HELICOPTER

DATE: February 2011

est and Evaluation (\$	in Millions)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Bas		FY 2		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value o Contrac
		Various Government:Various											
		Subtotal	33.442	-		-		-		-			
			Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2	2011	FY 2 Bas		FY 2		FY 2012 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value o Contrac
		Project Cost Totals	233.364	21.039		48.939		-		48.939			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 12 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army											
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development				PE 020374	OMENCLA 4A: Aircraft N nt Programs	Modifications		PROJECT 104: BLACK HAWK RECAPITALIZATION/ MODERNIZATION				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
504: BLACK HAWK RECAPITALIZATION/ MODERNIZATION	59.117	20.640	21.467	-	21.467	71.362	101.626	120.780	127.813	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The UH-60 Black Hawk is the workhorse of Army Aviation, flying more than 49% of the Army's annual flying hours. The system has been in production for over 30 years and provides a common platform with the versatility to perform multiple missions, ranging from air assault to command and control to medical evacuation/search and rescue. While the Black Hawk is the Army's newest helicopter, it was designed with a twenty-year service life. Today, two-fifths of the Army's Black Hawk fleet (721 aircraft) is comprised of H-60L aircraft with an average age of 13 years. The older H-60A models (918 aircraft) have an average age exceeding 23 years. To counter the older UH-60A's declining readiness rates, increased operations and support costs and to meet Future Force interoperability requirements, the Utility Helicopters Project Office established a program to replace existing UH-60 helicopters and provide capabilities needed on the future battlefield. The resulting configuration of the new UH-60M enhances the commander's ability to conduct non-linear, simultaneous, fully integrated operations in order to decisively mass the effects of the Army's warfighting assets. The UH-60M configuration provides digital connectivity for enhanced situational awareness and improved lift, range, deployability, and survivability to further increase the commander's ability to conduct operations across the entire spectrum of the battle space. An Operational Requirements Document (ORD) for recapitalization of the Black Hawk fleet was approved by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JROC) in March, 2001. The ORD described an evolutionary, block approach to transform the utility helicopter force to one that is more deployable, responsive, and less expensive to operate. A revised ORD was signed by the JROC on July 24, 2006, which updated key performance parameters for survivability and force protection. RDTE funds are required to develop, integrate, test and qualify the UH-60M Upgrade configuration. FY05 funded the initial efforts to move the UH-60M program to an Upgrade configuration which included Fly-By-Wire (FBW) technology, Full Authority Digital Engine Control (FADEC) and the Common Avionics Architecture System (CAAS), which is the common cockpit to be used by UH-60M, CH-47 and Special Operations aircraft. Incorporation of CAAS will minimize future sustainment costs for these aircraft platforms. A successful UH-60M Upgrade IPR decision was obtained in January 2006. On May 18, 2007, the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) Overarching Integrated Product Team (OIPT) approved the Army request for advanced procurement for seven UH-60M Upgrade aircraft and recommended a paper Defense Acquisition Board (DAB). On October 15, 2009, based on increasing demands for helicopters to support Army Force Generation Model (AFORGEN) requirements, the Configuration Steering Board (CSB) recommended a restructure of the UH-60 Modernization Program to the Defense Acquisition Executive (DAE). The recommendation included three parts: 1) produce UH-60M baseline aircraft only; 2) complete Development Test (DT) on FBW aircraft; and 3) migrate selected technologies from the upgrade development efforts to the baseline configuration. The recommendation was approved by the DAE on February 18, 2010, in a signed Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM). The ADM also directed the program to rebaseline.

The Improved Turbine Engine Program (ITEP) develops, tests and qualifies a nominal three thousand (3000) shaft horsepower (shp) class turboshaft engine with 25% better specific fuel consumption (SFC) as compared to other equivalent horsepower category engines. The engine will be designed to fit in the same engine envelope as a T700 engine for the Black Hawk and Apache aircraft. Other goals of the program are 65 % greater horsepower to weight ratio, 35% less production and maintenance cost and 20% greater design life. The program consists of system engineering and program management, detailed design engineering, design assurance

UNCLASSIFIED

Army Page 13 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165 **Volume 7 - 108**

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product	504: BLACE	K HAWK RECAPITALIZATION/
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Improvement Programs	MODERNIZ	ZATION

hardware manufacturing and testing, component and module level development and testing, system level testing and qualification as well as initial integration testing into the airframe.

FY10 funded development of the FADEC integration program, CAAS, and efforts for the development and test of the UH-60M Upgrade aircraft. FY10 also funded Development Testing of FBW technology in a rotary wing flight environment.

FY11 funds continue Development Testing of FBW technology in a rotary wing flight environment.

FY12 funds ITEP System Engineering/Program Management leading to MS B.

FY13 funds ITEP Systems Engineering/Program Management MS B requirements leading to down select, contract award and initial component design and fabrication.

FY14 funds ITEP component design, fabrication and rig tests, preliminary design review (PDR), engine level assembly and mechanical system checkout.

FY15 funds ITEP critical design review, detailed system and component level design, component and module level testing, and engine level development testing.

FY16 funds ITEP design assurance and qualification engine level testing, preliminary flight testing requirements, software testing and initial airframe integration.

		FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	OCO	Total
4.400	-	_	-	-
0				
0.441	-	_	-	-
0				
5.690	-	-	-	-
	4.400 0 0.441	4.400 - 0 0 - 0 0 -	FY 2010 FY 2011 Base 4.400	FY 2010 FY 2011 Base OCO 4.400 0 0 0.441 0 0

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 14 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

	UNCLASSIFIED					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			D	ATE: Febru	ary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Pro Improvement Programs	duct 5	ROJECT 04: BLACK F ODERNIZA		APITALIZA	TION/
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	e Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
	Articles:	()			
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort						
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Update, validation and verification of the Systems Integration Lal	o for the UH-60M aircraft.					
Title: SBIR / STTR	Articles:	1.136	-	-	-	-
Description: Provides support for the Small Business Innovation Transfer initiatives.	n Research and Small Business Technology					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Provides support for the Small Business Innovation Research an initiatives.	d Small Business Technology Transfer					
Title: Fly-By-Wire Aircraft Development Testing	Articles:	47.450 (20.640	-	-	-
Description: Supports the completion of the Fly-By-Wire techno	logy.					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Development Testing of Fly-By-Wire technology in a rotary wing	flight environment.					
FY 2011 Plans: Continues to fund Development Testing of Fly-By-Wire technology	gy in a rotary wing flight environment.					
Title: ITEP		-	-	21.467	-	21.467
Description: Improved Turbine Engine Program (ITEP) - a multi across existing Army aircraft to fill the capability gaps for Army A						
FY 2012 Base Plans: Begins the Engineering and Manufacturing Development Phase. Prime Contractor for system development and platform integration						
Ac	complishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	59.117	20.640	21.467	-	21.467
					•	•——

UNCLASSIFIED

Army Page 15 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army **DATE:** February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product 504: BLACK HAWK RECAPITALIZATION/

MODERNIZATION

BA 7: Operational Systems Development Improvement Programs

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 **Cost To** FY 2016 Complete Total Cost Line Item FY 2010 FY 2011 **Base** OCO Total FY 2013 FY 2014 FY 2015

• BLACK HAWK (MYP): BLACK 1.470.992 1.391.598 1.525.447 72.000 1,597.447

HAWK (MYP)

D. Acquisition Strategy

The Utility Helicopters Project Manager Office (UH PMO) is planning and executing programs to acquire the capabilities described in the Operational Requirements Document (ORD) For Recapitalization Of The UH-60 Black Hawk Utility Helicopter Fleet. The ORD specifies a two block approach and cites firm requirements for both blocks of capability as well as a robust pre-planned product improvement (P3I) plan that includes the insertion of technology. To address the requirements in the ORD the Utility Helicopters Project Office developed a strategy that developed the UH-60M Baseline to meet the Block 1 requirements and initiated the development of the UH-60M Upgrade for technology insertion of Fly-By-Wire (FBW), Full Authority Digital Engine Control (FADEC), and Common Avionics Architecture System (CAAS). In February 2010, the Defense Acquisition Executive (DAE) supported an Army Configuration Steering Board (CSB) and Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD) Overarching Integrated Product Team (OIPT) recommendation to cease production of the UH-60M Upgrade integrated solution due to Army Force Generation (AFORGEN) requirements. Concurrence with this recommendation is captured in the 18 February 2010 Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM) directing the Army to rebaseline the UH-60 Modernization Program. The ADM directed the completion of the development and development test of the UH-60M Upgrade program, continued procurement of UH-60M aircraft, and migration of select technologies from the UH-60M Upgrade development to the UH-60M configuration. This migration does not include FBW or CAAS. As part of completing the development and development testing of the UH-60M Upgrade, the integration, qualification and testing will be documented and shelved awaiting a future decision directing production of UH-60M Upgrade. At the point the decision is made to restart the UH-60M Upgrade effort, the appropriate UH-60M acquisition and test documents will be updated.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED Volume 7 - 111 Page 16 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165 Army

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product

Improvement Programs

DATE: February 2011

504: BLACK HAWK RECAPITALIZATION/

MODERNIZATION

Management Services ((\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
PM Support - Organic	Various	UH PMO/ matrix:Huntsville, AL	11.111	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
PM Support - Contract	Various	AMCOM Express Contractor:Huntsville, AL	6.293	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
ITEP SEPM - Prime Contract	Various	TBD:TBD	-	-		6.467		-		6.467	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
ITEP SEPM - Organic	Various	PMO:Huntsville, AL	-	-		0.600		-		0.600	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
ITEP PMO Other IOB - Organic	Various	PMO:Huntsville, AL	-	-		1.000		-		1.000	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
SIBR/STTR	Various	SIBR/STTR:Huntsville, AL	5.379	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	22.783	-		8.067		-		8.067			

Product Development (\$	in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011		2012 ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Design, Integration & Qualification Contract	Various	Sikorsky Aircraft Company:Various	364.215	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
UH-60M Upgrade Pre- Planned Product Improvement Contract	Various	Sikorsky Aircraft Company:Various	269.713	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Development Support - Organic	Various	UH PMO/ matrix:Huntsville, AL	22.963	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
Development Support - Contractor	Various	Support Contractors:Huntsville, AL	18.800	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
IMD-HUMS Development Support - Organic	Various	Aviation Applied Tech Directorate (AATD) Matrix:Various	6.953	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
IMD-HUMS Development Support - Contractor	Various	Goodrich:Various	46.862	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 17 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product

Improvement Programs

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

504: BLACK HAWK RECAPITALIZATION/

MODERNIZATION

Product Development (roduct Development (\$ in Millions)			FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
MAST Development Support - Organic	Various	Other Government Agency Support:Various	1.429	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
MAST Development Support - Contractor	Various	Smith Industries:Various	5.708	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
Full Authority Digital Engine Control (FADEC) Development - Organic	Various	TBD:TBD	2.144	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Full Authority Digital Engine Control (FADEC) Development - Contractor	Various	TBD:TBD	16.741	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
Internal Reprogramming - Payback for FY03	Various	TBD:TBD	3.413	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
HALS	Various	TBD:TBD	12.675	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Performance Support System - NG (Apache)	Various	Other Government Agency Support:Various	1.000	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
Transfer to Apache	Various	TBD:Various	3.000	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Operator Situational Awareness System - Contractor	Various	TBD:TBD	4.150	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
UH-60 Aviation Software Performance Assessment Test Bed	Various	Software Engineering Directorate:Huntsville, AL	-	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
Spindle Lug Bushing Phase 1&2	Various	Sikorsky Aircraft Company:Various	-	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
Fly-By-Wire Aircraft Program	Various	TBD:TBD	-	20.640		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Improved Turbine Engine Program (ITEP) Engine Development and Qualification	Various	TBD:TBD	2.000	-		10.000		-		10.000	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
		Subtotal	781.766	20.640		10.000		-		10.000			

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 18 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product

Improvement Programs

PROJECT

504: BLACK HAWK RECAPITALIZATION/

DATE: February 2011

MODERNIZATION

Product Development (\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011		2012 ase		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
	Contract		Total Prior										Target
	Method	Performing	Years		Award		Award		Award		Cost To		Value of
Cost Category Item	& Type	Activity & Location	Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Complete	Total Cost	Contract

Remarks

IMD-HUMS demonstration program was funded in FY02-05 and is separate from the UH-60M program.

MAST demonstration program was funded in FY04 and FY05 and is separate from the UH-60M and the HUMS programs.

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	2011		2012 Ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Cost Analysis (CAD) Support	Various	AMCOM Matrix:Huntsville, AL	0.956	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Logistics Analysis Support - Organic	Various	AMCOM Matrix:Huntsville, AL	2.285	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Logistics Analysis Support - Support Contractor	Various	Support Contractor:Huntsville, AL	2.287	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
ITEP Logistics - Organic	Various	IMMC:Huntsville, AL	-	-		0.400		-		0.400	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
ITEP Logistics - Contractor	Various	AMCOM EXPRESS:Huntsville, AL	-	-		0.200		-		0.200	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
ITEP Engineering Matrix Spt - Organic	Various	AMRDEC:Huntsville, AL	-	-		2.000		-		2.000	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
ITEP Engineering Spt - Contractor	Various	AMCOM EXPRESS:Huntsville, AL	-	-		0.800		-		0.800	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	5.528	-		3.400		-		3.400			
						EV	2042	EV.	2012	EV 2012]		

Test and Evaluation (\$ i	n Millions	s)		FY 2	2011		2012 Ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Test Planning, Test and Evaluation	Various	Various Activities:Various	35.435	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 19 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product

Improvement Programs

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

504: BLACK HAWK RECAPITALIZATION/

MODERNIZATION

Test and Evaluation (\$	in Millions	s)		FY 2011		FY 2012 Base		FY 2012 OCO		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Test Planning, Test and Evaluation Contractor	Various	Various Activities:Various	0.825	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	36.260	-		-		-		-			
	Yea		Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se	FY 2	2012 CO	FY 2012 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		Project Cost Totals	846.337	20.640		21.467		-		21.467			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 20 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product Improvement Programs

PROJECT
504: BLACK HAWK RECAPITALIZATION/
MODERNIZATION

FY 2010 FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2013 FY 2014 FY 2015 FY 2016 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1

Fly-By-Wire Aircraft Development Testing

Page 21 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product	504: BLACE	K HAWK RECAPITALIZATION/
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Improvement Programs	MODERNIZ	ZATION

Schedule Details

	Sta	art	End		
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year	
Fly-By-Wire Aircraft Development Testing	1	2010	3	2011	

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 22 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Army

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2012 Army							DATE: Feb	ruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop		R-1 ITEM N PE 0203744 Improvement	4A: Aircraft I	Modifications	ST NGBOW APACHE OPERATIONAL IS DEVELOP						
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
D12: LONGBOW APACHE OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOP	12.763	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	12.763
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

FY10 funding continues the Light Weight Missile Launcher (LWML) development, testing, and integration. First Unit Equipped is planned for 1st quarter FY12. The LWML will provide weight savings per launcher, commonality, producibility and improved electronics reliability to the Apache fleet. The LWML project was competitively awarded in FY08 as an incrementally funded FFP contract. In addition, the AAH PMO and the Night Vision and Electronic Sensors Directorate (NVESD) mutually agreed to enter into a Technology Transition Agreement (TTA) for the purpose of defining technology deliverables from the Electronic Image Intensifier (EI2) for Pilotage Technology Transition Initiative (TTI) to the Arrowhead Modernized-Target Acquisition Designation Sight/Pilot Night Vision Sensor (M-TADS/PNVS) program. A new camera will provide high quality, Aviator's Night Vision Imaging System (ANVIS)-equivalent (the current Army aviation night goggles) performance imagery that can be fused with thermal imagery for improved nighttime pilotage and situational awareness over a broader range of degraded visual conditions.

FY 2010 total does not include any previously requested funding for current FY 2009 Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) requirements, and no FY 2010 OCO funds have been previously requested in the RDTE Project of D12.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total
Title: Light Weight Missile Launcher (LWML) NRE Contract [Note: PM JAMS will report on the funding.]	9.995	-	-	_	_
Articles:	0				
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments:					
Complete contractor design, test, logistics development, and Government airworthiness qualification testing					
Title: Electronic Image Intensifier Technology Transition Initiative (EI2 TTI)	2.768	-	-	-	-
Articles:	0				
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments:					
Performs developmental flight testing with NVESD aircraft and operational testing with the Apache aircraft					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	12.763	-	-	-	-

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 23 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product	D12: LONG	BOW APACHE OPERATIONAL
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Improvement Programs	SYSTEMS	DEVELOP

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

	- ,	,	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	<u>Base</u>	<u>000</u>	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
• AA6606: <i>AH-64 Mods</i>	577.649	592.969	331.230		331.230		71.901	74.910	76.192	513.791	2,460.810
• A05111: <i>AH64 AB3</i>	230.036	493.831								0.000	723.867
A05121: Block II New Build	34.600									0.000	34.600
• A05122: AH-64 AB3 Remar	1		603.769		603.769		492.367	691.826	827.902	6,303.222	9,509.117
• A05133: AB3 New Build			139.763		139.763		1,057.670	405.676	201.490	0.000	2,352.617
• 273744/D17: Apache Block	<i>III</i> 146.874	93.320	92.799		92.799		136.202	158.144	136.586	Continuing	Continuing

D. Acquisition Strategy

There is no funding in this project for FY11.

FY10, El2 TTI -- Night Vision and Electronic Sensors Directorate (NVESD) is performing developmental flight testing with NVESD aircraft. Operational testing will be in the Apache aircraft. NVESD will manage the AAH PMO'S flight testing.

FY10, LWML -- These funds are to complete contractor design, test, logistics development, and Government airworthiness qualification testing. Prior year funds have been used to initiate and sustain the contract for those activities. Missile R&D funds were originally utilized for specification development, Request for Proposal generation, and contractor source selection. The project has traditional review and continuation points with Preliminary and Critical Design Reviews, a Production Readiness Review, and In-Process Review for a production decision. First Unit Equipped will be 1st quarter FY12.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Army Page 24 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165 Volume 7 - 119

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product

Improvement Programs

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

D12: LONGBOW APACHE OPERATIONAL

SYSTEMS DEVELOP

Product Development (\$	in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011		2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Boeing NRE CRMB	Various	The Boeing Company:various	12.073	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
SOFSA/L3 Inc. NRE TADSS	Various	SOFSA/L3 Inc.:various	13.950	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Lockheed Martin LWML	Various	LM Missiles & Fire Control:various	13.465	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	39.488	-		-		-		-			

Test and Evaluation (\$ i	n Millions	s)		FY 2011		FY 2012 Base		FY 2012 OCO		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Light Weight Missile Launcher (LWML)	Various	various:various	-	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Elec Image Intensifier Tech Transition (El2 TTI)	Various	NVESD:Fort Belvoir, VA	-	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	-	-		-		-		-			

	Total Prior									Target
	Years			FY 2012	FY	2012	FY 2012	Cost To		Value of
	Cost	FY 2	2011	Base	0	CO	Total	Complete	Total Cost	Contract
Project Cost Totals	39.488	_		-	_		-			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army										DATE: February 2011				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTI 2040: Research, Development, Tex		NOMENCLAT 4A: Aircraft N		:/Product	PROJECT D17: APACHE BLOCK III									
BA 7: Operational Systems Develo					nt Programs			BITT. TOTAL BEGOIN						
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost			
D17: APACHE BLOCK III	146.874	93.320	92.799	-	92.799	114.444	136.202	158.144	136.586	Continuing	Continuing			
Quantity of RDT&E Articles														

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Army

Project D17, Apache Block III (AB3) funding is for the non-recurring engineering (NRE), development, and testing work associated with the planned remanufacture and new build of 690 Apache aircraft in the Block III configuration (deliveries to begin in Oct 2011). The AB3 program consists of two Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAP), AB3A Remanufacture and AB3B New Build. The AB3 is the best value sustainment plan for the Apache fleet that overcomes capability gaps and satisfies user requirements. The AB3 will add significant combat capability while addressing obsolescence issues to ensure the aircraft remains a realistic combat multiplier through 2040. The AB3 will address current system shortfalls by integrating: Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS) Level IV Control Capability, Improved Situational Awareness, an Upgraded Communications Suite, Improved Drive and Propulsion Systems, Improved Targeting Capability, Increased Computer Processing Capability and Speed, Improved Navigation Systems, and Improved Diagnostics and Maintainability.

FY12 funding totals do not include any previously requested funding for current FY12 Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) requirements, and no FY12 OCO funds have been previously requested in the RDTE Project D17.

FY11 funding totals did not include any previously requested funding for current FY11 Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) requirements, and no FY11 OCO funds have been previously requested in the RDTE Project D17.

FY10 funding totals did not include any previously requested funding for current FY10 Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) requirements, and no FY10 OCO funds have been previously requested in the RDTE Project D17.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: Major Contracts Articles:	107.474 0	78.200 0	52.984	-	52.984
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Development & Testing work associated with the planned remanufacture and new build of Apache aircraft in the Block III Lot 1-3 configuration					
FY 2011 Plans:					

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 26 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			D	ATE: Febru	ary 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product Improvement Programs PROJECT D17: APACHE BLOCK III							
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quan	tities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total		
Development & Testing work associated with the planned remanufacture Block III Lot 1-3 configuration	e and new build of Apache aircraft in the							
FY 2012 Base Plans:								
Development & Testing work associated with the planned remanufacture Block III Lot 4-6 configuration	e and new build of Apache aircraft in the							
Title: Other Major Contracts		13.000	5.000	15.000	-	15.000		
	Articles:	(0					
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort								
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Development & Testing of Radar Electronics Unit (REU), UAS TCDL As Lot 1-3 aircraft. Future configuration of REU, RFI, & UTA will satisfy the & enhance operational capability.								
FY 2011 Plans: Development & Testing of REU, UTA associated with Block III Lot 1-3 at & UTA will satisfy the program specific technology upgrades & enhance								
FY 2012 Base Plans: Development & Testing of REU, RFI, and UTA associated with Block III REU, RFI, and UTA will satisfy the program specific technology upgrade								
Title: Program Support Activities	Articles:	9.096		11.471	-	11.471		
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort								
FY 2010 Accomplishments: GFE supporting AB3 tests								
FY 2011 Plans: GFE supporting AB3 tests								
FY 2012 Base Plans:								

UNCLASSIFIED

Army Page 27 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT

PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product

Improvement Programs

D17: APACHE BLOCK III

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
GFE supporting AB3 tests						
Title: Government Participation, Operational Assessments		7.174	6.800	12.855	-	12.855
	Articles:	0	0			
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort						
FY 2010 Accomplishments:						
Development Test & Evaluation, Live Fire, Operational Testing						
FY 2011 Plans:						
Development Test & Evaluation, Live Fire, Operational Testing						
FY 2012 Base Plans:						
Development Test & Evaluation, Live Fire, Operational Testing						
Title: Management Services		4.996	-	0.489	-	0.489
	Articles:	0				
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort						
FY 2010 Accomplishments:						
Payroll, Temporary Duty (TDY), Support Contractors, Matrix Support						
FY 2012 Base Plans:						
Payroll, TDY, Support Contractors, Matrix Support						
Title: Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfer Adjustment		5.134	-	-	-	-
	Articles:	0				
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort						
FY 2010 Accomplishments:						
SBIR/STTR Adjustment						
Accomplishments/Planned Program	ns Subtotals	146.874	93.320	92.799	-	92.799

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 28 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product	D17: <i>APAC</i>	HE BLOCK III
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Improvement Programs		

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

o. omer i regium i anamg camma	ι y (Ψ ιιι ινιιιιι	<u>0110<i>j</i></u>									
			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	<u>Base</u>	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
• AH-64 Mods: <i>APA, SSN AA6606</i>	577.649	592.969	331.230		331.230		71.901	74.910	76.192	513.791	2,460.810
AH-64 Apache Block III: APA,	230.036	493.831								0.000	723.867
SSN A05111											
 Apache Block II New Build: APA, 	34.600									0.000	34.600
SSN A05121											
AH-64 AB3A Reman: APA, SSN			603.769		603.769		492.367	691.826	827.902	6,303.222	9,509.117
A05122											
AB3B New Build: APA, SSN			139.763		139.763		1,057.670	405.676	201.490	0.000	2,352.617
A05133											
 Longbow Apache Operational 	12.763									0.000	12.763
System: RDTE, PE273744D12											

D. Acquisition Strategy

The NRE will encompass subsystem integration and will utilize existing test aircraft, incorporate the technical insertions, and initiate appropriate qualification and operational flight-testing. The Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) effort includes a total quantity of 51 aircraft, with deliveries completing in December 2013. These 51 LRIP aircraft will be used for operational testing, First Unit Equipped (FUE), and training base fielding.

In Oct 10, a contract for Apache Block III Lot 1 (8 aircraft) was awarded to initiate LRIP. Additional options for Lot 2a (16 aircraft), Lot 2b (19 aircraft) and Lot 2c (8 aircraft) are part of the LRIP Contract plan.

In late FY11, the existing Engineering Manufacturing Development (EMD) effort will be modified to incorporate development and testing to support the AB3 Lot 4 and Lot 6 production configurations.

In FY13, a contract for Apache Block III Lot 3 (40 aircraft), initiating Full Rate Production, will be awarded with options for Lot 4 (52 aircraft), Lot 5 (56 aircraft) and will continue through FY25, to a total of 690 remanufactured and new build aircraft.

Training device concurrency will be maintained with each technical insertion. FY09 and FY10 advanced material procurement support the LRIP deliveries. The EMD effort is managed as Cost Reimbursable. Production efforts will be awarded as Firm Fixed Price (FFP) and include the Advanced Procurement requirements.

As the acquisition strategy and plan unfolds, multi-year authority may be requested for the out years.

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 29 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Volume 7 - 124

	ONOLAGOII ILD	
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product Improvement Programs	PROJECT D17: APACHE BLOCK III
E. Performance Metrics		
Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification	material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performan	ce Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

 UNCLASSIFIED
 Page 30 of 35
 R-1 Line Item #165
 Volume 7 - 125

Army

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product

Improvement Programs

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

D17: APACHE BLOCK III

Management Services (\$ in Millio	ons)		FY 2	011	FY 2 Ba	-		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Management Svcs (In-House, Travel, etc.)	MIPR	PMO AAH, Matrix Support, AMCOM Express:Redstone Arsenal, AL	30.872	-		0.489		-		0.489	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	30.872	-		0.489		-		0.489			

Product Development	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	011	1	2012 ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
The Boeing Company	SS/CPIF	Boeing Contracts:Mesa, AZ	584.973	78.200		52.984		-		52.984	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Longbow Limited Liability (LBL) Contracts	SS/CPIF	Longbow Limited Liability (LBL) Contracts:Orlando, FL and Baltimore, MD	135.000	5.000		15.000		-		15.000	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	719.973	83.200		67.984		-		67.984			

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Program Support Activities	MIPR	Various Activities:Various	34.125	3.320		11.471		-		11.471	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
SBIR/STTR	TBD	n/a:n/a	-	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	34.125	3.320		11.471		-		11.471			

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product

Improvement Programs

PROJECT

D17: APACHE BLOCK III

DATE: February 2011

Test and Evaluation (\$	in Millions	s)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Operational Assessments, Test Integration Working Group (TWIG), TEMP, etc.	TBD	Various Activities:Various	17.370	6.800		12.855		-		12.855	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	17.370	6.800		12.855		-		12.855			
			Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		Project Cost Totals	802.340	93.320		92.799		-		92.799			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 32 of 35 R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product
Improvement Programs

		FY 2010			FY	2011			FY 2	2012	2		FY 2	2013		FY 2014				FY 2015			,	FY 2016			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3
NRE Contracts - Boeing											,						,										
NRE Contracts - Longbow Limited Liability																											
Milestone C																											

 UNCLASSIFIED

 Army
 Page 33 of 35
 R-1 Line Item #165
 Volume 7 - 128

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0203744A: Aircraft Modifications/Product D17: APACHE BLOCK III

BA 7: Operational Systems Development Improvement Programs

Schedule Details

	St	art	E	nd
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
NRE Contracts - Boeing	4	2010	3	2016
NRE Contracts - Longbow Limited Liability	4	2010	3	2016
Milestone C	3	2010	3	2010

Exhibit R-5, RDT&E Termination L	.iability: PB	2012 Army							DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	& Evaluation	n, Army		R-1 ITEM N PE 0203744 Improvemen	1A: Aircraft Λ	Modifications (/Product	PROJECT D17: APAC	HE BLOCK III
Cost (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016		
Program Termination Liability	14.687	9.380	9.280	11.444	13.620	15.814	13.659		

UNCLASSIFIED R-1 Line Item #165

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITI

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203752A: Aircraft Engine Component Improvement Program

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	0.767	0.710	0.823	-	0.823	0.889	0.422	0.328	0.332	Continuing	Continuing
106: A/C COMPON IMPROV PROG	0.767	0.710	0.823	-	0.823	0.889	0.422	0.328	0.332	Continuing	Continuing

Note

Funds realigned to higher priority requirements.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Aircraft Engine Component Improvement Program (CIP) develops, tests, and qualifies improvements to aircraft engine components to correct service-revealed deficiencies, improve flight safety, enhance readiness and reduce operating and support (O&S) costs. In addition, CIP provides the test vehicles for the testing and qualification efforts required as a part of the Army's Critical Safety Item (CSI) program. CIP is included in the RDTE budget vice procurement appropriations in accordance with congressional direction. The majority of CIP funding has been reallocated to PE 273744 beginning in FY07. Non-program specific Auxiliary Power Unit (APU) as well as Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) safety and readiness issues will continue to be addressed under this PE.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	0.788	0.710	0.852	-	0.852
Current President's Budget	0.767	0.710	0.823	-	0.823
Total Adjustments	-0.021	-	-0.029	-	-0.029
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.021	-			
Adjustments to Budget Years	-	-	-0.029	-	-0.029

Army UNCLASSIFIED
Page 1 of 9 R-1 Line Item #166
Volume 7 - 131

DATE: Fabruson: 2011

EXHIBIT R-2A, RD1&E Project Ju	Stification: PE	3 2012 Army	,						DAIE: Febi	uary 2011	
2040: Research, Development, Te	st & Evaluation	n, Army			IOMENCLAT 2A: Aircraft E nt Program	_	onent	PROJECT 106: <i>A/C C</i>	OMPON IMF	PROV PROG	;
COST (\$ in Millions)	ROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY : Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army : Operational Systems Development COST (\$ in Millions) FY 2010 FY 2011 Base A/C COMPON IMPROV 0.767 0.710 0.82	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
106: A/C COMPON IMPROV PROG	0.767	0.710	0.823	-	0.823	0.889	0.422	0.328	0.332	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

Note

Army

Not applicable for this item.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Exhibit D 24 DDT9 F Drainet Instification, DD 2042 Array

Aircraft Engine Component Improvement Program (CIP) develops, tests, and qualifies improvements to aircraft engine components to correct service-revealed deficiencies, improve flight safety, enhance readiness and reduce operating and support (O&S) costs. In addition, CIP provides the test vehicles for the testing and qualification efforts required as a part of the Army's Critical Safety Item (CSI) program. CIP is included in the RDTE budget vice procurement appropriations in accordance with congressional direction. The majority of CIP funding has been reallocated to PE 273744 beginning in FY07. Non-program specific Auxiliary Power Unit (APU) as well as Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) safety and readiness issues will continue to be addressed under this PE.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: T700 Engine	0.300	0.275	0.321
Articles:	0	0	
Description: Majority of funding for this program has been reallocated to PE 273744. Previously, this program addressed flight safety and readiness problems that arise in the field by providing timely engineering support, continued the development of the T700-GE-701D, provided engineering support of fielded engines to enhance war fighting capability and improve durability and reliability while reducing cost of ownership.			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Began test effort for the T700-GE-701D engine to address overspeed and burst safety concerns and reduce O&S costs.			
FY 2011 Plans: Complete overspeed/burst testing for the T700-GE-701D engine to address safety concerns.			
FY 2012 Plans: Will complete 701D qualification reports, provide rapid response to resolve field related issues.			
Title: T55 Engine	0.303	0.275	0.321
Articles:	0	0	

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 2 of 9 R-1 Line Item #166 Volume 7 - 132

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Fel	oruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203752A: Aircraft Engine Component Improvement Program	PROJEC 106: <i>A/C</i>	T COMPON IM	PROV PROC	9
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Artic	cle Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Description: Provide timely support to field users, applying engrevealed in the field. Continue the engineering support of fielde and reliability while reducing CH-47 engine cost of ownership.					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Funded efforts on 1553 Engine Control Unit (ECU) program to i Drive Line redesign to improve accessory gearbox reliability and		ded N1			
FY 2011 Plans: Finish 1553 ECU Effort for F Model incorporation and continue	N1 Drive Line redesign and qualification.				
FY 2012 Plans: Start the ECU Software Block Update to improve ECU functional reliability/maintenance issues (oil leaks).	ality and Start the Qualification of a new oil pump to addr	ess			
Title: GTCP36 Auxiliary Power Unit (APU)		Articles:	0.025	0.025	0.030
Description: Provide timely responses to technical problems a repair reports, perform engineering analysis of failed engines at isolate/verify reported field problems and service revealed defice	nd equipment. Perform investigation and testing as requ	onal and	o	Ü	
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Formulated correlation factors to publish life limits and address GTCP 36 APU.	service revealed deficiencies that affect safe operation of	of the			
FY 2011 Plans: Continue formulating correlation factors to publish life limits and the GTCP 36 APU.	d address service revealed deficiencies that affect safe o	peration of			
FY 2012 Plans: Address service revealed deficiencies that affect safe operation	of the GTCP 36 series APUs.				
Title: T62 Auxiliary Power Unit (APU)		Articles:	0.035 0	0.025 0	0.030

UNCLASSIFIED

Volume 7 - 133

Army Page 3 of 9 R-1 Line Item #166

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Fel	oruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	PROJEC 106: <i>A/C</i>	T COMPON IM	PROV PROC	3	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Description: Provide timely responses to technical problems aris repair reports, perform engineering analysis of failed engines and isolate/verify reported field problems and service revealed deficient	d equipment. Perform investigation and testing as requ				
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Delivered two assembled Flex Fuel Manifolds to US Army for qualiform Control assembly, addressed SRDs affecting T62 series APUs.	alification testing, prepared drawing for T62-T-2B Fuel	Pump/Fuel			
FY 2011 Plans: Finish the qualification of the Flex Fuel Manifolds and provide a conservice revealed deficiencies affecting the T62 APU.	class I Engineering Change Proposal for incorporation,	address			
FY 2012 Plans: Will address service revealed deficiencies affecting safe operatio	n of the T-62T series APUs.				
Title: UAV Shadow Engine		Articles:	0.079 0	0.067 0	0.07
Description: UAV Shadow Engine Investigation at U.S. Army ReTechnology Directorate (VTD) at ARL Cleveland. Provide resear improvements of the Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) shadow en engine performance, engine durability, engine life, and engine mereadily available MIL-spec lubricants.	ch to support airworthiness, reliability and performance gine. Investigate and research the technology challen	e ges (i.e.			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Completed and qualified ARL engine test cell, researched improves safety. Researched thermal barrier coatings to improve performance.		e and			
FY 2011 Plans: Continue research of improved oil pump and engine bearings to barrier coatings to improve performance and durability.	mprove engine life and safety and continue research of	on thermal			
FY 2012 Plans: Will continue to research improvements to address service relate	d deficiencies.				
Title: In-House Support		Articles:	0.025 0	0.043	0.05

UNCLASSIFIED

Army Page 4 of 9 R-1 Line Item #166 Volume 7 - 134

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203752A: Aircraft Engine Component	106: A/C COMPON IMPROV PROG
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Improvement Program	

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Description: In-house support for the CIP engineers. Contracting support for CIP contracts.			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Provided in-house support for the CIP engineers. Contracting support for CIP contracts.			
FY 2011 Plans: Provide in-house support for the CIP engineers. Contracting support for CIP contracts.			
FY 2012 Plans: Continue to provide in-house support for the CIP engineers. Contracting support for CIP contracts.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	0.767	0.710	0.823

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

Army

D. Acquisition Strategy

Improved designs will be implemented via Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) and follow-on procurement or modification to a production contract to introduce the improved hardware.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Volume 7 - 135 R-1 Line Item #166 Page 5 of 9

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0203752A: Aircraft Engine Component

Improvement Program

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

106: A/C COMPON IMPROV PROG

Management Services	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2	2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
In-house Engineering	Various	various:various	10.342	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
In-house Engineering	WR	AMRDEC:Redstone Arsenal, AL	2.062	0.043		0.051		-		0.051	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
TBD	TBD	TBD:TBD	0.140	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
Prior Year Closed Account Funding	Various	various:various	0.005	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
SBIR/STTR	Various	various:various	0.176	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
		Subtotal	12.725	0.043		0.051		-		0.051			

Product Development	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
T700 Engine	SS/IDIQ	GE-Air:Lynn, MA	61.016	0.255		0.321		-		0.321	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
T55 Engine	SS/IDIQ	Honeywell:Phoenix, AZ	28.497	0.255		0.321		-		0.321	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
APU's	SS/IDIQ	Air Force:Kelly AFB, TX	13.557	0.090		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
EDECU	Various	GE-Air:Lynn, MA	0.774	-		-		-		-	0.000	0.774	0.000
FADEC/FDU	Various	CECOM:Ft. Monmouth, NJ	12.895	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
LOLA	Various	CECOM:Ft. Monmouth,	0.938	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
APU's	SS/IDIQ	Air Force:Hill AFB, UT	2.259	-		0.060		-		0.060	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
UAV Shadow Engine	Various	ARL-Vehicle Technology Directorate:TBD	-	0.067		0.070		-		0.070	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
		Subtotal	119.936	0.667		0.772		-		0.772			

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0203752A: Aircraft Engine Component

Improvement Program

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

R-1 Line Item #166

106: A/C COMPON IMPROV PROG

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	2011		2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Contract Engineering 1	Various	various:various	0.010	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
Contract Engineering 2	Various	Various:Various	0.199	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
Contract Engineering 3	Various	various:variious	0.107	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
Contract Engineering 4	Various	various:various	0.030	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
		Subtotal	0.346	-		-		-		-			0.000

Test and Evaluation (\$	in Millions)		FY	2011		2012 ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Redstone Avn Prop Test Res (RAPTR) Facility Data Reduction Prog	Various	Redstone Technical Test Center:Redstone Arsenal, AL	0.946	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
T-62T-2B Vibration Test	Various	Redstone Technical Text Center:Redstone Arsenal, AL	-	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
		Subtotal	0.946	-		-		-		-			

Remarks

Not Applicable

	Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2	2011		2012 ase		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
						_			p.:		
Project Cost Totals	133.953	0.710		0.823		-		0.823			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 7 of 9

Volume 7 - 137

Army

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203752A: Aircraft Engine Component
Improvement Program

PROJECT
106: A/C COMPON IMPROV PROG

		FY 2010			FY 2010 FY 2011			1	FY 2012				FY 2013			FY 2014				FY 2015				FY 2016			,
	1	2	3	4	1	2 3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
T700 Engine																			•								
T55 Engine																											
Auxiliary Power Units (APUs)																											
UAV Shadow Engine																											

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203752A: Aircraft Engine Component
Improvement Program

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203752A: Aircraft Engine Component
Improvement Program

Schedule Details

	St	art	End		
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year	
T700 Engine	2	2010	1	2012	
T55 Engine	1	2010	3	2011	
Auxiliary Power Units (APUs)	4	2010	1	2011	
UAV Shadow Engine	4	2010	1	2011	

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0203758A: Digitization

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	8.218	6.329	8.029	-	8.029	9.802	9.060	8.684	6.999	Continuing	Continuing
374: HOR BATTLEFLD DIGITIZN	8.218	6.329	8.029	-	8.029	9.802	9.060	8.684	6.999	Continuing	Continuing

Note

Change Summary Explanation:

FY 10: Funding decreased by 2,418K; reprogramming to support Underbody Blast (1,317K) and CAA's FLOIS (738K) and SBIR/STTR transfer (363K).

FY 12: Funding decreased for adjustments to Budget Years (299K).

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Horizontal Battlefield Digitization is a strategy that allows warfighters, from the individual soldier and platform to echelons above corps, to share critical situation awareness (SA) and command and control (C2) information. It conducts analysis and evaluation of new information technologies, concepts, and applications of integrated management activities to meet the dynamic Army acquisition technology requirements. The strategy applies digital information technologies to acquire, exchange, and employ data throughout the operational environment, and provides a clear and accurate common operational picture for leaders at all levels. This timely sharing of information significantly improves the ability of commanders and leaders to quickly make decisions, synchronize forces and fires, and increase the operational tempo. Digitization is a means of realizing a fully integrated C2/SA capability to the platoon level, including interoperability links with joint and multinational ground forces. The major efforts included in the program element are: 1) Integration and synchronization of the Army's interoperability efforts, coordination of interoperability efforts between joint and multi-national forces, and the synchronization of combat material and training efforts to develop Army information technologies; 2) Systems engineering and integration of hardware and software interfaces between and across the warfighting functions and across multiple Program Executive Offices, providing System of Systems (SOS) capabilities that satisfy warfighter requirements and enable the execution of mission operations by providing one Common Operational Picture (COP)/Common Tactical Picture (CTP). 3) Oversee and support synchronization of LandWarNet Battle Command capabilities and ensure interoperability across the current and future force. 4) Support fielding of integrated systems to Active and Reserve Components (USARNG and USAR) in accordance with Army Force Generation (ARFORGEN). 5) Support of the the Army Equipping Enterprise System (

Army Page 1 of 7 R-1 Line Item #167 Volume 7 - 140

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203758A: Digitization	

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	10.636	6.329	8.328	-	8.328
Current President's Budget	8.218	6.329	8.029	-	8.029
Total Adjustments	-2.418	-	-0.299	-	-0.299
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
Congressional Adds		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
 Other Adjustments 1 	-2.418	-	-0.299	-	-0.299

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army DATE: February 2011											
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development								PROJECT 374: HOR BATTLEFLD DIGITIZN				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
374: HOR BATTLEFLD DIGITIZN	8.218	6.329	8.029	-	8.029	9.802	9.060	8.684	6.999	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&F Articles												

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Army

Horizontal Battlefield Digitization is a strategy that allows warfighters, from the individual soldier and platform to echelons above corps, to share critical situation awareness (SA) and command and control (C2) information. It conducts analysis and evaluation of new information technologies, concepts, and applications of integrated management activities to meet the dynamic Army acquisition technology requirements. The strategy applies digital information technologies to acquire exchange and employ data throughout the operational environment, and provides a clear and accurate common operational picture for leaders at all levels. This timely sharing of information significantly improves the ability of commanders and leaders to quickly make decisions, synchronize forces and fires, and increase the operational tempo. Digitization is a means of realizing a fully integrated C2/SA capability to the platoon level, including interoperability links with joint and multinational ground forces. The major efforts included in the program element are: 1) Integration and synchronization of the Army's interoperability efforts, coordination of interoperability efforts between joint and multi-national forces, and the synchronization of combat material and training efforts to develop Army information technologies; 2) Systems engineering and integration of hardware and software interfaces between and across the warfighting functions and across multiple Program Executive Offices, providing System of Systems (SOS) integration capabilities that satisfy warfighter requirements and enable the execution of mission operations by providing one Common Operational Picture (COP)/Common Tactical Picture (CTP). 3) Oversee and support synchronization of LandWarNet Battle Command capabilities and ensure interoperability across the current and future force. 4) Support fielding of integrated systems to Active and Reserve Components (USARNG and USAR) in Information System (FDIIS), Army Flow Model (AFM) and the Continuous Early Validation (CEaVa) pr

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Interoperability Assessment	1.762	1.352	2.101
Articles:	0	0	
Description: funds are to be used for the following efforts			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Conduct technical interoperability assessments, perform interoperability/integration analyses, analyze networked weapon system and Situational Awareness (SA), Command and Control (C2), Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (C4ISR) systems compatibility, and assess technical and operational test plans, activities, and results.			
FY 2011 Plans:			

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 3 of 7 R-1 Line Item #167

Volume 7 - 142

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE			ruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	PROJEC 374: HOF	ECT OR BATTLEFLD DIGITIZN			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article C	Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Conduct technical interoperability assessments, perform interopera and Situational Awareness (SA), Command and Control (C2), Com Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (C4ISR) systems compatibility, a results.	nmand, Control, Communications, Computers, Int	telligence,			
FY 2012 Plans: Conduct technical interoperability assessments, perform interopera and Situational Awareness (SA), Command and Control (C2), Com Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (C4ISR) systems compatibility, a results.	nmand, Control, Communications, Computers, Int	telligence,			
Title: SA/C2/C4ISR		Articles:	2.044 0	1.941 0	2.08
Description: funds are to be used for the following efforts					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Integrate and synchronize interoperability across SA/C2/C4ISR protraining, and fielding System of Systems capabilities to the Army For FY 2011 Plans:	orce. Continue application across current and fut	ure force.			
Integrate and synchronize interoperability across SA/C2/C4ISR pro training, and fielding System of Systems capabilities to the Army Fo					
FY 2012 Plans: Integrate and synchronize interoperability across SA/C2/C4ISR protraining, and fielding System of Systems capabilities to the Army Fo					
Title: Ditization Technical Integration		Articles:	1.172 0	0.842 0	0.86
Description: funds are to be for the following efforts					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Support digitization technical integration with Active and Reserve C	omponents both CONUS and OCONUS.				
FY 2011 Plans:					

UNCLASSIFIED

Army Page 4 of 7 R-1 Line Item #167 Volume 7 - 143

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	ECT HOR BATTLEFLD DIGITIZN				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Support digitization technical integration with Active and Reserve	Components both CONUS and OCONUS.				
FY 2012 Plans: Support digitization technical integration with Active and Reserve	Components both CONUS and OCONUS.				
Title: AE2S Software		Articles:	1.000 0	1.000 0	1.000
Description: funds are to be for the following efforts					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Procures AE2S software integration and enhancements for the sir FDIIS, CEaVa, COP and AFM	ngle program language, single platform system	that incorporates			
FY 2011 Plans: Procures AE2S software integration and enhancements for the sir FDIIS, CEaVa, COP and AFM	ngle program language, single platform system	that incorporates			
FY 2012 Plans: Procures AE2S software integration and enhancements for the sir FDIIS, CEaVa, COP and AFM	ngle program language, single platform system	that incorporates			
Title: Joint & Coalition Interoperability		Articles:	0.410	0.538	0.738
Description: funds the following efforts		Ai licies.	J	J	
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Support Joint and Coalition interoperability programs to improve in Blocking Policy, Joint Planning Guidance, Coalition Specifications (JCIDS) requirements.					
FY 2011 Plans: Support Joint and Coalition interoperability programs to improve in Blocking Policy, Joint Planning Guidance, Coalition Specifications (JCIDS) requirements.					
FY 2012 Plans:					

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 5 of 7 R-1 Line Item #167

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Fel	oruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	CT R BATTLEFLD DIGITIZN				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Support Joint and Coalition interoperability programs to improve i Blocking Policy, Joint Planning Guidance, Coalition Specifications (JCIDS) requirements.					
Title: Cross-platform development		Articles:	0.630 0	0.656 0	0.740
Description: funds to be used for the following efforts					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Manage cross-platform software and hardware development, test interoperability for each Army Force unit rotation.	ting, training, and fielding to ensure the coordinated				
FY 2011 Plans: Manage cross-platform software and hardware development, test interoperability for each Army Force unit rotation.	ting, training, and fielding to ensure the coordinated				
FY 2012 Plans: Manage cross-platform software and hardware development, test interoperability for each Army Force unit rotation.	ting, training, and fielding to ensure the coordinated				
Title: Academic Research		Articles:	1.200 0	-	0.500
Description: funds to be used for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Apply university academic and research resources to the integrat support of modernized forces.	tion of Army complex modeling, simulation, and trainin	g in			
FY 2012 Plans: Apply university academic and research resources to the integrat support of modernized forces.	tion of Army complex modeling, simulation, and trainin	g in			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs	Cubtatala	8.218	6.329	8.029

UNCLASSIFIED

Volume 7 - 145

Page 6 of 7 R-1 Line Item #167

Army

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203758A: Digitization	374: HOR E	BATTLEFLD DIGITIZN
BA 7: Operational Systems Development			

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)
N/A
D. Acquisition Strategy
To validate/demonstrate concepts and requirements, near term efforts are focused on developing a seamless battlefield software architecture and digitized hardware systems to include: evaluation of the horizontal battlefield digitization resources for systems, acquisition, integration, and testing of digital capability across multiple command and control, communications, sensors, and weapons platforms. The result will be an integrated, synchronized capability designed to meet the near-term requirements of the Stryker Brigade Combat Teams and the Army Future Force. Also supports the Army's role in joint and multi-national digitization programs, battle command efforts and Joint Battlefield Situational Awareness.
E. Performance Metrics
Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED Page 7 of 7

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203759A: Force XXI Battle Command, Brigade and Below (FBCB2)

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	3.935	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	3.935
122: JOINT BATTLE COMMAND - PLATFORM (JBC-P)	-	3.935	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	3.935

Note

Change Summary Explanation: FY11 RDTE funding of \$3.935 million is for Command and Control (C2)/Situational Awareness (SA) Convergence. It uses Project Code 122. FBCB2 RDTE Funding in FY09 and Prior used Project Code 120.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Force XXI Battle Command Brigade and Below (FBCB2) consists of FBCB2 and Joint Battle Command - Platforms (JBC-P) hardware and software.

The Force XXI Battle Command Brigade and Below (FBCB2) is a digital, battle command information system that provides integrated, on-the-move, timely, relevant battle command information to tactical combat, combat support and combat service support leaders and soldiers. FBCB2 incorporates state-of-the-art information technology to allow commanders to concentrate combat system effects rather than combat forces, enabling units to be both more survivable and more lethal. FBCB2 provides the capability to pass orders and graphics allowing the warfighter to visualize the commander's intent and scheme of maneuver. FBCB2 affords combat forces the capability to retain the tactical/operational initiatives under all mission, enemy, terrain, troops, and time available conditions to enable faster decisions, real/near-real-time communications and response. FBCB2 as a key component of the Army Battle Command System (ABCS), completes the information flow process from brigade to platform and across platforms within the brigade task force and across brigade boundaries. FBCB2 system provides a dual based capability consisting of both terrestrial (EPLRS) and satellite based (L-Band) systems. The system includes a Pentium based processor, display unit, keyboard, removable hard disk drive cartridge, and a platform specific installation kit. The satellite based system, more commonly known as Blue Force Tracking (BFT), includes an L-Band transceiver that employs commercial satellite services in lieu of tactical terrestrial radios. Currently over 88,000 total systems have been fielded with approximately 25,000 systems in support of Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF)/Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF).

FBCB2 RDTE Funding for Project Code 120 ends in FY09.

\$3.935 million has been added to Project Code 122 for JBC-P Command and Control (C2)/Situational Awareness (SA) Convergence in FY11.

Army Page 1 of 7 R-1 Line Item #168 Volume 7 - 147

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203759A: Force XXI Battle Command, Brigade and Below (FBCB2)

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	-	3.935	-	-	-
Current President's Budget	-	3.935	-	-	-
Total Adjustments	-	-	-	-	-
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: Pl	3 2012 Army					DATE : February 2011				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	& Evaluatio	n, Army		R-1 ITEM N PE 0203759 Brigade and	9A: <i>Force X</i>	XI Battle Cor	mmand,	PROJECT 122: JOINT (JBC-P)	PLATFORM		
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
122: JOINT BATTLE COMMAND - PLATFORM (JBC-P)	-	3.935	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	3.935
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Joint Battle Command - Platforms (JBC-P), which includes Blue Force Tracking and Army Aviation, provides true Joint force Command and Control (C2) Situational Awareness (SA) and communications (e.g., terrestrial, celestial) capability at the platform level through command center locations (e.g., Network Operations Centers, Theater Operation Commands (TOCs), Brigade Command Posts) and enables mission accomplishment across the entire spectrum of Joint military operations. JBC-P serves as the cornerstone for Joint Blue Force Situational Awareness (JBFSA). It provides continuous near-real-time identification of friendly locations to populate the Joint Common Operating Picture (JCOP). Joint Battle Command - Platforms (JBC-P) enhances Joint Combat Identification to increase combat effectiveness and reduces fratricide in a secure environment. It enables Joint, net-centric C2/Battle Command by seamlessly passing/sharing relevant information vertically and horizontally, within all levels of command, regardless of Service unit hierarchy. In addition to utilizing the existing FBCB2/BFT system, JBC-P system hardware consists of a family of computers (e.g., handhelds, tablets, ruggedized computers, beacons and in-dash computers), communications equipment (e.g., satellite tranceivers/ antennas), encryption devices (e.g., KGV-72), and ancillary equipment (e.g., Mission Data Loader, Disk Duplicator, cables, installation kits, etc.).

\$3.935 million is programmed for FY11 RDTE to pay for JBC-P C2/SA Convergence.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012	
	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total	
Title: Army/Marine Corps JBC-P C2/SA Convergence Implementation	_	3.935	-	-	-	
Articles:		0				
Description: JBC-P C2/SA Convergence						
FY 2011 Plans: Army/Marine Corps JBC-P C2/SA Convergence Implementation. This is a key senior leader/JCIDS priority to increase operational capability and mission effectiveness.						
increase operational capability and mission effectiveness. Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	-	3.935	-	_	-	
						1

UNCLASSIFIED

Army Page 3 of 7 R-1 Line Item #168

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE : February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203759A: Force XXI Battle Command,	122: JOINT BATTLE COMMAND - PLATFORM
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Brigade and Below (FBCB2)	(JBC-P)
	·	

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

		•	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
Joint Battle Cmd - Platform (JBC-	17.188	0.147	20.000	148.335	168.335		139.100	133.095	134.696	Continuing	Continuing
P): <i>OPA W61990</i>											
Army Sys Engr & Warfighter Tech	37.620									0.000	37.620
Spt: RDTE 654805/589											
Joint Battle Command - Platform:		10.000	111.123		111.123		5.455	4.165	3.140	Continuing	Continuing
RDTE 654804/593											

D. Acquisition Strategy

The JBC-P program was Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JROC) approved in May 2008. RDTE funding for JBC-P began in FY10.

\$3.935 million in FY11 funding for Command and Control/Situational Awareness (C2/SA) Convergence was programmed under this PE (273759) under Project No. 122. Other RDTE funds for JBC-P were programmed in PE 654805, Project No. 589 (for FY10) and PE 654804, Project No. 593 (for FY11 and beyond).

Software Development will be primarily executed through a Memorandum Of Understanding/Memorandum of Agreement with the Army Research and Development Engineering Command (RDECOM) System Engineering Directorate (SED).

Hardware Procurement will be executed through a competitive contracting approach.

The current estimated Acquisition Schedule, as shown in the R-4 Forms, is based on the approved JBC-P Acquisition Strategy.

E. Performance Metrics

Army

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 4 of 7 R-1 Line Item #168 Volume 7 - 150

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development

PROJECT

PE 0203759A: Force XXI Battle Command, Brigade and Below (FBCB2)

122: JOINT BATTLE COMMAND - PLATFORM (JBC-P)

Product Development	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011		2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Software/Systems Engineering	MIPR	SED, Redstone Arsenal:Huntsville, AL	-	3.935		-		-		-	0.000	3.935	0.000
		Subtotal	-	3.935		-		-		-	0.000	3.935	0.000
			Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		Project Cost Totals	-	3.935		-		-		-	0.000	3.935	0.000

Remarks

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203759A: Force XXI Battle Command, Brigade and Below (FBCB2)	PROJECT 122: JOINT BATTLE COMMAND - PLATFORM (JBC-P)

	FY 2010		0 FY 2011				FY 2012			FY 2013		FY 2014			ļ	FY 2015			FY 2016									
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
System Requirements Review																			•						•			
Critical Design Review																												
Milestone C																												
Operational Test																												
Full Rate Production (FRP) Decision Review																												

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army	DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203759A: Force XXI Battle Command,	122: <i>JOINT</i>	BATTLE COMMAND - PLATFORM
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Brigade and Below (FBCB2)	(JBC-P)	

Schedule Details

	St	End			
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year	
System Requirements Review	2	2010	2	2010	
Critical Design Review	4	2010	4	2010	
Milestone C	4	2011	4	2011	
Operational Test	1	2012	2	2012	
Full Rate Production (FRP) Decision Review	3	2012	3	2012	

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0203801A: Missile/Air Defense Product Improvement Program

DATE: February 2011

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	37.731	24.280	44.360	-	44.360	46.287	99.258	94.091	74.663	Continuing	Continuing
036: PATRIOT PROD IMP PGM	10.846	11.473	44.360	-	44.360	46.287	99.258	94.091	74.663	Continuing	Continuing
DF8: <i>DF8</i>	8.111	3.133	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	11.244
DF9: <i>DF</i> 9	18.774	9.674	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	28.448

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

PATRIOT is an advanced Surface-to-Air guided missile system with a high probability of kill capable of operation in the presence of Electronic Countermeasures (ECM) and able to conduct multiple simultaneous engagements against high performance air breathing targets and ballistic missiles likely to be encountered by US Forces. The Patriot Product Improvement Program provides for the upgrade of the Patriot System through individual material changes. The Patriot Product Improvement Program upgrades the Patriot system to address operational lessons learned, enhancements to joint force interoperability, and other system performance improvements to provide overmatch capability with the emerging threat. Efforts will be made to expedite PATRIOT material solutions (e.g. Radar Digital Processor, Communications Upgrades, Radars on the Net) to facilitate integration into the IAMD architecture.

DF8 Funding was realigned to PE 0203808, DS1.

DF9 Funding was realigned to PE 0203808, DS2

Army

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	39.068	24.280	56.457	-	56.457
Current President's Budget	37.731	24.280	44.360	-	44.360
Total Adjustments	-1.337	-	-12.097	-	-12.097
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
 Reprogrammings 	-1.337	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
Other Adjustments 1	-	-	-12.097	-	-12.097

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 1 of 9 R-1 Line Item #169 Volume 7 - 154

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB	3 2012 Army						DATE: February 2011							
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV				R-1 ITEM N				PROJECT	l						
2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop		PE 020380° Improvemen		ir Defense F	Product	036: <i>PATRI</i>									
COST (\$ in Millions)	(\$ in Millions)		FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost				
036: PATRIOT PROD IMP PGM	10.846	11.473	44.360	-	44.360	46.287	99.258	94.091	74.663	Continuing	Continuing				
Quantity of RDT&E Articles															

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Army

PATRIOT is an advanced Surface-to-Air guided missile system with a high probability of kill capable of operation in the presence of Electronic Countermeasures (ECM) and able to conduct multiple simultaneous engagements against high performance air breathing targets and ballistic missiles likely to be encountered by US Forces. The Patriot Product Improvement Program provides for the upgrade of the Patriot System through individual material changes. These improvements focus on the evolving threat and will provide a more robust capability and the foundation upon which furture improvements can more readily be incorporated with minimal hardware changes. Efforts will be made to expedite PATRIOT material solutions (e.g. Radar Digital Processor, Communications Upgrades, Radars on the Net) to facilitate integration into the IAMD architecture.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Patriot Product Improvement	10.846	11.473	44.360
Articles:	0	0	
Description: Software Improvement for Threat Evolution			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continuation of efforts to extend the footprint and capability of the system against the evolving threat through several programs.			
FY 2011 Plans: Efforts are under way to ensure fielding of the Radar Digital Processor in FY12 providing the field additional capability and growth potential to counter stressing targets.			
FY 2012 Plans: The Radar Digital Processor will begin US development efforts to support US FY15 Fielding, providing the field with additional capability and growth potential to counter stressing threats.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	10.846	11.473	44.360

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 2 of 9 R-1 Line Item #169
Volume 7 - 155

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203801A: Missile/Air Defense Product	036: <i>PATRI</i>	OT PROD IMP PGM
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Improvement Program		

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A
D. Acquisition Strategy
The design objective of the Patriot system was to provide a baseline system capable of modification to cope with continuing threat evolution. This program minimizes technological risks and provides a means of enhancing system capability through planned upgrades of deployed systems. The Patriot Product Improvement program upgrades the Patriot system to address operational lessons learned, enhancements to joint force interoperability and communications, and other system performance improvements to provide overmatch capability against the emerging threat. Upgrades are implemented through individual hardware and software material changes and fielded incrementally. This program encompasses several changes which will require the use of a variety of acquisition methods to develop, test, procure and field.
E. Performance Metrics
Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED Volume 7 - 156 R-1 Line Item #169

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0203801A: Missile/Air Defense Product

Improvement Program

PROJECT

DATE: February 2011

036: PATRIOT PROD IMP PGM

Management Services	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Government Program Management	Various	RSA:various	25.037	0.459		0.535		-		0.535	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	25.037	0.459		0.535		-		0.535			

Remarks

Non-Applicable (N/A); Redstone Arsenal (RSA)

Product Development (\$	vare Improvement for at Evolution Apitalization Ar Digital Processor (RDP) Various Wultiple:Multiple Multiple:Multiple Raytheon:Massachus	ns)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2	2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Method	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Software Improvement for Threat Evolution	Various	Multiple:Multiple	50.781	9.282		6.557		-		6.557	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Recapitalization	Various	Multiple:Multiple	97.601	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Radar Digital Processor (RDP)	Various	Raytheon:Massachusetts	-	-		35.400		-		35.400	65.000	100.400	0.000
		Subtotal	148.382	9.282		41.957		-		41.957			

Remarks

Sole Source-Cost Plus Incentive Fee (SS-CPIF); Sole Source-Fixed Price (SS-FP)

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	011	FY 2 Ba	-		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
U.S. Contracts	Various	IRTC:various	0.340	0.344		0.377		-		0.377	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	0.340	0.344		0.377		-		0.377			

Remarks

Remarks: Competitive-Firm Fixed Price (C-FFP)

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 4 of 9

R-1 Line Item #169 Volume 7 - 157

Army

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0203801A: Missile/Air Defense Product

Improvement Program

PROJECT

DATE: February 2011

036: PATRIOT PROD IMP PGM

Test and Evaluation (\$	in Millions	3)		FY 2	011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
AMCOM	Various	RSA:various	19.626	0.459		0.445		-		0.445	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
White Sands Missile Range (WSMR)	Various	WSMR:White Sands Missile Range, NM	14.967	0.229		0.300		-		0.300	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
RDEC and Other Govt Agencies	Various	RSA:Various	102.491	0.700		0.746		-		0.746	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	137.084	1.388		1.491		-		1.491			

Remarks

Aviation and Missile Command (AMCOM), Research and Development and Engineering Center (RDEC)

	Total Prior										Target
	Years			FY 2	2012	FY:	2012	FY 2012	Cost To		Value of
	Cost	FY 2	2011	Ва	se	0	CO	Total	Complete	Total Cost	Contract
Project Cost Totals	310.843	11.473		44.360		-		44.360			

Remarks

Army

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 5 of 9 R-1 Line Item #169

Volume 7 - 158

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation BA 7: Operational Systems Development	n, Arm	у				P	k -1 IT E 020 nprov	0380	01A:	Mis	ssile	e/Air			Pro	duct		1	ROJ 36: <i>F</i>		•	T PR	OD	IMP	PGI	Л		
		FY 2010 F				FY 2011				FY 2012			FY 2013			3		FY	2014	4		FY 2015				FY 2016		
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
PDB 6.5 Fielding		,			·								•								·							
PDB 7 Fielding (Modernized Adjunct Processor)																												

DATE: February 2011

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army

Radar Digital Processor Development

PDB 8 (RDP)

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203801A: Missile/Air Defense Product
Improvement Program

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203801A: Missile/Air Defense Product
Improvement Program

Schedule Details

	St	art	End		
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year	
PDB 6.5 Fielding	3	2010	3	2010	
PDB 7 Fielding (Modernized Adjunct Processor)	2	2012	2	2012	
Radar Digital Processor Development	4	2011	3	2014	
PDB 8 (RDP)	3	2015	3	2015	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army												
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY					R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT							
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development				PE 020380 ⁻ Improvement		Air Defense F	Product	DF8: <i>DF8</i>					
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
DF8: <i>DF8</i>	8.111	3.133	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	11.244		
Quantity of RDT&E Articles													

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program is reported in accordance with Title 10, United States Code, Section 119(a)(1) in the Special Access Program Annual Report to Congress.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: DF8	8.111	3.133	-
Articles:	0	0	
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: This program is reported in accordance with Title 10, United States Code, Section 119(a)(1) in the Special Access Program Annual Report to Congress.			
FY 2011 Plans: Program will be reported in accordance with Title 10, United States Code, Section 119(a)(1) in the Special Access Program Annual Report to Congress.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	8.111	3.133	-

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Army Page 8 of 9 R-1 Line Item #169 Volume 7 - 161

Exhibit R-2A, RD1&E Project Jus	stification: PE	3 2012 Army							DAIE: Feb	ruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development				R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203801A: Missile/Air Defense Product Improvement Program PRO DF9							
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
DF9: <i>DF</i> 9	18.774	9.674	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	28.448
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program is reported in accordance with Title 10, United States Code, Section 119(a)(1) in the Special Access Program Annual Report to Congress.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: DF 9	18.774	9.674	-
Articles:	0	0	
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: This program is reported in accordance with Title 10, United States Code, Section 119(a)(1) in the Special Access Program Annual Report to Congress.			
FY 2011 Plans: Information for this program is reported in accordance with Title 10, United States Code, Section 119(a)(1) in the Special Access Program Annual Report to Congress.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	18.774	9.674	-

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 9 of 9 R-1 Line Item #169

Volume 7 - 162

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0203802A: Other Missile Product Improvement Programs

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	3.979	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	3.979
78J: CLOSE COMBAT MSL MOD FAMILY OF MSLS (Javelin)	3.979	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	3.979

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Javelin multi-purpose warhead (MPWH) provides increased safety through insensitive munitions (IM) improvements and improved lethality in military operations for urban terrain (MOUT) and other irregular warfare soft targets while maintaining lethality against heavy armor. The warhead design will use an advanced shaped-charge technology improvement.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	3.979	-	-	-	-
Current President's Budget	3.979	-	-	-	-
Total Adjustments	-	-	-	-	=
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			

Army UNCLASSIFIED
Page 1 of 3 R-1 Line Item #170 Volume 7 - 163

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB	2012 Army	•						DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development			R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0203802A: Other Missile Product Improvement Programs				PROJECT 78J: CLOSE COMBAT MSL MOD FAMILY OF MSLS (Javelin)				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
78J: CLOSE COMBAT MSL MOD FAMILY OF MSLS (Javelin)	3.979	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	3.979
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Javelin multi-purpose warhead (MPWH) provides increased safety through insensitive munitions (IM) improvements and improved lethality in military operations for urban terrain (MOUT) and other irregular warfare soft targets while maintaining lethality against heavy armor. The warhead design will use an advanced shaped-charge technology improvement.

The 2010 DoD Appropriations Act included \$4 million in RDTE for the Javelin Warhead Improvement Program.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Design Verification Testing (DVT)	3.979	-	-
Articles:	0		
Description: Prototypes of MPWH designs have been fabricated in order to optimize fragmentation-penetration effects versus weight constraints to support accelerated Design Verification Testing (DVT). Funds have been put on contract to initiate MPWH performance requirements definition, identify viable warhead manufacturers, document the MPWH mid-body housing design, and to develop a MPWH program plan.			
FY 2010 Accomplishments:			
The FY10 funds procured hardware and began Design Verification Testing (DVT).			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	3.979	-	-

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

	Cost To	
Total Cos	mplete Total Cost	
1,110.03	0.000 1,110.037	
333.93	0.000 333.935	
00	0.0	00 333.935

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 2 of 3 R-1 Line Item #170

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army	DATE: February 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT		
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0203802A: Other Missile Product	78J: CLOSI	E COMBAT MSL MOD FAMILY OF	
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Improvement Programs	MSLS (Jave	elin)	

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

 FY 2012
 FY 2012
 FY 2012
 FY 2012
 Cost To

 Line Item
 FY 2010
 FY 2011
 Base
 OCO
 Total
 FY 2013
 FY 2014
 FY 2015
 FY 2016
 Complete
 Total Cost

• Javelin Increment II EMD: *PE* 0604611A, *Proj* 499 - *Javelin* (*AAWS-M*)

D. Acquisition Strategy

The Javelin warhead development effort leverages state of the art warhead design technology to develop a multi-purpose warhead. After all required qualification testing is completed, the Close Combat Weapons Systems (CCWS) Project Office will pursue cutting the MPWH into the Javelin Block I missile production line.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

PE 0203808A: TRACTOR CARD

COST (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	19.249	14.870	42.754	-	42.754	28.065	17.068	17.352	17.587	Continuing	Continuing
DS1: Tractor Barn	-	-	13.749	-	13.749	-	-	-	-	0.000	13.749
DS2: Tractor Puma	-	-	10.229	-	10.229	10.433	-	-	-	0.000	20.662
E11: <i>DE11</i>	19.249	14.870	18.776	-	18.776	17.632	17.068	17.352	17.587	Continuing	Continuing

Note

This program is reported in accordance with Title 10, United States Code, Section 119(a)(1) in the Special Access Program Annual Report to Congress.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	-	-	-	-	-
Current President's Budget	19.249	14.870	42.754	-	42.754
Total Adjustments	19.249	14.870	42.754	-	42.754
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
Other Adjustments 1	19.249	14.870	42.754	-	42.754

Army UNCLASSIFIED
Page 1 of 4 R-1 Line Item #171

Volume 7 - 166

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0203808A: TRACTOR CARD DS1: Tractor Barn

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DA 1. Operational dystems bevelop	IIICIIL										
COST (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
COST (\$ III WIIIIOIIS)	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
DS1: Tractor Barn	-	-	13.749	-	13.749	-	-	-	-	0.000	13.749
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

Note

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program is reported in accordance with Title 10, United States Code 119(a)(1) in the Special Access Program (SAP) Annual Report to Congress

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: .	-	-	13.749
Description: DS1			
FY 2012 Plans: SAP			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	-	-	13.749

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

Not Applicable - SAP Program

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Army Page 2 of 4 R-1 Line Item #171 Volume 7 - 167

Exhibit R-2A	, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0203808A: TRACTOR CARD DS2: Tractor Puma

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
DS2: Tractor Puma	-	-	10.229	-	10.229	10.433	-	-	-	0.000	20.662
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program is reported in accordance with Title 10, United States Code, Section 119(a)(1) in the Special Access Program (SAP) Annual Report to Congress

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: .	-	-	10.229
Description: DS2			
FY 2012 Plans: SAP			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	-	-	10.229

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

Not applicable - SAP Program

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Army Page 3 of 4 R-1 Line Item #171 Volume 7 - 168

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0203808A: TRACTOR CARD

E11: DE11

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
COST (\$ III WIIIIOIIS)	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
E11: <i>DE11</i>	19.249	14.870	18.776	-	18.776	17.632	17.068	17.352	17.587	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

Note

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program is reported in accordance with Title 10, United States Code, Section 119(a)(1) in the Special Access Program (SAP) Annual Report to Congress.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Not applicable	19.249	14.870	18.776
Articles:	0	0	
Description: E11			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: SAP			
FY 2011 Plans: SAP			
FY 2012 Plans: SAP			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	19.249	14.870	18.776

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

Not Applicable - SAP

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Army Page 4 of 4 R-1 Line Item #171 Volume 7 - 169

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0208053A: Joint Tactical Ground System

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	13.189	12.403	27.630	-	27.630	31.397	14.109	7.912	8.039	Continuing	Continuing
635: JOINT TACT GRD STATION- P3I (MIP)	13.189	12.403	27.630	-	27.630	31.397	14.109	7.912	8.039	Continuing	Continuing

Note

Change Summary Explanation: FY2012 funds were increased to initiate JTAGS P3I contract that will include deshelterization of the five units, upgrade to a LINUX 64-bit platform, and enhancement to the capability to downlink the GEO scanner/starer data when the Air Force satellite becomes operational.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This system is an integral part of the overall Air and Missile Defense (AMD) architecture and will provide for an incrementally fielded Integrated Air and Missile Defense Fire Control System/capability for the composite Army Air and Missile Defense Brigades. This program element supports development of critical improvements and insertion of technological upgrades to the Joint Tactical Ground Station (JTAGS) and the research and development of the JTAGS Pre-Planned Product Improvement (P3I). JTAGS is presently a transportable information processing system that receives and processes in-theater, direct down-linked data from Defense Support Program (DSP) satellites. JTAGS disseminates warning, alerting, and cueing information on Ballistic Missiles and other tactical events of interest throughout the theater using existing communication networks. This program is designated as a DoD Space program. JTAGS provides critical support by providing Combatant Commanders near real-time warning of theater ballistic missiles and other battlespace characterization information in their Areas of Responsibility (AOR). The four OCONUS deployed JTAGS units constitute the Army's only in-theater system providing this space-based warning, and JTAGS is designated as the in-theater element of the United States Strategic Command's Theater Event System. JTAGS supports all Theater Missile Defense pillars and by being located in-theater, provides the shortest sensor to shooter connectivity. The objectives of the improvements are to upgrade JTAGS to a new configuration for operation with the next generation of Space Based Infrared System (SBIRS), and to improve warning accuracy and timeliness. These improvements will be accomplished in a two-Block P3I Program Improvement development effort. Block 1 activities include Information Assurance (IA) upgrades; Highly Elliptical Orbit (HEO) Automation Track Transfer (ATT) Integration bridging Initial Geosynchronous Capability (IGC); new commercial antennas; and SIPRNET capability. Utilizing FY12 and

Army Page 1 of 10 R-1 Line Item #172 Volume 7 - 170

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0208053A: Joint Tactical Ground System	

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	13.258	12.403	12.630	-	12.630
Current President's Budget	13.189	12.403	27.630	-	27.630
Total Adjustments	-0.069	-	15.000	-	15.000
Congressional General Reductions		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
Congressional Adds		-			
Congressional Directed Transfers		-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
 Adjustments to Budget Years 	-	-	15.000	-	15.000
Other Adjustments 1	-0.069	-	-	-	-

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	tification: PE	3 2012 Army							DATE: February 2011		
PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 40: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army 7: Operational Systems Development					IOMENCLA 3A: Joint Tac		l System	PROJECT 635: JOINT TACT GRD STATION-P3I (MIP)			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
635: JOINT TACT GRD STATION- P3I (MIP)	13.189	12.403	27.630	-	27.630	31.397	14.109	7.912	8.039	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Army

This system is an integral part of the overall Air and Missile Defense (AMD) architecture and will provide for an incrementally fielded Integrated Air and Missile Defense Fire Control System/capability for the composite Army Air and Missile Defense Brigades. This program element supports development of critical improvements and insertion of technological upgrades to the Joint Tactical Ground Station (JTAGS) and the research and development of the JTAGS Pre-Planned Product Improvement (P3I). JTAGS is presently a transportable information processing system that receives and processes in-theater, direct down-linked data from Defense Support Program (DSP) satellites. JTAGS disseminates warning, alerting, and cueing information on Ballistic Missiles and other tactical events of interest throughout the theater using existing communication networks. This program is designated as a DoD Space program. JTAGS provides critical support by providing Combatant Commanders near real-time warning of theater ballistic missiles and other battlespace characterization information in their Areas of Responsibility (AOR). The four OCONUS deployed JTAGS units constitute the Army's only in-theater system providing this space-based warning, and JTAGS is designated as the in-theater element of the United States Strategic Command's Theater Event System. JTAGS supports all Theater Missile Defense pillars and by being located in-theater, provides the shortest sensor to shooter connectivity. The objectives of the improvements are to upgrade JTAGS to a new configuration for operation with the next generation of Space Based Infrared System (SBIRS), and to improve warning accuracy and timeliness. These improvements will be accomplished in a two-Block P3I Program Improvement development effort. Block 1 activities include Information Assurance (IA) upgrades; Highly Elliptical Orbit (HEO) Automation Track Transfer (ATT) Integration bridging Initial Geosynchronous Capability (IGC); new commercial antennas; and SIPRNET capability. Utilizing FY12 and

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Execute Block 1 Upgrades	6.815	7.110	0.200
Articles	: 0	0	
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Execute Block 1 Upgrade			
FY 2011 Plans: Complete Block 1 development			
FY 2012 Plans:			

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 3 of 10 R-1 Line Item #172

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0208053A: Joint Tactical Ground System	PROJEC 635: <i>JOII</i>	NT TACT GRI	D STATION-F	P3I (MIP)
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Software, Information Assurance (IA) Upgrade Testing					
<i>Title:</i> Software Upgrades, IA Maintenance, Software Deficiency R	eport (DR) Resolution and Exercise Participation	Articles:	1.000 0	1.734 0	0.750
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Scheduled IA Maintenance, Software Deficiency Report (DR) Res	olution, and Exercise Participation				
FY 2011 Plans: Scheduled IA Maintenance, Software Deficiency Report (DR) Research	olution, and Exercise Participation				
FY 2012 Plans: Scheduled IA Maintenance, Software Deficiency Report (DR) Research	olution, and Exercise Participation				
Title: JTAGS Test and Evaluation Support		Articles:	0.734 0	0.233	1.700
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Test of Commercial Antennas; Joint Interop Test Command (JITC)) Certification				
FY 2011 Plans: Test of IGC					
FY 2012 Plans: Test of Deshelterization and Hardware Upgrades					
<i>Title:</i> P3I Upgrades (Deshelterization; Hardware/Software Upgrad IPPD)	les, Direct Downlink of GEO Starer Data. Includes Go	overnment	4.640 0	3.326 0	24.980
		Articles:			
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Develop JTAGS P3I Requirements Specification					
FY 2011 Plans:					

UNCLASSIFIED

Army

Page 4 of 10 R-1 Line Item #172

				0.102,10	··· · _						
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justif	fication: PB	2012 Army							DATE: Feb	ruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVI 2040: Research, Development, Test of BA 7: Operational Systems Development	& Evaluation,	Army		R-1 ITEM NO PE 0208053/			System	PROJEC 635: JOI	NT TACT GRD	STATION-P	3I (MIP)
B. Accomplishments/Planned Prog	ırams (\$ in N	/lillions, Art	icle Quant	ities in Each))				FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
P3I RFP and Source Selection											
FY 2012 Plans: Begin P3I Upgrades											
				Accon	nplishment	s/Planned P	rograms S	Subtotals	13.189	12.403	27.630
C. Other Program Funding Summa	ry (\$ in Milli	ons)									
<u>Line Item</u> • SSN BZ8401: <i>Joint Tactical</i>	FY 2010 6.682	FY 2011 9.279	FY 2012 Base 1.199	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total 1.199	FY 2013	FY 2014 9.740			Cost To Complete Continuing	Total Cost
Ground Station (JTAGS) • PE 0604869A,: Patriot/MEADS Combined Aggregate Program	570.831	467.139	406.605		406.605					Continuing	Continuing
(CAP) • PE 0605456A,: PAC-3/MSE Missile		62.500	88.993		88.993		68.938	63.4	68 64.215	Continuing	Continuing
• SSN C53101,: MSE Missile • SSN C53201, PATRIOT/MEADS GSE: SSN C53201, PATRIOT/ MEADS GSE			74.953		74.953		532.540	487.0	49 560.099	Continuing	Continuing
 PE 0102419A, Proj E55: JLENS SSN BZ0525,: JLENS Production PE 0604802A, Proj S23: SLAMRAAM 	317.132 56.441	372.493	344.655		344.655		58.124 501.459			Continuing Continuing Continuing	
• PE 0605450A, Project S35: SLAMRAAM		23.700	19.931		19.931					Continuing	Continuing
• SSN C81002: SLAMRAAM Launcher • SSN C81004: SLAMRAAM Missile		116.732								Continuing	Continuing
PE 0603305A, Proj TR7: Protection Capability II - Intercept		4.296	21.126		21.126		89.021	92.9	99 142.738	Continuing	Continuing
		91.467	7.958		7.958					Continuing	Continuing

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 5 of 10 R-1 Line Item #172

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justif	fication: PB	2012 Army							DATE: Febr	uary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVIT 2040: Research, Development, Test of BA 7: Operational Systems Development	& Evaluation,	, Army		R-1 ITEM NO PE 0208053			System	PROJECT 635: JOINT	TACT GRD	STATION-P	3I (MIP)
C. Other Program Funding Summa	ry (\$ in Milli	ons)									
Line Item • SSN WK5053, FAAD GBS: SSN	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base		FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
 WK5053, FAAD GBS PE 0603327A, Proj S34,: AMD System of System Engineering and 	164.719									Continuing	Continuing
Integration • PE 0605457A, Project S40: Army Integrated Air and Missile Defense (AIAMD)		251.124	270.607		270.607		346.341	298.869	275.651	Continuing	Continuing
SSN BZ5075: Army IAMD Battle Command System (IBCS)							23.587	100.560	256.855	Continuing	Continuing
• PE 0604820A, Proj E10: SENTINEL			2.890		2.890		1.983	1.968	2.937	Continuing	Continuing

D. Acquisition Strategy

Under this program element, critical improvements will be developed making maximum use of Non-Developmental Items (NDI)/Commercial Off-The-Shelf (COTS) components. After design and integration, the system will be subject to a thorough developmental and limited user test (LUT) to verify performance, operational effectiveness and suitability. All Block 1 activities (formerly known as Defense Support Program (DSP)-Only Multi-Mission Mobile Processor (M3P) (DM3P)) were rebaselined and resources refocused to maintain viability of JTAGS. Block 1 activites include Information Assurance (IA) upgrades; Highly Elliptical Orbit (HEO) Automation Track Transfer (ATT) Integration upgrades; and a bridging Initial Geosynchronous Capability (IGC); new commercial antennas; and SIPRNET capability. Block 1 will be fielded in the FY2011-2012 timeframe. Utilizing FY12 and outyears funds, Block 2 upgrades will be executed. These include removal of five systems from the shelters and integration into operation centers; and Geosynchronous (GEO) scanner/starer capability and associated hardware upgrades.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED Page 6 of 10

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0208053A: Joint Tactical Ground System

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

635: JOINT TACT GRD STATION-P3I (MIP)

Management Services	(\$ in Millio	ons)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Government IPPD	Various	various:various	33.015	5.458		6.558		-		6.558	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Contractor IPPD	Various	TBD:TBD	18.595	1.478		1.678		-		1.678	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
	_	Subtotal	51.610	6.936		8.236		-		8.236			

Product Development	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011		2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Primary Hardware Development	Various	Lockheed:Arlington, VA	29.191	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Engineering Services Software	Various	Northrop Grumman:Arlington, VA	17.844	1.750		0.750		-		0.750	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Engineering Services Hardware	Various	Northrop Grumman:Arlington, VA	8.428	2.903		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Government Furnished Equipment	Various	various:various	1.260	0.125		0.200		-		0.200	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
P3I Development	Various	TBD:TBD	-	-		16.744		-		16.744	0.000	16.744	0.000
		Subtotal	56.723	4.778		17.694		-		17.694			

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Contractor Engineering Integrated Product & Process Development (IPPD) Support	Various	various:various	22.024	0.439		0.450		-		0.450	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
	*	Subtotal	22.024	0.439		0.450		-		0.450			

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 7 of 10 R-1 Line Item #172

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

K-I II EW NOWENCLATURE

PROJECT

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

PE 0208053A: Joint Tactical Ground System

635: JOINT TACT GRD STATION-P3I (MIP)

Test and Evaluation (\$ i	n Millions)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	-		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
ATEC	Various	various:various	5.006	0.250		1.250		-		1.250	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	5.006	0.250		1.250		-		1.250			

Remarks

N/A-Not Applicable

	Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	FY 2	2012 CO	FY 2012 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Project Cost Totals	135.363	12.403		27.630	-		27.630			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 8 of 10

R-1 Line Item #172

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army **DATE:** February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0208053A: Joint Tactical Ground System 635: JOINT TACT GRD STATION-P3I (MIP) BA 7: Operational Systems Development

		FY 2010				FY 2011				FY 2	2012	2		FY	201	3		FY:	2014	1		FY :	2015	5		FY	201	6
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	1 2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
P3I IGC TEST																•				•				•		·		
P3I BLOCK I IGC FIELDING																												
P3I H/W & S/W BLK 2 PHASE 1 DESHELTERIZATION AND GEO SCANNER																												

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0208053A: Joint Tactical Ground System	635: <i>JOINT</i>	TACT GRD STATION-P3I (MIP)
BA 7: Operational Systems Development			

Schedule Details

	St	art	Eı	nd
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
P3I IGC TEST	3	2010	1	2011
P3I BLOCK I IGC FIELDING	1	2011	1	2012
P3I H/W & S/W BLK 2 PHASE 1 DESHELTERIZATION AND GEO SCANNER	4	2011	1	2014

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0208058A: Joint High Speed Vessel (JHSV)

DATE: February 2011

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	2.961	3.153	3.044	-	3.044	3.229	3.307	3.365	3.418	Continuing	Continuing
JH1: JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY	2.961	3.153	3.044	-	3.044	3.229	3.307	3.365	3.418	Continuing	Continuing

Note

Funding in FY12 realigned to support Army higher priority requirements.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Joint High Speed Vessel (JHSV) program is a merger of the Army's Theater Support Vessel (TSV) program and the Marine Corps/Navy High Speed intra-theater surface Connector (HSC) program into a joint (multi-service) High Speed Vessel program.

The JHSV program takes advantage of inherent commonality hull forms to create a more flexible asset for the Department of Defense and leverage the Navy's core competency in ship acquisition. The JHSV program will provide high speed intra-theater surface connector capability to rapidly deploy troops and equipment together and then immediately transition to execute, even in the absence of developed infrastructure, and conduct deployment and sustainment activities in support of multiple simultaneous, distributed, decentralized battles and campaigns. The primary missions include: support to Theater Security Cooperation Program (TSCP) and Global War on Terrorism (GWOT), littoral maneuver, and seabasing support. Department of Army (DA) and Department of Navy (DoN) will maintain separate and distinct funding streams to support this joint program. DA will resource to the critical Army requirement set validated for the joint Initial Capabilities Document (ICD) for High Speed Intra-theater Surface Connector (HSC)and the Capability Development Document (CDD) for JHSV. DA and DoN will focus on the development of common capabilities, each Department will source their unique developmental costs for unique service capabilities that cannot be incorporated into a combined solution set. FY10/11 funding will procure for the Army Integrated Logistics Support (ILS)/Integrated Electronic Technical Manuals.(IETMs).

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	3.066	3.153	3.135	-	3.135
Current President's Budget	2.961	3.153	3.044	-	3.044
Total Adjustments	-0.105	-	-0.091	-	-0.091
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
Other Adjustments 1	-0.105	-	-0.091	-	-0.091

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 1 of 5 R-1 Line Item #173 Volume 7 - 180

Army

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	DATE: February 2011										
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development					IOMENCLAT BA: Joint Hig		ssel (JHSV)	PROJECT JH1: JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
JH1: JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY	2.961	3.153	3.044	-	3.044	3.229	3.307	3.365	3.418	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Joint High Speed Vessel (JHSV) program is a merger of the Army's Theater Support Vessel (TSV) program and the Marine Corps/Navy High Speed intra-theater surface Connector (HSC) program into a joint (multi-service) High Speed Vessel program.

The JHSV program takes advantage of inherent commonality hull forms to create a more flexible asset for the Department of Defense and leverage the Navy's core competency in ship acquisition. The JHSV program will provide high speed intra-theater surface connector capability to rapidly deploy troops and equipment together and then immediately transition to execute, even in the absence of developed infrastructure, and conduct deployment and sustainment activities in support of multiple simultaneous, distributed, decentralized battles and campaigns. The primary missions include: support to Theater Security Cooperation Program (TSCP) and Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO), littoral maneuver, and seabasing support. Department of Army (DA) and Department of Navy (DoN) will maintain separate and distinct funding streams to support this joint program. DA will resource to the critical Army requirement set validated for the joint Initial Capabilities Document (ICD) for High Speed Intra-theater Surface Connector (HSC)and the Capability Development Document (CDD) for JHSV. DA and DoN will focus on the development of common capabilities, each Department will source their unique developmental costs for unique service capabilities that cannot be incorporated into a combined solution set. FY12 funding will allow the Army to develop and design Army-unique Command, Control, Communications, Computers and Intelligence (C4I) and Anti-Terrorist/ Force Protection Capabilities in support of the Army JHSV concept of operations.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: JHSV ACQUISITION /DOCUMENTATION DEVELOPMENT	1.800	0.170	1.900
Articles:	0	0	
Description: FY10: Provide Program Management Support			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: PROVIDES ACQUISITION /DOCUMENTATION DEVELOPMENT			
FY 2011 Plans: PROVIDES ACQUISITION /DOCUMENTATION DEVELOPMENT			
FY 2012 Plans: PROVIDES ACQUISITION /DOCUMENTATION DEVELOPMENT			
Title: JHSV ILS	0.250	2.000	-

Page 2 of 5 R-1 Line Item #173

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJEC [*]	Т		
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0208058A: Joint High Speed Vessel (JHSV)	JH1: <i>JOIN</i>	NT HIGH SPE	EED VESSEL	
BA 7: Operational Systems Development		MANUFA	CTURING TE	ECHNOLOGY	/
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quant	tities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
		Articles:	0	0	
Description: FY10: Integrated Logistics Support (ILS)/Integrated Electrons	onic Technical Manuals (IETMs)				
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Integrated Logistics Support (ILS)/Integrated Electronic Technical Manua	als (IFTMs)				
FY 2011 Plans:	sio (iz rivio)				
Integrated Logistics Support (ILS)/Integrated Electronic Technical Manua	als (IETMs)				
Title: JHSV PROGRAM SUPPORT			0.911	0.983	1.144
		Articles:	0	0	
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: PROGRAM SUPPORT					
FY 2011 Plans: PROGRAM SUPPORT					
FY 2012 Plans: PROGRAM SUPPORT					
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs S	ubtotals	2.961	3.153	3.044

D. Acquisition Strategy

Speed Vessel (JHSV),

Line Item

• JHSV: OPA 3, M11203, Joint High

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army

The JHSV program will combine the two separate programs (Theater Support Vessel (TSV) - Army and High Speed Connector (HSC) - Navy) and take advantage of inherent commonality of hull forms to create a more flexible asset for the Department of Defense. Based on the efforts accomplished and data collected to date by the two services, it appears that a hardware solution will incorporate the evolutionary development of commercial based high speed vessel technology employing integrated military unique capabilities/adaptations. The JHSV would be acquired competitively and production would be based in the United States. The Joint High

FY 2012

OCO

FY 2012

223.845

Total

FY 2013

FY 2012

223.845

Base

FY 2010

202.475

FY 2011

202.764

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 3 of 5 R-1 Line Item #173

FY 2014

25.604

FY 2015

24.773

DATE: February 2011

Cost To FY 2016 Complete Total Cost

22.409 Continuing Continuing

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE : February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	PE 0208058A: Joint High Speed Vessel (JHSV)	JH1: JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY
Speed Vessel (JHSV) program's updated Acquisition Strategy is currer	oth, under development. The IHCV program Miles	
in April 2006. Milestone B occurred November 2008.	ntly under development. The JHSV program while:	storie A Defense Acquisition board (DAb) was
E. Performance Metrics		
Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification materia	al may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performanc	e Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 4 of 5 R-1 Line Item #173
Volume 7 - 183

Army

UNCLASSIFIED Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army DATE: February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY **R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT** 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0208058A: Joint High Speed Vessel (JHSV) JH1: JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY BA 7: Operational Systems Development FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Management Services (\$ in Millions) oco **FY 2011** Base Total **Total Prior** Contract Target Method Performing Years Award Award Award Cost To Value of **Cost Category Item** & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Complete **Total Cost** Contract PM Force **Program Management** Projection, TACOM,: Warren, 5.200 0.983 Continuing Continuing Various 1.144 1.144 Continuing Support MΙ PM Force Projection, SBIR/STTR Various 0.086 Continuing Continuing Continuing TACOM.:Warren, MI Subtotal 5.286 0.983 1.144 1.144 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 **Product Development (\$ in Millions)** FY 2011 Base oco Total **Total Prior** Contract Target Method Performing Years Award Award Cost To Value of Award Cost Category Item & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Complete **Total Cost** Contract PEO Ships:Washington Technical/Design Continuing Various 17.693 Continuing Continuing Development DC Acquisition/Documentation PEO Ships:Washington Continuing Continuing Various 7 077 0.170 1.900 1.900 Continuing Development DC 0.170 1.900 Subtotal 24.770 1.900 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Support (\$ in Millions) FY 2011 oco Total Base Contract **Total Prior** Target Method Performing Years Award Award Award **Cost To** Value of **Cost Category Item** & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Complete **Total Cost** Contract Integrated Logistics Support (ILS)/Integrated Electronic Continuing NSWCCD:Norfolk, VA 2.000 2.000 Continuina Various Continuina Technical Manuals (IETMs) Subtotal 2.000 2.000 **Total Prior** Target FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Value of Years **Cost To** Cost FY 2011 Base oco Total Complete **Total Cost** Contract 32.056 3.153 3.044 3 044 **Project Cost Totals** Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 5 of 5 R-1 Line Item #173

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0303028A: Security and Intelligence Activities

DATE: February 2011

Volume 7 - 185

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	17.348	-	2.854	-	2.854	2.739	2.540	2.219	1.792	Continuing	Continuing
H13: INFORMATION DOMINANCE CENTER (IDC) - TIARA	17.348	-	2.854	-	2.854	2.739	2.540	2.219	1.792	Continuing	Continuing

Note

Army

Not applicable for this item.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

INSCOM's RDTE program provides the Army with low-density, high-demand, extremely advanced offensive cyberspace technologies designed to degrade, deny, disrupt, or destroy adversary C4I and shape the operational warfighting environment in order to create conditions favorable to the application of other elements of national power.

Justification: INSCOM conducts RDTE of offensive Cyberspace technologies in direct support of the full range of missions called for in the National Defense Strategy, Comprehensive National Cyber-Security Initiative, National

Security Strategy, National Defense Guidance, NSPD-38, NSPD-54 and HSPD-23.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	9.777	-	-	<u>-</u>	-
Current President's Budget	17.348	-	2.854	-	2.854
Total Adjustments	7.571	-	2.854	-	2.854
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
 Adjustments to Budget Years 	-2.392	-	-	-	-
Other Adjustments 1	9.963	-	2.854	-	2.854

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 1 of 4 R-1 Line Item #175

	Exhibit R-2A, RD1&E Project Justi	Tication: PB	3 2012 Army							DAIE: Febr	uary 2011		
	APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	ITY			R-1 ITEM N	OMENCLAT	TURE	PROJECT	ROJECT				
	2040: Research, Development, Test	PE 0303028	BA: Security	and Intellige	nce	H13: INFORMATION DOMINANCE CENTER							
BA 7: Operational Systems Development									(IDC) - TIARA				
	COST (¢ in Millions)	FY 2012									Cost To		
	COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost	
	H13: INFORMATION DOMINANCE	17.348	-	2.854	-	2.854	2.739	2.540	2.219	1.792	Continuing	Continuing	

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

CENTER (IDC) - TIARA

Quantity of RDT&E Articles

INSCOM's RDTE program provides the Army with low-density, high-demand, extremely advanced offensive cyberspace technologies designed to degrade, deny, disrupt, or destroy adversary C4I and shape the operational warfighting environment in order to create conditions favorable to the application of other elements of national power.

Justification: INSCOM conducts RDTE of offensive Cyberspace technologies in direct support of the full range of missions called for in the National Defense Strategy, Comprehensive National Cyber-Security Initiative, National

Security Strategy, National Defense Guidance, NSPD-38, NSPD-54 and HSPD-23.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Cyberspace technologies	17.348	-	2.854
Articles:	0		
Description: INSCOM's RDTE program provides the Army with low-density, high-demand, extremely advanced offensive cyberspace technologies designed to degrade, deny, disrupt, or destroy adversary C4I and shape the operational warfighting environment in order to create conditions favorable to the application of other elements of national power.			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Utilized to support cyberspace technologies designed to degrade, deny, disrupt, or destroy adversary C4I and shape the operational warfighting environment in order to create conditions favorable to the application of other elements of national power.			
FY 2012 Plans: Utilized to support cyberspace technologies designed to degrade, deny, disrupt, or destroy adversary C4I and shape the operational warfighting environment in order to create conditions favorable to the application of other elements of national power. Supports the conduct of offensive Cyberspace technologies in direct support of the full range of missions called for in the National Defense Strategy, Comprehensive National Cyber-Security Initiative, National Security Strategy, National Defense Guidance, NSPD-38, NSPD-54 and HSPD-23.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	17.348	-	2.854

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 2 of 4 R-1 Line Item #175

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0303028A: Security and Intelligence	H13: INFO	RMATION DOMINANCE CENTER
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Activities	(IDC) - TIAI	RA

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED

Army Page 3 of 4 R-1 Line Item #175 Volume 7 - 187

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0303028A: Security and Intelligence

Activities

PROJECT

H13: INFORMATION DOMINANCE CENTER

DATE: February 2011

(IDC) - TIARA

Product Development (\$ in Millions)				FY 2011		FY 2012 Base		FY 2012 OCO		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Mobile Objects/PHAEDRUS	Various	TBD:TBD	-	-		2.854		-		2.854	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	-	-		2.854		-		2.854			

Remarks

FY08 and FY09: Congressional Adds: Mobile Objects/PHAEDRUS to develop an analytical tool that leverages the value of merging structured and unstructured data into a consolidated result set providing the analyst with: 1.) a faster query and retrieval process, 2) a more comprehensive view of both types of data, and 3) enhanced situational awareness. This effort supports the development of a system that will help increase the user's recall (ability to extract relevant information) from disparaging sources and then process it to improve their understanding of the collected data.

FY10-11: Global Horizontal Integration (GHI) is a multi-phased Department of Defense Joint experiment, led by the Army, to build a capability for real-time fusion of multi-discipline and coalition intelligence available from tactical, operational, combined, and national levels. GHI and the Information Dominance Center are complementary programs, with GHI applying IDC capabilities to Joint and Coalition environments.

	Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Cost To Total Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Project Cost Totals	-	-	2.854	-	2.854		

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 4 of 4 R-1 Line Item #175

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

Volume 7 - 189

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0303140A: Information Systems Security Program

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·													
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
Total Program Element	61.313	54.784	61.220	-	61.220	55.924	52.301	50.520	47.881	Continuing	Continuing		
491: INFORMATION ASSURANCE DEVELOPMENT	14.698	11.905	15.709	-	15.709	9.454	9.616	7.133	5.265	Continuing	Continuing		
501: ARMY KEY MGT SYSTEM	1.810	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	1.810		
50B: BIOMETRICS	23.771	8.267	8.060	-	8.060	8.199	7.330	7.046	6.922	Continuing	Continuing		
5PM: DOD BIOMETRICS PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	21.034	34.612	37.451	-	37.451	38.271	35.355	36.341	35.694	Continuing	Continuing		

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Communications Security Equipment Program develops Information Systems Security (ISS) equipment and techniques required to combat threat Signal Intelligence capabilities and to insure the integrity of data networks. The Army's Research Development Test and Evaluation (RDTE) ISS program objective is to implement National Security Agency (NSA) developed security technology in Army information systems. Communications Security Equipment(COMSEC)technology ensures total signal and data security for all Army information systems to include any operational enhancement and specialized Army configurations. The Army Key Management System (AKMS) automates key generation and distribution while supporting joint interoperability. It provides communications and network planning with key management. AKMS is a part of the management/support infrastructure for the Warfighter Information Network - Tactical (WIN-T) program. Additional modifications to the AKMS baseline are required to support the emerging WIN-T architecture. System security engineering, integration of available Information Security (INFOSEC) products, development, and testing are provided to ensure that Command, Control, Communications and Computer Intelligence (C4I) systems are protected against malicious or accidental attacks. Several joint service/NSA working groups exist in the area of key management in order to avoid duplication and assure interoperability between all systems, including the establishment of standards and testing. The Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) Multi-Level Security (MLS) working group coordinates all the different ongoing technology efforts. This program will also develop, integrate, and demonstrate Command and Control (C2) Protect Common Tools into C4I systems that manage, protect, detect and react to C2 system vulnerabilities, threats, reconfigurations, and reconstitutions. Modeling, simulation, and risk management tools will be used to develop C2 Protect capabilities, enabling the warfighter to distribute complete and unalt

Biometrics (measurable physical and behavioral characteristics that enable the establishment and verification of an individual's identity), is a component within the Information System Security Program (ISSP). The Biometrics Identity Management Agency (BIMA), formerly the Biometrics Task Force (BTF), (Project 50B) leads DoD activities to program, integrate, and synchronize biometric technologies and capabilities and to operate and maintain DoD's authoritative biometric database to support the National Security Strategy. DoDD 8521.01E established the DoD Biometrics Program and designated the Secretary of the Army as the Executive Agent for DoD Biometrics; the Director of BIMA is the Executive Manager for executing these functions across the military Services and DoD agencies.

PM DoD Biometrics (Project 5PM) is responsible for the development and procurement of the Biometrics Enabling Capability (BEC), an Acquisition Category (ACAT) I - Special Interest Program, which is the DoD Program of Record for an enterprise biometric system authoritative database/repository and enterprise biometric services.

Army Page 1 of 23 R-1 Line Item #176

Exhibit R-2, **RDT&E Budget Item Justification**: PB 2012 Army **DATE**: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0303140A: Information Systems Security Program

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

Capabilities shall include multi-modal storage and matching, state-of-the-art Service Oriented Architecture (SOA), management portal, Biometrically Enabled Watch-List (BEWL), increased system capacity and processing ability and system interoperability and data sharing with government agencies and stakeholders including Department of Justice (DOJ), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Department of Homeland Security (DHS), National Ground Intelligence Center (NGIC), Department of State (DOS), United States Central Command (CENTCOM), United States Special Operations Command (SOCOM) and other DOD and Federal agencies as required. The current prototype capability, Next Generation Automated Biometric Identification System (NG-ABIS) was developed as a Quick Reaction Capability (QRC) based on a CENTCOM Joint Urgent Operational Needs Statement (JUONS). NG-ABIS provides a robust capability for distinguishing friend from foe in hot spots around the globe. NG-ABIS enables near-instantaneous device-to-database communication and lays the foundation for enhanced device-to-device communication, reducing cycle and response times. NG-ABIS receive submissions from existing QRC-based collection devices. NG-ABIS also receives requests by authorized users to perform storage retrieval, searches of biometric data collection and matching results. NG-ABIS provides a reliable and effective tool for overseas operations by allowing the Warfighter to make near real-time retention, capture or release decision. NG-ABIS will transition into BEC Increment 0 upon receiving a Full Deployment Decision (FDD) during 3QFY11.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	61.077	119.806	56.583	-	56.583
Current President's Budget	61.313	54.784	61.220	-	61.220
Total Adjustments	0.236	-65.022	4.637	-	4.637
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		_			
 Reprogrammings 	-	_			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
Other Adjustments 1	0.236	-65.022	4.637	-	4.637

Army Page 2 of 23 R-1 Line Item #176 Volume 7 - 190

DATE: February 2011

EV 2012 EV 2012 EV 2012

Volume 7 - 191

		2012 / Willy							Ditter i oblidary 2011				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop			IOMENCLAT 0A: Informati	_		PROJECT 491: INFORMATION ASSURANCE DEVELOPMENT							
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost				
491: INFORMATION ASSURANCE DEVELOPMENT	14.698	11.905	15.709	-	15.709	9.454	9.616	7.133	5.265	Continuing	Continuing		
Quantity of RDT&E Articles													

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army

This project implements National Security Agency (NSA) developed security technologies in Army information systems. Project objectives are to provide systems security mechanisms through encryption, trusted software or standard operating procedures, and to integrate these mechanisms into specified systems, securing operations in as transparent a manner as possible. This entails architecture studies, modeling, system integration and testing, installation kits, and certification and accreditation of Automation Information Systems. Project will also assess, develop, integrate and demonstrate information assurance (IA) common tools (hardware and software) providing protection for fixed infrastructure post, camp and station networks as well as efforts on tactical networks. The cited work is consistent with Strategic Planning Guidance and the Army Modernization Plan.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total
Title: Tactical C2 Protect Tools	6.040	5.980	5.000	-	5.000
Articles:	0	0			
Description: Description: This program will right or adjust COMSEC policies to assure COMSEC policy remains in coordination with the latest COMSEC technologies.					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Developed Information Assurance research and development near term solutions for the Warfighter such as the Armadillo Linux Hardening tool. This tool has applicability to Army Certification Agencies (ACA) as well as other other Army Programs of Record (POR).					
FY 2011 Plans: Continue development and plan for transition of solutions to the Army Warfighter, ACAs and other PORs. Tools being developed are Armadillo and the Purge Farm and will see early use by the cognizant Army users. Continue to enhance these baselines and work closely with users for other changes or enhancements.					
FY 2012 Base Plans: This program will right or adjust COMSEC policies to assure COMSEC policy remains in coordination with the latest COMSEC technologies.					
Title: Crypto Mod and Key Management Program	8.658	5.925	4.268	-	4.268

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 3 of 23 R-1 Line Item #176

	UNULAUGII ILD							
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			D	ATE: Febru	ary 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0303140A: Information Systems Sec Program	PROJECT Security 491: INFORMATION ASSURANCE DEVELOPMENT						
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quan	tities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total		
	Articles:		0 0					
Description: Description: This program develops and integrates new a support the Army Crypto Mod Transformational Initiative. There are cur alone Army crypto devices undergoing an extensive transformation to not Army crypto inventory is a complex, technology driven information assur constantly evolving, and this program is accountable for legacy device in analysis allows for the greatest chance of success. FY 2010 Accomplishments:	rently 1.6 million embedded and stand et-centricity. This modernization of the rance effort. Device specifications are nteroperability. A thorough technical							
Evaluated the performance of Crypto Mod compliant 10 G and Synchrol devices, including KG245X, KG75A, and KG340. Evaluated the perform Last Mile devices including the Really Simple Key Loader (RSKL) and T performance of software releases and changes to Electronic Key Management System (AKMS).	nance of alternate and special purpose actical Key Loader (TKL). Evaluated the							
FY 2011 Plans: Evaluate the performance Crypto Mod compliant devices, including new Internet Protocol Encryptor (HAIPE) 4.0 devices. As part of Compreher (CNCI) integration, begin migration to NSA approved Commercial Off TI secure laptop and data-at-rest solutions. Evaluate the performance of in Management Infrastructure (KMI) CI-2. Begin to evaluate the performance System (EKMS) / Army Key Management System (AKMS) to KMI transit	nsive National Cybersecurity Initiative the Shelf (COTS) solutions, including initial software releases to Key ince of initial Electronic Key Management							
FY 2012 Base Plans: Will evaluate the performance of Crypto Mod compliant devices includin on commercial standards. This is the initial step in the migration to NSA (COTS) devices for Secret and below information in place of Governmn evaluate Secure Smartphone based on a COTS platform for Mobile sec Infrastructure (KMI) CI-2, Spiral 2 initial release and migrate initial crypto Protocol Encryptor HAIPE) to KMI based key delivery. Will evaluate delidevices.	approved Commercial Off the Shelf et Off The Shelf (GOTS) devices. Will ure use. Will evaluate Key Management o devices (High Assurance Internet							
Title: Network Operations		-	-	6.441	-	6.441		
I .								

UNCLASSIFIED Page 4 of 23 R-1 Line Item #176

Army

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army							
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT					
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0303140A: Information Systems Security	491: <i>INFOF</i>	RMATION ASSURANCE				
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Program	DEVELOPI	MENT				

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Description: Resourcing will support the network operations from end-to-end throughout the force and the COE thus mitigating IA networked vulnerabilities to national information security systems.					
FY 2012 Base Plans: Resourcing will support the network operations from end-to-end throughout the force and the COE thus mitigating IA networked vulnerabilities to national information security systems.					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	14.698	11.905	15.709	-	15.709

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

The objective of this project is to develop, integrate and validate hardware and software solutions that will secure current and objective architecture and electronic business/commerce transactions. Project focuses on completing development and evaluation of Battle Command and control IA common tools and the procurement and institutionalization of information assurance related hardware and software, as well as techniques and procedures. The objective of the DOD Crypto Modernization Program is to provide adaptive, flexible, and programmable cryptographic systems using best practices, lessons learned and programmatic management to meet the challenge of modernizing the Army's aging cryptographic systems. The network operations effort will support the network operations from end-to-end throughout the force and the COE thus mitigating IA networked vulnerabilities to national information security systems.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Army UNCLASSIFIED
Page 5 of 23 R-1 Line Item #176
Volume 7 - 193

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0303140A: Information Systems Security

Program

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

491: INFORMATION ASSURANCE

DEVELOPMENT

Product Development (\$ in		in Millions)			FY 2011		012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
System Engineering	C/FP	CECOM RDEC:CECOM RDEC Ft Monmouth, NJ	59.876	5.652		2.572		-		2.572	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
Hardware/Software Engineering	C/FP	CECOM RDEC:Ft Monmouth, NJ	5.224	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
C2 Protect Common Tools	C/FP	Multiple:Multiple	9.899	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
Engineering Support	C/FP	CECOM RDEC:Ft Monmouth, NJ	7.847	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
Engineering Support	C/FFP	Lockheed Martin/SRI Int.:Eatontown, NJ	1.918	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
Information Assurance System Engineering Support	C/FFP	MITRE:McLean, VA	2.878	0.150		0.150		-		0.150	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
Malicious Mobile Code Analysis	C/FFP	ILEX:Tinton Falls, NJ	0.577	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
Information Assurance System Engineering Support	C/FFP	DSCI Consulting:Eatontown, NJ	3.587	2.053		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
Engineering Support	C/FFP	VIATECH:Eatontown, NJ	3.826	-		1.939		-		1.939	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
Tactical Intrusion Detection System	C/FFP	MIT:Cambridge, MA	0.135	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
M&S for Information Assurance Trainer	C/FFP	Atlantic Consulting Services:Shrewsbury, NJ	1.020	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
Defense Healthcare Information Assurance Program	C/FP	CIO/G6 BMO:Crystal City, VA	12.027	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
DoD Biometrics Program	C/FP	CIO/G6 BMO,:Crystal City, VA	18.280	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
Crypto Mod	C/FP	CECOM RDEC:Ft Monmouth, NJ	0.274	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
Engineering Support	C/FP	CACI:Eatontown, NJ	2.050	0.550		0.500		_		0.500	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 6 of 23 R-1 Line Item #176

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0303140A: Information Systems Security

Program

PROJECT

DATE: February 2011

491: INFORMATION ASSURANCE

DEVELOPMENT

Product Development (\$ in Millions)						2012 se	FY 2012 OCO		FY 2012 Total				
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Engineering Support	C/FP	Booz Allen Hamilton:Eatontown, NJ	2.093	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Engineering Support.	C/FP	VIATECH:Eatontown, NJ	-	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Engineering Support	C/FP	CSC:Eatontown, NJ	7.844	3.500		2.107		_		2.107	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Network Operations	Various	TBD:TBD	-	-		6.441		-		6.441	0.000	6.441	0.000
		Subtotal	139.355	11.905		13.709		-		13.709			

Test and Evaluation (\$ i		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total					
Contract Method Performing Years Cost Category Item & Type Activity & Location Cost		Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract		
Test Support	C/CPFF	TBD:TBD	-	-		2.000		-		2.000	0.000	2.000	0.000
	Subtotal -			-		2.000		-		2.000	0.000	2.000	0.000

Remarks

Not Applicable

_									
1	Total Prior								Target
	Years		FY 2012	FY 2	2012	FY 2012	Cost To	i	Value of
	Cost	FY 2011	Base	00	o	Total	Complete	Total Cost	Contract
Project Cost Totals	139.355	11.905	15.709	-		15.709			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 7 of 23 R-1 Line Item #176

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0303140A: Information Systems Security

Program

PROJECT

491: INFORMATION ASSURANCE

DEVELOPMENT

		FY	2010)		FY	2011	1		FY	2012	2	l	FY 2	2013			FY 2	2014	ļ		FY 2	2015	5		FY 2	2016	
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
EVALUATE KMI CI - 2		,																•		•								

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 8 of 23 R-1 Line Item #176

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army	DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0303140A: Information Systems Security	491: <i>INFOF</i>	RMATION ASSURANCE
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Program	DEVELOP	MENT

Schedule Details

	St	art	End			
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year		
EVALUATE KMI CI - 2	4	2011	3	2013		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2012 Army							DATE: Feb	ruary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	ch, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0303140A: Information Systems Security 501: Al						PROJECT 501: ARMY	ROJECT 01: <i>ARMY KEY MGT SYSTEM</i>				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
501: ARMY KEY MGT SYSTEM	1.810	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	0.000	1.810	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Provides Commander with an automated capability to plan, engineer, distribute, and manage all systems that employ Electronic Key, Electronic Protection (EP), and Signal Operating Instructions (SOI).

- Army Key Management System (AKMS) AKMS consists of two Workstations, one hosting Local COMSEC Management Software (LCMS) for COMSEC Management, one hosting Automated Communication Engineering System (ACES) for Cryptonet Planning and the Simple Key Loader (SKL).
- LCMS is the Communications Security (COMSEC) accounting and generation software that provides Information Systems with Cryptographic Key capability.
- ACES provides Information Systems with Cryptonet Planning & SOI/EP Fill for Combat Net and supports Coalition Joint Spectrum Management Planning Tool (CJSMPT).
- SKLs move the ACES/LCMS data to End Crypto Units (ECUs).
- CJSMPT software enables more efficient and accurate management of critical spectrum resources supporting de-confliction of Improvised Explosive Device (IED) Jammers and Blue Force comms.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: AKMS	1.157	-	-	-	-
Articles:	0				
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments:					
Continue enhancements and support of next set of software tools for the AKMS workstation to support Army modularity requirements.					
Title: Engineering Support	0.450	-	-	-	-
Articles:	0				
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments:					
Provide engineering Support to program					
Title: Test and Evaluation	0.150	-	-	-	-
Articles.	0				

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 10 of 23 R-1 Line Item #176

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army	DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROJECT		
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0303140A: Information Systems Security	501: <i>ARMY</i>	KEY MGT SYSTEM
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Program		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: provide funding for test and evaluation efforts					
Title: SBIR/SBTT	0.053	-	-	-	-
Articles:	0				
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments:					
Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfer Programs					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	1.810	-	-	-	-

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
• BA1201: <i>TSEC - AKMS</i>	29.434	25.959	12.541		12.541		16.352	10.899	10.872	Continuing	Continuing

D. Acquisition Strategy

AKMS Milestone III was conducted/approved in FY99. LCMS completed fielding of software v5.0.3 in FY09 to all COMSEC custodians to provide Encrypted Key capability. LCMS hardware refresh began 2QFY10. The AKMS acquisition strategy to procure Simple Key Loaders was updated in an Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM) approved by the PEO C3T Milestone Decision Authority (MDA) 3QFY02. SKL Fielding began 3QFY05 and continues. SAIC began efforts in 1QFY09 to upgrade SKL software and v6.0 was released 2QFY10 to provide interoperability with emerging systems (all services). ACES software v2.0 development began in FY09 and was released 2QFY10. ACES software development continues with v2.1 supporting Vista scheduled for release 2QFY11. ACES hardware refresh occurred in FY10. CJSMPT software v2.1 was completed 1QFY09, the Approval to Operate (ATO) was received 1QFY09, and a Joint Military Utility Assessment (JMUA) was successfully conducted 2QFY09. CJSMPT software upgrade v2.1.1 is being developed and a limited deployment of CJSMPT was conducted in FY10 with management of the CJSMPT program transitioning to DISA beginning FY11. FY 10 continued enhancement and support of next generation of AKMS software tools to meet emerging Army systems' requirements.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Army Page 11 of 23 R-1 Line Item #176 Volume 7 - 199

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification: PE	3 2012 Army							DATE: Febi	ruary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development				1	IOMENCLA 0A: Informat		Security	PROJECT 50B: BIOMETRICS				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
50B: BIOMETRICS	23.771	8.267	8.060	-	8.060	8.199	7.330	7.046	6.922	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

Note

This Project (50B) was previously under PE 0303140A, Project 491. Beginning with FY11, PM DoD Biometrics funding is reported under Project 5PM. Funding for the Biometrics Identity Management Agency (BIMA) will continue under Project 50B.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Biometrics (measurable physical and behavioral characteristics that enable the establishment and verification of an individual's identity), is a component within the Information System Security Program (ISSP). There are two biometrics organizations executing funds described in this R Form: the Biometrics Identity Management Agency (BIMA), formerly the Biometrics Task Force (BTF), and Program Manager (PM) Department of Defense (DoD) Biometrics.

The BIMA (Project 50B) leads DoD activities to program, integrate, and synchronize biometric technologies and capabilities and to operate and maintain DoD's authoritative biometric database to support the National Security Strategy. DoDD 8521.01E established the DoD Biometrics Program and designated the Secretary of the Army as the Executive Agent for DoD Biometrics; the Director of BIMA is the Executive Manager for executing these functions across the military Services and DoD agencies.

PM DoD Biometrics (Project 5PM starting in FY11) is responsible for the development and procurement of the Biometrics Enabling Capability (BEC), an Acquisition Category (ACAT) I - Special Interest Program), is the DoD Program of Record for an enterprise biometric system authoritative database/repository and enterprise biometric services. Capabilities shall include multi-modal storage and matching, state-of-the-art Service Oriented Architecture (SOA), management portal, Biometrically Enabled Watch-List (BEWL), increased system capacity and processing ability and system interoperability and data sharing with government agencies and stakeholders including Department of Justice's (DOJ), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Department of Homeland Security (DHS), National Ground Intelligence Center (NGIC), Department of State (DOS), United States Central Command (CENTCOM), United States Special Operations Command (SOCOM) and other DoD and Federal agencies as required.

The current prototype capability, Next Generation Automated Biometric Identification System (NG-ABIS) was developed as a Quick Reaction Capability (QRC) based on a CENTCOM Joint Urgent Operational Needs Statement (JUONS). NG-ABIS provides a robust capability for distinguishing friend from foe in hot spots around the globe. NG-ABIS enables near-instantaneous device-to-database communication and lays the foundation for enhanced device-to-device communication, reducing cycle and response times. NG-ABIS receives submissions from existing QRC-based collection devices (e.g. Biometrics Automated Toolset [BAT] and Handheld Interagency Identity Detection Equipment [HIIDE]) and objective tactical collection devices being developed as part of the Joint Personnel Identification version 2 (JPIv2) program. NG-ABIS also receives request by authorized users to perform storage retrieval, searches of biometric data collection and matching results. NG-ABIS provides a reliable and effective tool for overseas operations by allowing the Warfighter to make near real-time retention, capture or release decision. NG-ABIS will transition into BEC Increment 0 upon Full Deployment Decision (FDD) during 3QFY11.

Army Page 12 of 23 R-1 Line Item #176 Volume 7 - 200

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army	DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0303140A: Information Systems Security Program	PROJECT 50B: BIOME	ETRICS

FY12 Base funding continues to develop and integrate biometric capabilities that include new/emerging technologies and modalities to support the warfighter and interagency operations. These resources provide for development of new matching algorithms, software enhancement, equipment design, data storage technology, matching capabilities and exploitation that when proven may be transitioned to enduring capabilities.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: Biometrics Identity Management Agency (BIMA) Articles:	6.906	8.267	8.060		8.060
Description: Biometrics Identity Management Agency (BIMA)					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: BIMA continued to develop a demonstration to identify, screen, and verify persons biometrically in support of HSPD 12; continued development of a DNA database; continued two Biometric Technology Demonstrations involving facial recognition to further enhance the capture quality facial images at a distance, and demonstrations of biometric handheld devices designed for multi-modality capture capabilities; provided software design, development, data tagging tool and integration for cryptobinding with the Navy's System for Intelligence and Identity Management Operations (SIIMON). Funded the design, development, and testing of an application that will reproject a face from one or more images for biometric analysis to allow users to visually compare two-dimensional images to 3D models of questioned and known subjects and provide a measure of similarity between the two.					
FY 2011 Plans: BIMA will continue to develop and integrate biometric capabilities that include new/emerging technologies and modalities to support the warfighter and interagency operations. These resources provide for development of new matching algorithms, software enhancement, equipment design, data storage technology, matching capabilities and exploitation that when proven may be transitioned to enduring capabilities.					
FY 2012 Base Plans: BIMA will continue to develop and integrate biometric capabilities that include new/emerging technologies and modalities to support the warfighter and interagency operations. These resources provide for development of new matching algorithms, software enhancement, equipment design, data storage technology, matching capabilities and exploitation that when proven may be transitioned to enduring capabilities.					
Title: PM DoD Biometrics Articles:	16.865 0	-	-	-	-

Army Page 13 of 23 R-1 Line Item #176 Volume 7 - 201

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0303140A: Information Systems Security 50B: BIOMETRICS

BA 7: Operational Systems Development Program

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Description: Program Manager (PM) Department of Defense (DoD) Biometrics. Funding for FY 2011 and out is reflected on Project Unit 5PM of this Program Element.					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Biometrics Enabling Capability (BEC) performed test and evaluation of the Transaction Manager integration (TMi) to be incorporated into Next Generation-Automated Biometric Identification System (NG-ABIS) which will allow near real-time retention, capture, or release decisions to be made by the Warfighter. In addition, BEC performed system maintenance to keep NG-ABIS at the high level performance objectives of reliability, maintainability, availability, scalability, capacity and technical refreshment as well as detailed system sizing analysis of the current ABIS configuration relative to the known current user submissions and projected user submissions. Funding also supported government civilian labor and operational support. OCO funding in the amount of \$2.22M supported operational change requests for minor improvements to the system that required development and testing.					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	23 771	8 267	8 060	_	8 060

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
BIMA Direct: BIMA Operations	14.001	27.560	19.622		19.622		29.252	30.417	30.934	Continuing	Continuing
and Maintenance Army											
BIMA OCO: BIMA Operations	104.811	69.548								0.000	174.359

D. Acquisition Strategy

and Maintenance Army OCO

C. Acquisition Strategy Support DoD Acquisition organizations in developmental testing, systems integration, and/or independent verification and validation of biometric systems.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

 UNCLASSIFIED

 Army
 Page 14 of 23
 R-1 Line Item #176
 Volume 7 - 202

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0303140A: Information Systems Security

Program

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

50B: BIOMETRICS

Product Development	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011		2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Enterprise Development	Various	Various:various	81.261	8.267		8.060		-		8.060	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
FY 10: Funding for DoD Biometrics Program Management	Various	Various:various	16.445	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	97.706	8.267		8.060		-		8.060			
			Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2	2011		2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		Project Cost Totals	97.706	8.267		8.060		_		8.060			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 15 of 23 R-1 Line Item #176

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2012 Army							DATE: Febr	uary 2011				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army Operational Systems Development COST (\$ in Millions) FY 2010 FY 2011 DOD BIOMETRICS 21.034 34.612 GRAM MANAGEMENT													
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost			
5PM: DOD BIOMETRICS PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	21.034	34.612	37.451	-	37.451	38.271	35.355	36.341	35.694	Continuing	Continuing			
Quantity of RDT&E Articles														

Note

In FY 2010, funds supporting the Biometrics Enabling Capability (BEC) were reflected under Project 50B within this program element. Funds for FY 2010 supporting the Joint Personnel Identification version 2 (JPIv2) are reflected in the this Project; funds for FY 2011 and out are reflected in Project BI7 of Program Element 0307665A.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Biometrics Enabling Capability (BEC), an Acquisition Category (ACAT) I - Special Interest Program, will be the Department of Defense's (DoD) authoritative biometric enterprise database repository. Capabilities shall include multi-modal storage and matching, state-of-the-art Service Oriented Architecture (SOA), management portal, Biometrically Enabled Watch-List (BEWL), increased system capacity and processing ability and system interoperability and data sharing with government agencies and stakeholders including Department of Justice's (DOJ), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Department of Homeland Security (DHS), National Ground Intelligence Center (NGIC), Department of State (DOS), United States Central Command (CENTCOM), United States Special Operations Command (SOCOM) and other DoD and Federal agencies as required.

The current prototype capability, Next Generation Automated Biometric Identification System (NG-ABIS) was developed as a Quick Reaction Capability (QRC) based on a CENTCOM Joint Urgent Operational Needs Statement (JUONS). NG-ABIS provides a robust capability for distinguishing friend from foe in hot spots around the globe. NG-ABIS enables near-instantaneous device-to-database communication and lays the foundation for enhanced device-to-device communication, reducing cycle and response times. NG-ABIS receive submissions from existing QRC-based collection devices (e.g. Biometrics Automated Toolset [BAT] and Handheld Interagency Identity Detection Equipment [HIIDE]) and objective tactical collection devices being developed as part of the Joint Personnel Identification version 2 (JPIv2) program. NG-ABIS also receives request by authorized users to perform storage retrieval, searches of biometric data collection and matching results. NG-ABIS provides a reliable and effective tool for overseas operations by allowing the Warfighter to make near real-time retention, capture or release decision. NG-ABIS will transition into BEC Increment 0 upon receiving a Full Deployment Decision (FDD) during 3QFY11.

FY12 Base Funding will support Product Development activities. These include System Integration competitive contract awards to support NG-ABIS system integration and Milestone B activities and documentation, and Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) activities and documentation. System interoperability collaboration with DHS and FBI. Leverage biometric capabilities and data sharing with government agencies and stakeholders, including DOS, DHS, FBI, NGIC, CENTCOM and SOCOM. Funds will also support system capacity and throughput based on rapidly increasing submission rates from the Warfighter. Funds will support Homeland Security Presidential Directive 24 (HSPD 24)/ National Security Presidential Directive 59 (NSPD 59).

Army Page 16 of 23 R-1 Line Item #176 Volume 7 - 204

	UNCLASSIFIED					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			D	ATE: Febru	ary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0303140A: Information Systems Security Program	urity 5F	ROJECT PM: DOD BIO ANAGEMEN		PROGRAI	М
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article (Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: Biometrics Enabling Capability (BEC)	Articles:	-	34.612 0	37.451	-	37.45
Description: Biometrics Enabling Capability (BEC) will be the Dep enterprise authoritative database and repository.						
Product Development: System Integration competitive contract awa and Milestone B activities and documentation. Plan to incorporate into NG-ABIS providing the Warfighter with near real-time matching decisions. System interoperability collaboration with DHS and DOJ data sharing with government agencies and stakeholders, including SOCOM. Support additional system capacity and throughput base the Warfighter. Support Homeland Security Presidential Directive 20 Directive 59 (NSPD 59) and maintain the compliance of the system assurance guidance, DoD policy and biometric standards. Improve Cost: Support government civilian labor and operational support integration (TMi) in preparation for the full deployment to the operatest and verification efforts supporting Service Oriented Architectur develop the system interoperability and BEWL system releases. So the interoperability of the BEWL. //Management Services: Funds of develop and prepare Army and Office of the Secretary of Defense DoD Instruction 5000.02, the Defense Acquisition System and compolicies for a Full Deployment Decision (FDD) in FY11 for BEC Incorporation of the BEC Incorpora	Transaction Manager integration (TMi) gresults for retention, capture, or release Is FBI. Leverage biometric capabilities and group DOS, DHS, FBI, NGIC, CENTCOM and don rapidly increasing submission rates from 24 (HSPD 24)/ National Security Presidential a consistent with current information the NG-ABIS data algorithms. //Support cluding travel, training, supplies, infrastructure and evaluation of the Transaction Manager tional environment. Support integration, er (SOA) based web services required to upport inter-agency test and evaluation of will provide PM contractor support to plan, (OSD) level documentation consistent with pliant with existing statutory and regulatory					
Product Development: System Integration competitive contract awa and Milestone B activities and documentation, and Engineering and activities and documentation. System interoperability collaboration biometric capabilities and data sharing with government agencies a FBI, NGIC, CENTCOM and SOCOM. Support system capacity and submission rates from the Warfighter. Support Homeland Security	d Manufacturing Development (EMD) with DHS and DOJ's FBI. Leverage and stakeholders, including DOS, DHS, d throughput based on rapidly increasing					

UNCLASSIFIED

Army Page 17 of 23 R-1 Line Item #176 **Volume 7 - 205**

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB	2012 Army						D	ATE: Febru	uary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV				R-1 ITEM NO	_	_		PROJECT			
BA 7: Operational Systems Develop		Army		PE 0303140 Program	A: Informatio	n Systems Se	, ,	SPM: DOD BI MANAGEMEI		S PROGRAI	М
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	grams (\$ in N	lillions, Art	ticle Quantit	ies in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Security Presidential Directive 59 (Nonformation assurance guidance, Decivilian labor and operational support and Evaluation: Support test and every of test plans, conducting preliminary technical reviews. //Management Seprepare Army and Office of the Security 5000.02, the Defense Acquisition Septiments of the Security Security 1000.02 (Security 1000.02) Milestone B decision in FY12 for BE	DD policy and Introduction including tra- aluation activity testing of systemices: Fundstretary of Defendances, and control including the system, and control including the system, and control including the system.	piometric sta vel, training ties under a tem function s will providense (OSD) le mpliant with	andards. //S , supplies, in in EMD contr nality, produce e PM contrace evel docume	upport Costs frastructure fact for BEC ction of test in ctor support intation cons	s: Support go and facility o to include do reports and s to plan, deve istent with D	overnment costs. //Test evelopment support of elop and oD Instruction					
Title: Joint Personel Identification ve	ersion 2 (JPIv2	2)				Articles	21.03	- 0	-	-	-
Description: JPIv2 Program develo	pment and ma	anagement				Alticles					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Test and Evaluation: Provides T&E of the Preliminary Design Review (F Milestone B decision. //Support Costo include travel, training, supplies, i PM contractor support to plan, devedocumentation consistent with DoD existing statutory and regulatory pol	PDR) in preparests: Funds will nfrastructure, lop and preparestruction 50	ation for an be used for and facility re Army and 00.02, The l	Acquisition (government costs. //Man d Office of the Defense Acq	Category (A0 t civilian labo agement Se e Secretary puisition Syst	CAT) I - (Spe or and opera ervices: Func of Defense (ecial Interest) tional support Is will provide OSD) - level					
and the second of the second o	,				nned Progra	ams Subtotal	s 21.03	34.612	37.451	-	37.451
C. Other Program Funding Summ	ary (\$ in Millio	ons)									
Line Item • TA0600: Information Systems Security Program, Other	FY 2010 138.215	FY 2011 63.340	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete 0.000	<u>Total Cost</u> 201.555
Procurment, Army • 432144: Operations and Maintenance , Army Base	0.884	1.712	1.735		1.735		1.822	1.864		0.000	9.799

UNCLASSIFIED

Army

Page 18 of 23 R-1 Line Item #176

Volume 7 - 206

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0303140A: Information Systems Security	5PM: DOD	BIOMETRICS PROGRAM
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Program	MANAGEM	ENT

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	<u>000</u>	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
• 135197: Operations and	17.585	298.162	0.000	241.900	241.900					0.000	557.647

Maintenance, Army OCO

D. Acquisition Strategy

The USD (AT&L) held a biometrics Materiel Development Decision (MDD) on 10 June 2009 during which he directed the Army was directed to conduct an Analysis of Alternatives (AoA) for the BEC program. The Army completed the AoA on 30 April 2010 and recommended an enhanced status quo acquisition approach. On 19 July 2010, the DoD Biometrics Overarching Integrated Product Team (OIPT) met to review the enterprise and decide on a recommendation for the Milestone Decision Authority (MDA) to approve the Army's AoAs alternatives and proposed development program structure. The OIPT concurred with initiating the BEC Increment 0 (currently fielded Next Generation Automated Biometric Identification System [NG-ABIS] at a Full Deployment Decision (FDD) in FY11 and initiating BEC Increment 1 program at Milestone (MS) B in FY12. An Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM) is expected in 2QFY11. A Capabilities Production Document (CPD) will be completed in FY11 to support the FDD/BEC Increment 0. A Capabilities Development Document (CDD) will be completed in FY11 to document the required system enhancements and to support a Milestone B in FY12 (BEC Increment 1).

E. Performance Metrics

Army

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 19 of 23 R-1 Line Item #176

Volume 7 - 207

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Pr	oject Cost	Analysis: PB 2012 A	Army							DAT	E: Februar	y 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUD 2040: Research, Develo BA 7: Operational Syste	opment, Tes	t & Evaluation, Army		PE		MENCLAT : Informatio		s Security		IECT DOD BIOM AGEMENT	IETRICS P	PROGRAM	1
Management Services	(\$ in Millic	ons)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2 OC		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
PM Management Services	Various	PM DoD Biometrics:Various	6.052	11.079		12.479		-		12.479	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
		Subtotal	6.052	11.079		12.479		-		12.479			
Product Development	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2 OC		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Products Development	Various	Various:Varius	-	21.017		21.665		-		21.665	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
		Subtotal	-	21.017		21.665		-		21.665			
Support (\$ in Millions)	1			FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2 OC		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
PM Civilian Personnel and other support costs	Various	PM DoD Biometrics:Various	1.300	1.516		2.320		-		2.320	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
		Subtotal	1.300	1.516		2.320		-		2.320			
Test and Evaluation (in Millions	s)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2 OC		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
	1	Army Test and									-		
Test and Evaluation	Various	Evaluation Commend (ATEC); Joint Interoperability Test Command:Various	1.300	1.000		0.987		-		0.987	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 20 of 23 R-1 Line Item #176

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0303140A: Information Systems Security	5PM: DOD	BIOMETRICS PROGRAM
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Program	MANAGEM	ENT

Total	al Prior									Target
Ye	ears			FY 2012	FY:	2012	FY 2012	Cost To		Value of
Co	Cost	FY 2	2011	Base	0	co	Total	Complete	Total Cost	Contract
Project Cost Totals	8.652	34.612		37.451	-		37.451			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 21 of 23 R-1 Line Item #176

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PE	3 2012 Army																DA	ιΤΕ:	Feb	ruar	y 20)11		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Eval BA 7: Operational Systems Development	uation, Army	,		F	R -1 ITE PE 0303 Progran	3140					stems	s Seci	ırity	5	ROJ PM: <i>I</i> IANA	DOD	BIC		TRIC	CS F	'RO	GRA	\M	
		FY 2010	0	FY	2011		F	FY 201	12		FY 2	2013		FY	2014	1		FY 2	2015	,		FY 2	:016	 }
	1	2 3	4	1 2	2 3	4	1	2 3	3 4	1	2	3	4	1 2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
ADM Signed																								
Full Deployment Decision																								
Milestone B Activities																								
Milestone Decision																								-
System Development																								

Army

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army	DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0303140A: Information Systems Security	5PM: DOD	BIOMETRICS PROGRAM
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Program	MANAGEM	IENT

Schedule Details

	St	End		
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
ADM Signed	1	2011	1	2011
Full Deployment Decision	2	2011	2	2011
Milestone B Activities	3	2010	3	2012
Milestone Decision	3	2012	3	2012
System Development	3	2012	2	2014

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0303141A: Global Combat Support System

DATE: February 2011

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

	, ,													
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost			
Total Program Element	138.764	125.569	100.505	-	100.505	81.927	55.003	45.907	41.960	Continuing	Continuing			
083: GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYS - ARMY (GCSS- ARMY)	87.695	95.623	79.721	-	79.721	68.634	50.252	44.457	40.860	Continuing	Continuing			
08A: Army Enterprise System Integration Program (AESIP)	51.069	29.946	4.464	-	4.464	13.293	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing			
VU2: INSTALLATION FIXED BASE (IFB)	-	-	16.320	-	16.320	-	4.751	1.450	1.100	Continuing	Continuing			

Note

Not applicable for this item.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Global Combat Support System-Army (GCSS-Army) has two components: a functional component titled GCSS-Army (Field/Tactical) (F/T) and a technology enabler component titled Product Lifecycle Management Plus (PLM+). GCSS-Army (F/T) coupled with GCSS-Army (PLM+) are information and communications technology investments that will provide key enabling support to the transformation of the Army into a network-centric, knowledge-based future force. The GCSS-Army approved Joint Capability Description Document (CDD) requires an enterprise approach to replace current logistics and maintenance Standard Army Management Information Systems (STAMIS). GCSS-Army will provide the Army's Sustainment Support (CS/CSS) warfighter with a seamless flow of timely, accurate, accessible and secure information management that gives combat forces a decisive edge. PLM+ will provide interfaces to external systems and limited Master Data Management. GCSS-Army will implement best business practices to streamline supply, accountability, maintenance, distribution, and reporting procedures in support of the future force transition path of the Army Campaign Plan.

Army UNCLASSIFIED Page 1 of 20 R-1 Line Item #177 Volume 7 - 212

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0303141A: Global Combat Support System	

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	143.979	125.569	77.329	-	77.329
Current President's Budget	138.764	125.569	100.505	-	100.505
Total Adjustments	-5.215	-	23.176	-	23.176
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
 Reprogrammings 	-5.215	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
 Adjustments to Budget Years 	-	-	23.176	-	23.176

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	tification: PB	3 2012 Army							DATE: Febr	uary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development					I OMENCLA 1A: <i>Global C</i>	FURE Combat Supp	ort System	PROJECT 083: GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYS - ARMY (GCSS-ARMY)			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base						FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
083: GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYS - ARMY (GCSS- ARMY)	87.695	95.623	79.721	-	79.721	68.634	50.252	44.457	40.860	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Army

Global Combat Support System-Army will provide the Army's Tactical warfighter with a seamless flow of timely, accurate, accessible, actionable, and secure information not readily available today that gives combat forces a decisive edge. GCSS-Army will modernize automated logistics by implementing best business practices to streamline supply operations, maintenance operations, property accountability, and tactical logistics and financial management and integration procedures in support of the Future Force transition path of the Army Campaign Plan. This effort will implement a comprehensive logistics automation solution for the field (deployable) Army and provide the Commander on the battlefield with an integrated, interoperable view of the battle-space in time to support decisions that will affect the outcome of combat operations, combat power, and planning for future operations. This solution implements Commercial-Off-The-Shelf (COTS) Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) products from SAP AG. This will also allow the Army to retire multiple custom designed stand-alone business software baselines optimized to existing Army business processes and replace them with a single integrated business software baseline that has been optimized to industry defined best business practices. GCSS-Army is a key component of the Federated ERP Integration solution that will optimize tactical logistics and finance domain business processes into a single federated approach. It will eliminate the need for extensive maintenance and modification of aging, diverse software systems resulting in improved and efficient change control and configuration management through implementation of an enterprise system.

FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
10.323	10.529	10.600
0	0	
	10.323	10.323 10.529

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 3 of 20 R-1 Line Item #177

Volume 7 - 214

			UNULAU	··· · _						
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: Pl	3 2012 Army							DATE: Fel	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluatio BA 7: Operational Systems Development	2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0303141A: Global Combat Support System 083									
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in	Millions, Arti	cle Quantit	ties in Each)					FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Funding continue sustainment of a Project Mana ISEC Engineers as well as a multitude of Subject 2 for Release 1.1 will continue leading to a MS (t Matter Expe	rts (SME's)	from various	commands						
FY 2012 Plans: Funding will continue sustainment of a Project N Lee, ISEC Engineers as well as a multitude of S for an FD decision in Feb 12 leading to the train	ubject Matter I	Experts (SM	1E's) from va							
Title: GCSS-Army ERP							A4' - 1	77.372	85.094 0	69.12
Description: Funding is provided for the following	na effort					,	Articles:	0	U	
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Funds Critical Design Review (CDR) was held w Training Center on 5 July 2010. This operations Government Test of Release 1.1. Break/fix activ FY 2011 Plans: GCSS-Army is scheduled for a MS C in 2nd Qtr Release 1.2 through the remainder of FY11.	al assessment vities are ongo	will continue ing as well a	e while the go as planning f	overnment p or Release	performs an I 1.2	ndependen	t			
FY 2012 Plans: GCSS-Army will complete the design and build program will seek a full deployment decision in 2					UT in 4th Qtr	FY12. The	•			
			Accom	nplishment	s/Planned P	rograms S	ubtotals	87.695	95.623	79.72°
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Mil	lions)									
Line Item FY 2010 • W00800: STACOMP (OPA) 13.392		FY 2012 Base 143.122	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total 143.122	FY 2013	FY 2014 93.404	FY 20 1 81.50		Cost To Complete Complete Continuing	Total Cos

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 4 of 20 R-1 Line Item #177

Volume 7 - 215

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0303141A: Global Combat Support System	083: <i>GLOB</i>	AL COMBAT SUPPORT SYS -
BA 7: Operational Systems Development		ARMY (GC	SS-ARMY)

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	<u>Base</u>	<u>000</u>	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete To	otal Cost
• 4236120: <i>OMA</i>	10.030	10.170	26.760		26.760		69.400	81.000	162.500	Continuing C	Continuing

D. Acquisition Strategy

GCSS-Army has an evolutionary acquisition strategy as defined in DoD Directive 5000.01 and DoD Instruction 5000.02 and will define, develop and produce/deploy an initial, militarily useful (and supportable) operational capability based upon proven technology, time-phased requirements, projected threat assessments, and demonstrated manufacturing capabilities in as short a time as possible. The system will be developed in multiple releases as functional capabilities are defined and as integration and synchronization opportunities with related systems present opportunities for subsequent releases. Release 1.2 will be a viable stand alone capability that will not require subsequent releases to be operational.

GCSS-Army Increment I will consist of three releases. Release 1.0 is an initial prototype of retail supply capability that has been deployed to the 11th Armored Cavalry Regiment at the National Training Center as part of an operational assessment and continuous evaluation. Release 1.1 will add the capabilities of Unit Level Supply, Maintenance, Property Book, and Finance (support to tactical supply and maintenance). Release 1.2 will add Disconnected Operations, additional finance functionality, extended Enterprise Master Data Management, prepare to use Enterprise services to interface with avaiation maintenance system and installation based maintenance.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED

Army Page 5 of 20 R-1 Line Item #177

				0.	NCLASS	, <u>_</u> _							
Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Pro	ject Cost	Analysis: PB 2012 A	rmy							DATI	E: Februar	y 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDG 2040: Research, Develop BA 7: Operational Systen	ment, Tes	st & Evaluation, Army		R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0303141A: Global Combat Support System						PROJECT 083: GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYS - ARMY (GCSS-ARMY)			
Management Services (\$ in Millio	ons)		FY 2011		FY 2012 Base		FY 20°					
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
PMO Operations	Various	TBD:TBD	42.543	4.459		4.664		-		4.664	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	42.543	4.459		4.664		-		4.664			
Product Development (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 20°		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Implementation	Various	Northrop Grumman,:Arlington, VA	161.965	80.719		64.404		-		64.404	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Tactical Combat Developer	Various	CASCOM,:Ft. Lee, VA	13.980	1.275		1.276		-		1.276	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	175.945	81.994		65.680		-		65.680			
Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2011		FY 2012 Base		FY 20°	- I				
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
PMO Operations	Various	TBD:TBD	42.543	1.020		1.080		-		1.080	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Engineering and Security	Various	ISEC,:Various	19.304	1.553		1.571		-		1.571	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Technical Services	Various	Log Mgt Institute,:Various	20.706	3.497		3.584		-		3.584	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	82.553	6.070		6.235		-		6.235			
Test and Evaluation (\$ i	Test and Evaluation (\$ in Millions)			FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 20°		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Army Evaluation Center	Various	AEC:Virignia	4.882	3.100		3.142		-		3.142	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin
		Subtotal	4.882	3.100		3.142		-		3.142			

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 6 of 20 R-1 Line Item #177

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Art	my					DATE: Febru	ary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY		R-1 ITEM NON	MENCLATURE		PROJECT			
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army		PE 0303141A:	Global Combat Sup	port System	083: <i>GLOB</i>	AL COMBAT	SUPPORT S	YS -
BA 7: Operational Systems Development					ARMY (GC	SS-ARMY)		
						<u> </u>		

	Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2		1	2012 FY 2012 CO Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Project Cost Totals	305.923	95.623	79.721	-	79.721			

<u>Remarks</u>

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0303141A: Global Combat Support System PE 0303141A: Global Combat Support System ARMY (GCSS-ARMY)	Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army								
Tital (Good Fital)			083: <i>GLOB</i>						

		FY 2010		10 FY 2011				FY 2012		FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015			FY 2016										
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Increment 1/Release 1.1 DTOE																						•					•	
Independent Govt Test and Release 1.1 OA/CE																												
Increment 1 - Milestone C																												
Increment 1 - IOT&E																												
Increment 1 - Full Fielding																												
Increment 1 - Initial Operational Capability (IOC)																												

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0303141A: Global Combat Support System	083: <i>GLOB</i>	AL COMBAT SUPPORT SYS -
BA 7: Operational Systems Development		ARMY (GC	SS-ARMY)

Schedule Details

	St	art	E	ind
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Increment 1/Release 1.1 DTOE	2	2010	3	2010
Independent Govt Test and Release 1.1 OA/CE	3	2010	4	2010
Increment 1 - Milestone C	1	2011	1	2011
Increment 1 - IOT&E	3	2011	3	2011
Increment 1 - Full Fielding	1	2012	3	2015
Increment 1 - Initial Operational Capability (IOC)	1	2012	1	2012

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB	3 2012 Army							DATE: Feb	ruary 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	& Evaluation	n, Army			I OMENCLA 1A: <i>Global C</i>		ort System	PROJECT 08A: Army Enterprise System Integration Program (AESIP)					
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
08A: Army Enterprise System Integration Program (AESIP)	51.069	29.946	4.464	-	4.464	13.293	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing		
Quantity of RDT&E Articles													

Note

Army

Not applicable for this item.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Army Enterprise Systems Integration Program (AESIP), mission is to integrate Army business processes by providing a single source for enterprise hub services, centralized master data management, and business intelligence and analytics. AESIP will support the Army's federated approach and enable the integration of end-to-end logistical and financial processes. The Army has successfully addressed concerns about the lack of integration of ERPs by leveraging AESIP core capabilities and expanding those benefits across the Army enterprise. AESIP will be an Army specific commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) web portal implementation via the NetWeaver Platform from developer Systems Applications and Products (SAP) American Group to support Army process scenarios and requirements that will provide core competencies:

Enterprise Service Bus (Hub Services) - For a Service oriented, Single Point of Entry to connect, mediate, and control the exchange of data. Business Intelligence/Business Warehouse - Aggregates data from ERP and non-ERP systems to provide flexible Enterprise level reporting. Enterprise Master Data - For a single source of authoritative data and improved workflow and business processes.

Hence, the AESIP solution establishes a framework for a fully integrated ERP centric environment that will ultimately provide Commanders Total Visibility from Factory to Foxhole thereby ensuring delivery of the right equipment to the right unit at the right time, while reducing backlogs of material on the battlefield.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Ar	icle Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Title: System Development and Demonstration (SDD) Phase	Contract Activity	40.087	16.076	2.351	
	Articles:	0	0		
and integration activities supporting SDD. These contracts so services, centralized master data management and business and architecture. The current efforts support the Milestone D with the Global Combat Support System-Army (GCSS-Army)	pociated with work relating to acquisition, engineering, planning apport an evolutionary development strategy for enterprise hub intelligence/business warehouse applications using SAP products ecision Authority federated approach. It is also synchronized the Logistics Modernization Program (LMP), the Manufacturing usiness System (GFEBS) to enable end-to-end integration of the				

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 10 of 20 R-1 Line Item #177

Volume 7 - 221

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Fel	oruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0303141A: Global Combat Support System	PROJECT 08A: Army E Program (Al		System Integr	ration
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Qua	antities in Each)	F	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Managed a myriad of Government contracts associated with work related activities supporting SDD. Work accomplished in FY10 by the DoD letesting interfaces required for hub services and the material master. Dosustainment and infrastructure services from the current DoD lead systof Expertise at Picatinny Arsenal. Also application server hosting was recovery support by Acquisition Logistics and Technology Enterprise St.	ad systems integrator included designing, building a buring this fiscal year the work plan included the tran stems integrator to government control through the 0 provided by Redstone Arsenal and enterprise disas	and sition of Center			
FY 2011 Plans: Continue to manage a myriad of Government contracts associated wifintegration activities supporting SDD. The focus of work planned for F and the material master interfaces required for GCSS-Army Rel 1.1 ar includes the transition of architecture and development services from control through the Center of Expertise at Picatinny Arsenal.FY 11 wo of Material Master release 3.1 to support the Army Central Logistics D server hosting and enterprise disaster recovery support remains unch	Y11 includes designing, building and testing hub se nd LMP Deploy #3. During this fiscal year the work p the current DoD lead systems integrator to governm rk also includes development, testing and implement ata Base sunset and Milestone C. The plan for appl	rvices olan eent otation lication			
FY 2012 Plans: Continue to manage a myriad of Government contracts associated wit integration activities supporting SDD. The focus of work planned for F and the material master interfaces required for GCSS-Army Rel 1.2 ar a Full Deployment Decision. Funding will support the initiation of conv During this fiscal year the work plan includes finalizing the transition fr control through the Center of Expertise at Picatinny Arsenal. The plan recovery support remains unchanged at Redstone Arsenal and ALTES	Y12 includes designing, building and testing hub se nd complete material master release functionality an ergence of the ERP programs IAW the federated ap om the current DoD lead systems integrator to gove for application server hosting and enterprise disaste	rvices ad attain oproach. ernment			
Title: PM Operations		Articles:	10.524 0	11.580 0	1.921
Description: Provide functional support across a wide array of special	lty areas to sustain product development.				
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continued providing functional support across a wide array of specialt	y areas to sustain product development.				
FY 2011 Plans:					

 UNCLASSIFIED

 Army
 Page 11 of 20
 R-1 Line Item #177
 Volume 7 - 222

	UNCLASSIFIED				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Fel	oruary 2011	
	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0303141A: <i>Global Combat Support System</i>	PROJECT 08A: Army Program (y Enterprise S	System Integr	ration
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quanti	ities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Continue to provide functional support across a wide array of specialty ar	eas to sustain product development.				
FY 2012 Plans: Continue to provide functional support across a wide array of specialty ar	eas to sustain product development.				
Title: Government System Test and Evaluation		Articles:	0.458 0	2.210 0	0.042
Description: Plans, conducts and reports on developmental tests and as operational and interoperability tests, assessments, and experiments in o and fielding of warfighting systems.		uisition			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Worked with Army Test and Evaluation Command (ATEC), Operational T Command (JITC), planned and initiated limited user test of Enterprise Selimited to Business Intelligence/Business Warehouse, Material Master Da achieving Milestone C and full deployment.	rvice Bus (Hub Services) products including but	not			
FY 2011 Plans: Continue ATEC, OTC and JITC testing and evaluation of Enterprise Serv to Business Intelligence/Business Warehouse, Material Master Data and Milestone C.					
FY 2012 Plans: Continue ATEC, OTC and JITC testing and evaluation of Enterprise Serv to Business Intelligence/Business Warehouse, Material Master Data and	ν, , ,				
Title: Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology T		Articles:	-	0.080	0.150
Description: Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Techn					
FY 2011 Plans: Initiate transition of systems integration to small business firms.					
FY 2012 Plans: Continue expansion of systems integration.					
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs S	Subtotals	51.069	29.946	4.464

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 12 of 20 R-1 Line Item #177

Volume 7 - 223

Army

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0303141A: Global Combat Support System	08A: <i>Army</i>	Enterprise System Integration
BA 7: Operational Systems Development		Program (A	ESIP)

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	<u>Base</u>	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
 W11001: Army Enterprise System 	10.233	11.599	10.623		10.623		4.562	6.735	6.770	Continuing	Continuing
Integration Program (AESIP)											
 423612: AESIP Sustainment 	17.220	23.116	10.279		10.279		19.987	20.472	42.226	Continuing	Continuing

D. Acquisition Strategy

As the technical component of GCSS-Army, AESIP employs an evolutionary acquisition strategy as defined in DoD Directive 5000.1 and DoD Instruction 5000.2, and will define, develop and produce/deploy an initial operational capability based upon proven technology, time-phased requirements, projected threat assessments, and demonstrated manufacturing capabilities in as short a time as possible. The system will be developed in multiple increments then integrated and synchronized with related systems presenting opportunities for subsequent increments. Increment I will be a viable stand alone capability that will not require subsequent increments to be operational.

AESIP will support the same Release 1.1 and 1.2 schedules of GCSS-Army by providing Enterprise Service Bus (Hub Services), Enterprise Material Master Data, and Business Intelligence/Business Warehouse capabilities in support of the entire GCSS-Army program.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED

Army Page 13 of 20 R-1 Line Item #177

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0303141A: Global Combat Support System

CT

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

08A: Army Enterprise System Integration

Program (AESIP)

Management Services	s (\$ in Millio	ons)		FY 2	FY 2011		2012 Ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
PMO Operations	Various	PM AESIP:PMO Operations	7.480	2.520		0.426		-		0.426	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	7.480	2.520		0.426		-		0.426			
Product Development	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011		2012 ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
2. Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Implementation	FFRDC	MITRE Corporation 7615 Colshire Dr McLean 22102:Technical Support Services	-	1.015		0.172		-		0.172	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
1 . Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Implementation	C/FP	Computer Sciences Corporation (CSC) 3160 Fairview Park Dr FallsChurch 22042:Systems Integration	94.340	3.763		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
3. Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Implementation	IA	US Army ARDEC Picatinny Arsenal NJ 08706:Government Lead Systems Integrator	6.440	12.178		1.529		-		1.529	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
4. Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Implementation	C/FP	Systems, Applications and Products (SAP) 1300 Pennyslvania Avenue Washington DC 20004:Technical Support Services	-	3.017		0.430		-		0.430	_	Continuing	
	C/FP		-	-		0.070		_		0.070	0.000	0.070	0.000

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 14 of 20 R-1 Line Item #177

Volume 7 - 225

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0303141A: Global Combat Support System

CT

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

08A: Army Enterprise System Integration

Program (AESIP)

Product Development	Product Development (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011		FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
5. Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)		TBD:ERP/SAP Technical and Management Support Services											
6. Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)	C/FP	TBD:Enterprise Application Services	-	-		0.050		-		0.050	0.000	0.050	0.000
7. Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)	C/FP	TBD:Enterprise Integration Services	-	-		0.050		-		0.050	0.000	0.050	0.000
8. Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)	C/FP	TBD:Infrastracture Services	-	-		0.050		-		0.050	0.000	0.050	0.000
		Subtotal	100.780	19.973		2.351		-		2.351			

Support (\$ in Millions)		FY 2011			2012 se	FY 2012 OCO		FY 2012 Total					
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
1. PM Support	C/FFP	Program Management Support Services (PMSS2)/L3 250 Royall Street Canton, MA 02021:Program Management Support Services	6.118	1.630		0.337		-		0.337	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
2. PM Support	C/FP	LMI Government Consulting 2000 Corporate Ridge McLean, Virginia 22102-7805:Program Management Support Services	6.656	1.815		0.509		-		0.509	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
3. PM Support	C/FP		-	1.798		0.304		-		0.304	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 15 of 20 R-1 Line Item #177

ine Item #177 Volume 7 - 226

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0303141A: Global Combat Support System

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

08A: Army Enterprise System Integration

Program (AESIP)

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC) 1710 SAIC Drive McLean, VA 22102:Program Management Support Services											
4. PM Support	C/FP	EDC Consulting/Alion 8201 Greensboro Drive, Suite 105 McLean, VA 22102:Program Management Support Services	-	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
5. PM Support	C/FP	CAP Gemini Governement Solutions LLC 2250 Corporate Park Dr Ste. 406 Herndon VA 20171:Independent Verification and Validation	-	-		0.495		-		0.495	0.000	0.495	0.000
		Subtotal	12.774	5.243		1.645		-		1.645			
Test and Evaluation (\$	in Millions	s)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Test and Evaluation	IA	ATEC, OTC, & JITC	1.422	2.210		0.042		-		0.042		Continuing	Continuing

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 16 of 20 R-1 Line Item #177

Volume 7 - 227

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0303141A: Global Combat Support System
08A: Army Enterprise System Integration
Program (AESIP)

est and Evaluation (\$	t and Evaluation (\$ in Millions)				FY 2011		FY 2012 Base		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contrac
		Multiple Locations:Test and Evaluation											
		Subtotal	1.422	2.210		0.042		-		0.042			
			Total Prior Years Cost	FY	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2	2012 CO	FY 2012 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value o Contrac
		Project Cost Totals	122.456	29.946		4.464		-		4.464			

<u>Remarks</u>

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 17 of 20 R-1 Line Item #177

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army	DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0303141A: Global Combat Support System	,	, ,
BA 7: Operational Systems Development		Program (A	ESIF)

	FY 2010		FY 2011			FY 2012)	FY 2013			FY 2014			FY 2015			5	FY 2016									
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Increment 1 - Milestone C																		•		•			,	,	,			
Increment 1 - IOT&E																												
Increment 1 - Full Deployment Decision (FDD)																												

 UNCLASSIFIED

 Army
 Page 18 of 20
 R-1 Line Item #177
 Volume 7 - 229

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0303141A: Global Combat Support System	08A: Army Enterprise System Integration	n
BA 7: Operational Systems Development		Program (AESIP)	

Schedule Details

	St	art	End			
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year		
Increment 1 - Milestone C	3	2011	3	2011		
Increment 1 - IOT&E	3	2011	4	2011		
Increment 1 - Full Deployment Decision (FDD)	4	2013	4	2013		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2012 Army	1						DATE: February 2011					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	& Evaluation	n, Army			IOMENCLAT 1A: <i>Global C</i>		ort System	PROJECT VU2: INSTA	LLATION FI	(IFB)				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost			
VU2: INSTALLATION FIXED BASE (IFB)	-	-	16.320	-	16.320	-	4.751	1.450	1.100	Continuing	Continuing			
Quantity of RDT&E Articles														

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Installation Fixed Base delivers the GCSS-Army Enterprise Solution to Installations. It integrates Installation Director Of Logistics/Financial Business Processes and the Tactical Army Logistics/Financial Business Processes into a single Enterprise Resource Planning System that will enable Army Force Generation (ARFORGEN) capability to generate land power capabilities that support the Joint Forces Commander's operational needs and conforms with Title 10 functions. IFB entails a modification to expand the GCSS-Army Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) baseline software system to include the functions required for logistical tasks performed at Army Installations. IFB will result in enhanced management of Army inventory including a national view of Class IX and Class V stocked at all 88 Army installations; and will support expanded mission - (RESET, ARFORGEN, Expanded Fleet Management, National Maintenance Management) and will provide more accurate and actionable data, fully automated and integrated billing process between installation, AMC MSC, and DFAS.

FY12 Base funding supports initial development work on IFB capabilities.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Installation Fixed Base	-	-	16.320
Description: Initiate development and software configuration for IFB software capabilities.			
FY 2012 Plans: Initiate development and software configuration for IFB software capabilities			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	-	-	16.320

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

IFB will support the needs of installation level logistics across the Army. It will do this by exploiting and building on GCSS-Army products to produce/deploy an operational capability that is based upon proven technology, and that readily integrates with other Army systems.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

 UNCLASSIFIED

 Army
 Page 20 of 20
 R-1 Line Item #177
 Volume 7 - 231

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0303142A: SATCOM Ground Environment (SPACE)

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost			
Total Program Element	32.453	33.694	12.104	-	12.104	12.372	11.635	11.360	9.944	Continuing	Continuing			
253: DSCS-DCS (PHASE II)	6.878	11.447	5.766	-	5.766	5.927	5.548	5.513	5.343	Continuing	Continuing			
456: MILSATCOM SYSTEM ENGINEERING	21.555	22.247	6.338	-	6.338	6.445	6.087	5.847	4.601	Continuing	Continuing			
562: MBAND INT SAT TERM MIST	4.020	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	4.020			

Note

Army

Change Summary Explanation: Funding - FY 2012: Funding realigned (\$20,819) to other Army priorities.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Military Satellite Communication (MILSATCOM) systems are joint program/project efforts to satisfy ground mobile requirements for each Service, the Joint Chiefs of Staff (JCS), the National Command Authority, the combatant commanders, the National Security Agency, the Office of the Secretary of Defense, and other governmental, non-DoD users. The worldwide MILSATCOM systems are: Ultra High Frequency (UHF) Follow-On Satellite System; Air Force Satellite (FLTSAT/AFSAT) system; the Mobile User Objective System (MUOS); the Super High Frequency (SHF) Defense Satellite Communications System (DSCS); the Wideband Global SATCOM (WGS); the MILSTAR Extremely High Frequency (EHF)(Low Data Rate (LDR) and Medium Data Rate (MDR); the Advanced Extremely High Frequency (AEHF); and future MILSATCOM capabilities, all of these systems are required to support legacy, interim and emerging communication space architectures and Objective Force requirements. The Army is responsible for materiel development, acquisition, product improvement, testing, fielding and integrated logistics support of ground satellite terminals and SATCOM control subsystems and all associated equipment used to provide range extension of Command, Control and Communications Systems for the Warfighter. The Army also participates in the development of MILSATCOM programs, including architectures, payloads, waveforms, antennas and terminal developments to ensure US Army equities are appropriately addressed with our sister services. This includes technology assessment efforts associated with the integration of MILSATCOM components to US Army Landwarnet This responsibility also includes maintaining the life cycle logistics support required to achieve end-to-end connectivity and interoperability, satisfying JCS Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence (C3I) in support of the President, JCS, combatant commanders, Military Departments, Departments, and other government Departments and Agencies.

This program is designated as a DoD Space Program.

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 1 of 14 R-1 Line Item #178

Volume 7 - 232

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0303142A: SATCOM Ground Environment (SPACE)	

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	39.889	33.694	32.923	-	32.923
Current President's Budget	32.453	33.694	12.104	-	12.104
Total Adjustments	-7.436	-	-20.819	-	-20.819
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
Reprogrammings	-6.272	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-1.164	-			
 Adjustments to Budget Years 	-	_	-20.819	-	-20.819

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	stification: PE	3 2012 Army							DATE: Feb	uary 2011				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACT					IOMENCLA			PROJECT						
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development					2A: <i>SATCON</i>	M Ground En	vironment	253: DSCS-DCS (PHASE II)						
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost			
253: DSCS-DCS (PHASE II)	6.878	11.447	5.766	-	5.766	5.927	5.548	5.513	5.343	Continuing	Continuing			
Quantity of RDT&E Articles														

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This project provides funds to develop strategic and tactical Ground Subsystem equipment and software in support of Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff (CJCS) validated Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence (C3I) requirements for the worldwide Defense Enterprise Wideband SATCOM System (DEWSS). DEWSS is composed of the Super High Frequency (SHF) Defense Satellite Communications System (DSCS) and Wideband Global SATCOM (WGS) programs. Expansion of the WGS constellation and upgrades to both DSCS and WGS are vital to support the Army's emerging power projection and rapid deployment role. DSCS and WGS provide Warfighters multiple channels of tactical connectivity as well as interfaces with strategic networks and national decision-makers.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Netcentic Systems Engineering and Analysis		1.857	3.874	3.166
	Articles:	0	0	
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort				
FY 2010 Accomplishments:				
Provided Netcentric Systems Engineering and Analysis				
FY 2011 Plans:				
Continues Netcentric Systems Engineering and Analysis				
FY 2012 Plans:				
Future Netcentric Systems Engineering and Analysis				
Title: Initiate integration and test efforts on the Remote Monitor Control Equipment (RMCE)		2.500	5.000	-
	Articles:	0	0	
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort				
FY 2010 Accomplishments:				
Initiated integration and test efforts on the Remote Monitor Control Equipment (RMCE)				
FY 2011 Plans:				
Continuing ntegration and test efforts on the Remote Monitor Control Equipment (RMCE)				
Title: SATCOM Engineering Lab (SEL), PM Administration and Systems Engineering Technical Assistance (SETA) efforts		2.521	2.573	2.600

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 3 of 14 R-1 Line Item #178

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0303142A: SATCOM Ground Environment	253: DSCS-	-DCS (PHASE II)
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	(SPACE)		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Articles:	0	0	
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Funded SATCOM Engineering Lab (SEL), PM Admin and Systems Engineering Technical Assistance (SETA) efforts			
FY 2011 Plans: Continuing SATCOM Engineering Lab (SEL), PM Admin and Systems Engineering Technical Assistance (SETA) efforts			
FY 2012 Plans: Future SATCOM Engineering Lab (SEL), PM Admin and Systems Engineering Technical Assistance (SETA) efforts			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	6.878	11.447	5.766

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
BB8500000: DEWSS Other	145.894	115.744	123.859		123.859		103.181	99.567	92.812	Continuing	Continuing
Procurement Army											

D. Acquisition Strategy

The Remote Monitoring and Control Equipment (RMCE) will provide the capability to remotely operate several Control subsystems to effect WGS payload control and monitoring from a Wideband SATCOM Operations Center (WSOC) to a geographically separated earth terminal. To operate these Control subsystems remotely (Gapfiller Satellite Configuration Control Element (GSCCE), Wideband Global SATCOM Spectrum Monitoring System (WGSMS), Joint Management and Operation System (JMOS), Replacement FM Orderwire (RFMOW), Global Terrestrial Critical Control Circuit System (GTC3S) and Interference Resolution) some system non-recurring engineering modifications will be necessary. PM DCATS employs Netcentric Systems Engineering to develop the technology for new ground segment equipments which will include studies, risk mitigation, system integration and advanced demonstrations for netcentric baseband and policy based control to accommodate technology insertion, data sharing, remote operations, architecture efforts and use of commercial technology to conform to Department of Defense (DoD) requirements.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Volume 7 - 235

Army Page 4 of 14 R-1 Line Item #178

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

PROJECT
253: DSCS-DCS (PHASE II)

EX 2040

EX

			FY 2	2010)		FY	201 ²	1		FY	2012	2		FY 2	2013	}		FY 2	2014	•		FY 2	2015	5		FY 2	2016	,
		1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
R	MCE GSCCE																												
R	MCE Integration																												

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0303142A: SATCOM Ground Environment	253: DSCS	-DCS (PHASE II)
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	(SPACE)		

Schedule Details

	St	art	End			
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year		
RMCE GSCCE	1	2010	3	2011		
RMCE Integration	1	2010	3	2011		

DATE: February 2011

Volume 7 - 238

Eximple it Ent, its rall i reject date		2012 / Willing							D7 (1 E1) 05.	adiy 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	& Evaluation	n, Army			IOMENCLAT 2A: <i>SATCON</i>	_	vironment	PROJECT 456: MILSA	IEERING		
COST (\$ in Millions) FY 2010 FY 2011 Base				FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
456: MILSATCOM SYSTEM ENGINEERING	21.555	22.247	6.338	-	6.338	6.445	6.087	5.847	4.601	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army

`MILSATCOM System Engineering provides centralized funding for US Army participation in the joint development of MILSATCOM programs. This includes engineering, technical and Cost As An Independent Variable (CAIV) related analyses supporting architecture, payloads, network and terminal requirement and design decisions across all MILSATCOM programs

MILSATCOM System Engineering also supports experimentation and/or development of new and emerging SATCOM related technologies and standards. This includes prototyping efforts to address technology gaps identified by US Army Program of Records (POR) in the US Army Technology Transition Matrix.

FY2012 funds suppport efforts in the area of both Wideband/Commerical and Protected Communications related efforts.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Protected Advanced EHF (AEHF) Communications System Engineering	3.000	1.600	2.100
Articles:	0	0	
Description: Protected Advanced EHF (AEHF) Communications System Engineering			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Protected Advanced EHF (AEHF) Communications System Engineering			
FY 2011 Plans:			
Protected Advanced EHF (AEHF) Communications System Engineering			
FY 2012 Plans:			
Protected Advanced EHF (AEHF) Communications System Engineering			
Title: Wideband Global SATCOM (WGS) Communications System Engineering and Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnanisance	2.000	1.300	1.600
(ISR) Migration	0	0	
Articles:			
Description: Wideband Global SATCOM (WGS) Communications System Engineering			

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 7 of 14 R-1 Line Item #178

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Fel	oruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0303142A: SATCOM Ground Environment (SPACE)	PROJEC 456: MIL	SATCOM SYS	STEM ENGIN	IEERING
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Wideband Global SATCOM (WGS) Communications System Eng	gineering				
FY 2011 Plans: Wideband Global SATCOM (WGS) Communications System Eng	gineering				
FY 2012 Plans: Wideband Global SATCOM (WGS) Communications System Eng Migration	gineering and Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnanisance	e (ISR)			
Title: Experimentation, development, testing and certification of c technologies.		Articles:	3.072 0	4.000 0	1.538
Description: Experimentation, development, testing and certificatechnologies.	tion of critical SATCOM and SOTM communication and	network			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Experimentation, development, testing and certification of critical	SATCOM and SOTM communication and network techr	nologies.			
FY 2011 Plans: Experimentation, development, testing and certification of critical	SATCOM and SOTM communication and network techn	nologies.			
FY 2012 Plans: Experimentation, development, testing and certification of critical	SATCOM and SOTM communication and network techn	nologies.			
Title: Federal Communications Commission/ International Teleco Analyses/Modifications	ommunciations Union (FCC/ITU) SOTM Regulatory Prop		1.200 0	1.130 0	0.700
Description: Federal Communications Commission/ International Proposals/Analyses/Modifications	Il Telecommunciations Union (FCC/ITU) SOTM Regulato	Articles:			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Federal Communications Commission/ International Telecommun Analyses/Modifications	nciations Union (FCC/ITU) SOTM Regulatory Proposals/	,			
FY 2011 Plans:					

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 8 of 14 R-1 Line Item #178

Volume 7 - 239

Army Page 8 of 14 R-1 Line Item #178

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0303142A: SATCOM Ground Environment (SPACE)	PROJECT 456: MILS		STEM ENGIN	IEERING
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article (Quantities in Each <u>)</u>		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Federal Communications Commission/ International Telecommuno Analyses/Modifications	ciations Union (FCC/ITU) SOTM Regulatory Proposals	'			
FY 2012 Plans: Federal Communications Commission/ International Telecommuno Analyses/Modifications	ciations Union (FCC/ITU) SOTM Regulatory Proposals	′			
Title: Protected Terminal COTM and Wide Area Network (WAN) P	rototyping	Articles:	1.500 0	2.100 0	0.400
Description: Protected Wide Area Network (WAN) and Terminal F	Prototyping				
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Protected Wide Area Network (WAN) Prototyping					
FY 2011 Plans: Protected Wide Area Network (WAN) Prototyping					
FY 2012 Plans: Protected Terminal COTM and Wide Area Network (WAN) Prototype	ping				
Title: Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnanisance (ISR) POR Migra Node (RHN) mods, Joint Management and Operations Subsystem			1.000 0	1.500 0	-
Description: Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnanisance (ISR) PO Reginal Hub Node (RHN) mods, Joint Management and Operation					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnanisance (ISR) POR Migration to (RHN) mods, Joint Management and Operations Subsystem (JMO	•	ub Node			
FY 2011 Plans: Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnanisance (ISR) POR Migration to (RHN) mods, Joint Management and Operations Subsystem (JMO		ub Node			
Title: Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology	ology Transfer Programs	Articles:	0.783 0	-	-

UNCLASSIFIED

Army

Page 9 of 14 R-1 Line Item #178

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army	DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0303142A: SATCOM Ground Environment	456: MILSA	ATCOM SYSTEM ENGINEERING
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	(SPACE)		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Description: Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfer Programs			
FY 2010 Accomplishments:			
Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfer Programs			
Title: Protected COTM Technical Reference Terminal Prototyping	9.000	10.617	-
Articles:	0	0	
Description: Protected COTM Technical Reference Terminal Prototyping			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Protected COTM Technical Reference Terminal Prototyping			
FY 2011 Plans:			
Protected COTM Technical Reference Terminal Prototyping			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	21.555	22.247	6.338

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

This project funds advanced systems engineering, research, development, test and evaluation of new and emerging technologies to optimize terminal performance and communications control. Once the technologies are mature and deemed feasible, funding and management responsibility for implementation of the technology will transition to Army PORs.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 10 of 14 R-1 Line Item #178

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0303142A: SATCOM Ground Environment

(SPACE)

PROJECT

DATE: February 2011

456: MILSATCOM SYSTEM ENGINEERING

Management Services (\$ in Millions)		gement Services (\$ in Millions)		lanagement Services (\$ in Millions)		FY 2	011		2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract		
Program Oversight	MIPR	PM WIN T:PM WIN T	0.674	0.440		0.300		-		0.300	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing		
Advanced Architecture/ Advanced Wideband System Architecture	MIPR	MIT Lincoln Labs:Lexington , MA	9.924	0.750		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing		
		Subtotal	10.598	1.190		0.300		-		0.300					

Product Development	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Protected Advanced EHF and WGS Communications Syststem Engineering	C/CPFF	Various:PM WIN T	19.351	2.169		1.000		-		1.000	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Experimentation, development , testing & certification of SATCOM & SOTM communciation & networking.	TBD	Various:PM WIN T	11.013	4.000		0.940		-		0.940	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Protected COTM Tactical Reference Terminal Prototyping and Protected Wide Area Network Prototyping	TBD	Various:PM WIN T	8.000	5.088		0.400		-		0.400	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
FCC/ITU SOTM Regulatory Proposals/Analyses/ Modifications	MIPR	John Hopkins Universtiy Applied Physics Lab:Laurel, MD	-	-		0.700		-		0.700	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
Terminal Upgrades, SNE, Engineering Support	MIPR	General Dynamics:Tauton, MA	3.024	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	41.388	11.257		3.040		-		3.040			

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 11 of 14 R-1 Line Item #178

UNCLASSIFIED Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army **DATE:** February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE **PROJECT** 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0303142A: SATCOM Ground Environment 456: MILSATCOM SYSTEM ENGINEERING BA 7: Operational Systems Development (SPACE) FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Support (\$ in Millions) FY 2011 oco Total Base Contract **Total Prior** Target Value of Method Performing Years Award Award Award **Cost To Cost Category Item** Contract & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Complete **Total Cost** Cost Engineering (In House) MIPR Core, Matrix,:PM WIN T 19.090 2.000 1.048 1.048 Continuing Continuing Continuing **Engineering Contractors** JANUS, Linguest:PM C/CPFF 29.235 3.800 0.600 0.600 Continuing Continuing Continuing Support WIN T MIT Lincoln Labs. System Architecture & Various Lexington, MA; MITRE, 14.463 0.400 Continuing Continuing Continuing Analysis CERDEC:PM WIN T 1.648 Subtotal 62.788 6.200 1.648

Test and Evaluation (\$ in Millions)		FY 2011		FY 2012 Base		FY 2012 OCO		FY 2012 Total					
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Terminal Certification, ISR POR Migration	FFRDC	MITRE:PM WIN T	0.554	0.600		0.150		-		0.150	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Test Support	MIPR	MATRIX:PM WIN T	19.732	1.500		0.600		-		0.600	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Testing, Certification	MIPR	CERDEC Support Technical Testing:PM WIN T	2.500	1.500		0.600		-		0.600	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	22.786	3.600		1.350		-		1.350			

	Total Prior										Target
	Years			FY:	2012	FY 2	2012	FY 2012	Cost To		Value of
	Cost	FY 2	2011	Ba	ise	00	co	Total	Complete	Total Cost	Contract
Project Cost Totals	137.560	22.247		6.338		-		6.338			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2012 Army							DATE : Feb	ruary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	R-1 ITEM N	OMENCLA	TURE	-								
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army				PE 0303142	PE 0303142A: SATCOM Ground Environment 562: MBAN					ID INT SAT TERM MIST		
BA 7: Operational Systems Development				(SPACE)								
COST (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To		
COST (\$ III WIIIIOTIS)	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost	
562: MBAND INT SAT TERM MIST	4.020	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	4.020	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: Multi-band Integrated Satellite Terminal (MIST) funds were to develop the high capacity communications capability (HC3) for Increment 1.

The HC3 was to provide high data rate communications capabilities that would be pervasively integrated into the Army's Future Modular Force communication architecture, as well as other Service and Joint communication architectures.

As a result of recent Department of Defense (DoD) decision to terminate the Transformational Satellite Communications System (TSAT), the HC3 program has been restructured. Various risk mitigation studies and analyses will be executed with tri-service participation in order to further lower risk prior the development of a follow on satellite terminal.

FY10 was the last year of funding and the FY10 funds supported detailed studies and analyses of future MILSATCOM capabilities to support Army requirements.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Requirements process/analysis	4.020	-	-
Articles:	0		
Description: Requirements process/analysis			
FY 2010 Accomplishments:			
Requirements process/analysis			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	4.020	-	-

Volume 7 - 244

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

Plans are starting to be developed for a follow on satellite terminal to support recent DoD budget decisions.

Army Page 13 of 14 R-1 Line Item #178

	CITOL/ (COII ILD	
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0303142A: SATCOM Ground Environment (SPACE)	PROJECT 562: MBAND INT SAT TERM MIST
E. Performance Metrics		
Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification	n material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performand	ce Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 14 of 14

P 1 Line Item #1

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0303150A: WWMCCS/Global Command and Control System

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	13.683	13.024	23.937	-	23.937	15.253	14.614	13.961	13.738	Continuing	Continuing
C86: ARMY GLOBAL C2 SYSTEM	13.683	13.024	23.937	-	23.937	15.253	14.614	13.961	13.738	Continuing	Continuing

Note

Change Summary Explanation: Funding FY 12: +18.280 million increase to support continuation of Battle Command requirements.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Global Command and Control System-Army (GCCS-A): This project is the Army component system that directly supports the implementation of the Global Command and Control System Family of Systems. GCCS-A provides automated command and control tools for Army Strategic and Operational Theater Commanders to enhance warfighter capabilities throughout the spectrum of conflict during joint and combined operations in support of the National Command Authority (NCA). The GCCS-A developed software systems dramatically improves the Army's ability to analyze courses of action; develop and manage Army Forces; and ensure feasibility of war plans. GCCS-A provides a client-server layered architecture and functional best-of-breed software applications to develop a totally integrated component of the Global Command and Control System Family of Systems that integrates the GCCS-Joint picture with the Army Battle Command Systems. The GCCS-A strategic tools have been modernized and replaced by DRRS-A, a suite of web based applications for Army Readiness, Force Registration and Force Projection.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	11.972	13.024	1.632	-	1.632
Current President's Budget	13.683	13.024	23.937	-	23.937
Total Adjustments	1.711	-	22.305	-	22.305
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
Congressional Adds		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
Other Adjustments 1	1.711	-	22.305	-	22.305

Army Page 1 of 9 R-1 Line Item #179 Volume 7 - 246

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2012 Army							DATE: Febi	ruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	& Evaluation	n, Army		R-1 ITEM N PE 0303150 and Control	OA: WWMC	_	ommand	PROJECT C86: ARMY			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
C86: ARMY GLOBAL C2 SYSTEM	13.683	13.024	23.937	-	23.937	15.253	14.614	13.961	13.738	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Global Command and Control System-Army (GCCS-A): This project is the Army component system that directly supports the implementation of the Global Command and Control System Family of Systems (FoS). GCCS-A provides automated command and control tools for Army Strategic and Operational Theater Commanders to enhance warfighter capabilities throughout the spectrum of conflict during Joint and combined operations in support of the National Command Authority (NCA). The GCCS-A developed software systems dramatically improves the Army's ability to analyze courses of action; develop and manage Army Forces; and ensure feasibility of war plans. GCCS-A provides a client-server layered architecture and functional best-of-breed software applications to develop a totally integrated component of the Global Command and Control System FoS that integrates the GCCS-Joint picture with the Army Battle Command Systems. The GCCS-A strategic tools for readiness reporting have been modernized and replaced by Defense Readiness Reporting System-Army (DRRS-A), a suite of web based applications for Army Readiness, Force Registration and Force Projection. DRRS-A will implement the Global Force Management Data Initiative (GFM DI) for consumption and use of authoritative, standarized force structure data enabling machine-to-machine integration and transfer of data in the GFM process.

GCCS-Army is a part of the GCCS Family of Systems. As such and responding to Congressional direction (Section 247 of Fiscal Year 2010 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA)), it will form the basis for the evolution of new command and control capabilities within the Department of Defense (DoD). While sustaining and synchronizing currently fielded operations, the Army will modernize and enhance current capabilities to support both the Service and Joint warfighter as a part of a synchronized, orchestrated DoD-wide effort that will transition the current GCCS FoS into a more agile, net-centric, services-oriented environment. These efforts will take advantage of both streamlined processes within the requirements community, such as the "IT Box" and ongoing changes in the information technology acquisition process, as described in Ch 6 of the March 2009 Report of the Defense Science Board (DSB) Task Force on DoD Policies and Procedures for the Acquisition of Information Technology (Section 804 of Fiscal Year 2010 NDAA).

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: GCCS-A Software and System Engineering	0.348	0.264	0.460
Artic	es: 0	0	
Description: Software and System Engineering for Version 4.1, 4.2 and future developmental requirements			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Software and System Engineering for Version 4.1			
FY 2011 Plans:			

Army Page 2 of 9 R-1 Line Item #179 Volume 7 - 247

		DATE: Fel	oruary 2011	
R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0303150A: WWMCCS/Global Command and Control System			C2 SYSTEM	
Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
	Articles:	0.734 0	1.312 0	1.416
uture developmental requirements				
Command and Control Tools	Articles:	10.877 0	9.868 0	20.481
Control Tools for Version 4.1, 4.2 and future develop	mental			
for Version 4.1				
for Version 4.2				
for GCCS-A Version 4.2 and DRRS-A				
	Articles:	0.162 0	0.500 0	0.500
evelopmental requirements				
	PE 0303150A: WWMCCS/Global Command and Control System Quantities in Each) Iture developmental requirements Command and Control Tools Control Tools for Version 4.1, 4.2 and future developed for Version 4.1 for Version 4.2 for GCCS-A Version 4.2 and DRRS-A	PE 0303150A: WWMCCS/Global Command and Control System Articles: Articles: Command and Control Tools Articles: Control Tools for Version 4.1, 4.2 and future developmental for Version 4.1 for Version 4.2 for GCCS-A Version 4.2 and DRRS-A Articles:	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0303150A: WWMCCS/Global Command and Control System Quantities in Each) FY 2010 Articles: Command and Control Tools Control Tools for Version 4.1, 4.2 and future developmental for Version 4.1 for Version 4.2 for GCCS-A Version 4.2 and DRRS-A Articles: 0.162 Articles: 0.162 Articles: 0	PE 0303150A: WWMCCS/Global Command and Control System

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 3 of 9 R-1 Line Item #179

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0303150A: WWMCCS/Global Command	C86: <i>ARM</i>	/ GLOBAL C2 SYSTEM
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	and Control System		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY	2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Test and Evaluation for Version 4.1				
FY 2011 Plans: Test and Evaluation for Version 4.2				
FY 2012 Plans: Test and Evaluation for Version 4.2				
Title: GCCS-A Program Support and Management Arti	cles:	1.562 0	1.080 0	1.080
Description: Support and Management for Version 4.1, 4.2 and future developmental requirements				
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Program Support and Management for Version 4.1				
FY 2011 Plans: Program Support and Management for Version 4.2				
FY 2012 Plans: Program Support and Management for Version 4.2				
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subt	otals	13.683	13.024	23.937

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	<u>Base</u>	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
BA8250: Global Command &	22.992	20.387	18.788		18.788		6.604			Continuing	Continuing
Control System-Army (GCCSA)											

D. Acquisition Strategy

GCCS-A was slated for replacement by the future Department of Defense (DoD) Command and Control (C2) system, the Net Enabled Command Capability (NECC) program. The NECC program was cancelled by the Defense Acquisition Executive (DAE) on 2 November 2009. The GCCS-A will be maintained and upgraded as necessary until a future DoD C2 system is available. The strategy for Defense Readiness Reporting System-Army (DRRS-A) is based on annual software releases integrating Headquarters, Department of the Army (HQDA)(Office of Defense Readiness) directed changes.

In accordance with the Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC) requirements document approved in 2008, entitled GCCS-A Battle Command Essential Capability, software capability will be developed in 2-year increments as capability sets designed to Collaborate, Collapse and Converge Battle Command products. The product

Army Page 4 of 9 R-1 Line Item #179 Volume 7 - 249

	ONOLAGOII ILD	
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0303150A: WWMCCS/Global Command	C86: ARMY GLOBAL C2 SYSTEM
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	and Control System	
development funded under this R-Form is an integral part of the Army		
opportunity for improved interoperability among the systems, to capture		
into warfighter systems. This strategy is designed to reduce the physic	cal footprint, logistics support requirements and i	ncrease operational efficiency.
E. Performance Metrics		
Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification materi	al may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performan	ice Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.
· ·	,	, ,

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 5 of 9 R-1 Line Item #179

Army

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0303150A: WWMCCS/Global Command

and Control System

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

C86: ARMY GLOBAL C2 SYSTEM

Management Services ((\$ in Millio	ons)		FY 2011		FY 2012 Base		FY 2012 OCO		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Program Office Management	Various	PM Battle Command:Fort Monmouth, NJ	9.748	1.080		1.080		-		1.080	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
SBIR	Various	PM Battle Command:Various	0.235	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	9.983	1.080		1.080		-		1.080			

Product Development (\$	Product Development (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011			FY 2012 Base		FY 2012 OCO				
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Software Development (Completed Contracts)	Various	Lockheed Martin Corp (LMC):Springfield, VA	147.652	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Software Development (Current Contract)	C/CPAF	Lockheed Martin Corp (LMC):Springfield, VA	-	8.107		11.800		-		11.800	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
Software Development (Future Contracts)	Various	To be determined:To be determined	-	-		7.409		-		7.409	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
Defense Readiness Reporting System-Army	Various	CACI Accenture:Eatontown, NJ	5.692	1.500		1.000		-		1.000	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Developmental Hardware/ Licensing	Various	Various:Various	0.005	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Technical Management	Various	PM Battle Command:Fort Monmouth, NJ	38.101	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Matrix	Various	CECOM:Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD	5.668	0.261		0.272		-		0.272	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
System Engineering	Various	Various:Various	5.178	0.264		0.460		-		0.460	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
ABCS System Engineering & Integration Efforts	Various	PEO C3T:Fort Monmouth, NJ	1.514	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
GFE	Various	Various:Various	1.464	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Product Studies	Various	SAIC:Eatontown, NJ	2.391	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army DATE: February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY **R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT** 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0303150A: WWMCCS/Global Command C86: ARMY GLOBAL C2 SYSTEM BA 7: Operational Systems Development and Control System FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 **Product Development (\$ in Millions)** FY 2011 oco Base Total **Total Prior** Contract Target Method Performing Years Award Award Award Cost To Value of **Cost Category Item** Cost Date Date **Total Cost** Contract & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Cost Date Cost Complete Various Various:Various 1.766 Continuing Continuina COE Support Continuina 209 431 10 132 20.941 20 941 Subtotal FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Support (\$ in Millions) oco Total FY 2011 Base **Total Prior** Contract Target **Performing** Value of Method Years Award Award Award Cost To **Cost Category Item** & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Complete **Total Cost** Contract FCBS/CSC C/FP Various:Various 2.389 0.000 2.389 Continuing C/FP 0.200 INRI Various:Various 0.000 0.200 Continuina Support Contractors C/FP Various: Various 10.989 1.312 1.416 1.416 Continuina Continuina Continuina Subtotal 13.578 1.312 1.416 1.416 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Test and Evaluation (\$ in Millions) FY 2011 Base oco Total **Total Prior** Target Contract Method Performing Years Award Award Award **Cost To** Value of **Activity & Location** Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Complete **Total Cost** Contract Cost Category Item & Type Cost Cost Government Various Various: Various 4.735 Continuing Continuing Continuing FPG **MIPR** Various: Various 0.786 Continuina Continuing Continuing ATEC/JTIC/CTSF/SEC **MIPR** Various: Various 2.602 0.500 0.500 0.500 Continuing Continuing Continuing 0.500 0.500 Subtotal 8.123 0.500 **Total Prior** Target FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Years **Cost To** Value of Cost FY 2011 Base oco Total Complete **Total Cost** Contract

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED Page 7 of 9

23.937

13.024

241.115

Project Cost Totals

R-1 Line Item #179

23 937

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army **DATE:** February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE **PROJECT** PE 0303150A: WWMCCS/Global Command 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army C86: ARMY GLOBAL C2 SYSTEM BA 7: Operational Systems Development and Control System FY 2010 **FY 2011** FY 2012 FY 2013 FY 2014 FY 2015 FY 2016 2 2 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 2 3 4 1 2 3 1 3 4 Block 4.2 Software Development/Software Fixes

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 8 of 9

R-1 Line Item #179

Volume 7 - 253

Future Developmental Requirements

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0303150A: WWMCCS/Global Command and Control System

PROJECT
C86: ARMY GLOBAL C2 SYSTEM

Schedule Details

	Sta	End		
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Block 4.2 Software Development/Software Fixes	3	2010	3	2012
Future Developmental Requirements	4	2012	3	2016

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicles

DATE: February 2011

Volume 7 - 255

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	262.655	54.300	40.650	-	40.650	15.681	24.420	12.019	19.420	Continuing	Continuing
114: Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (TUAV) (MIP)	62.188	1.672	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	63.860
11A: Advanced Payload Develop & Spt (MIP)	39.591	40.252	15.935	-	15.935	6.180	14.849	7.299	11.855	Continuing	Continuing
11B: TSP DEVELOPMENT (MIP)	19.393	5.336	20.392	-	20.392	5.221	5.375	2.677	4.313	Continuing	Continuing
123: JOINT TECHNOLOGY CENTER SYSTEM INTEGRATION (MIP)	4.389	6.698	4.323	-	4.323	4.280	4.196	2.043	3.252	Continuing	Continuing
D09: EXTENDED RANGE UAV (MIP)	135.136	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
D10: SUAV (MIP)	1.958	0.342	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	2.300

Note

Change Summary Explanation: Funding - FY 2011: Funds realigned to higher priority Army requirements.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Project 114, Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (TUAV) Shadow 200 provides the Army Brigade Commander with dedicated Reconnaissance, Surveillance and Target Acquisition (RSTA), Intelligence, Battle Damage Assessment (BDA) and Force Protection. The Shadow provides the Brigade Commander with critical battlefield intelligence and targeting information in the rapid cycle time required for success at the tactical level. The TUAV Shadow system meets the required operating range of 50 kilometers and remains on station for up to five hours. It consists of four air vehicles (each configured with an EO/IR sensor payload), launcher, ground control, and support equipment including power generation, communications equipment, automated recovery equipment, one system remote video terminals, vehicle mounted shelters, and High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicles with trailer(s). Each system is equipped with one Maintenance Section Multifunctional (MSM) Vehicle and is supported at the division level by a Mobile Maintenance Facility (MMF).

Project 11A, The STARLite Synthetic Aperture Radar/Ground Moving Target Indicator (SAR/GMTI) payload will provide a wide-area search capability with a built-in imaging mode that provides essential all-weather surveillance and

increased situational awareness. The STARLite payload is a principal payload for the Gray Eagle UAV. The Electro Optical Infra Red w/Laser Designator (EO/IR/LD) Common Sensor Payload (CSP) was built at the direction

of the Vice Chief of Staff of the Army for the Gray Eagle system and has potential application to other platforms. The CSP system will provide a day/night capability to collect and display continuous imagery with the

Army Page 1 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicles

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

ability to designate targets of interest for attack by laser guided precision weapons. Additional initiatives will continue to focus on the transition of technologies directly supporting emerging requirements and the

Army's Current and Future Force. This effort has been expanded to include High Definition (HD) Target Location Accuracy (TLA) capability

Project 11B, The Tactical Signals Intelligence (SIGINT) Payload (TSP) is an Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS) mounted SIGINT sensor that detects radio frequency (RF) emitters. TSP, through handoff from the Combat Aviation Brigade, is

capable of providing the Tactical Land Commander with an overwatch and penetrating SIGINT system capable of detecting, identifying, locating, and providing geolocation information on RF emitters throughout the Area of Operations. The TSP is scalable and modular, designed to provide maximum flexibility. TSP will provide near real time actionable intelligence that can immediately be used in the commander's decision cycle. The TSP electronic emitter information will be correlated with data from other systems (e.g. Prophet and Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System (EMARSS), at a Distributed Common Ground System-Army (DCGS-A) node to provide precise targeting information for immediate engagement. TSP maps and aligns with the 2009 Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Cross Cutting study and Force Sizing Assessment with Airborne Precision Geolocation and Tactical SIGINT capabilities. TSP also supports the 2009 Office of the Secretary of Defense Cross-Cutting Study: Six Overarching Axioms for Information Warfare, Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) Force Sizing, VCJCS Update, 25 Apr 09, with SIGINT (Geolocation) and SIGINT (Internals). TSP sensors are critical to providing Reconnaissance, Surveillance, and Target Acquisition (RSTA) information and contributing to the Joint ISR net.

Project 123, Joint Technology Center/System Integration Laboratory (JTC/SIL) is a joint facility that develops, integrates and supports the enhancement of its Multiple Unified Simulation Environment (MUSE) capability for Army systems and operational concepts. The JTC/SIL conducts prototype hardware and software development (i.e., TUAV Tactical Unmanned Control System (TUCS), TUAV Institutional Mission Simulation (IMS) Trainer, TUAV C4I module), modeling and simulation support. The MUSE develops real-time, operator in-the-loop simulations that are capable of tactical Hardware-In-the-Loop (HWIL) interoperability for multiple intelligence systems, that may be integrated with larger simulations in support of Service training and exercises. MUSE provides a realistic operational environment, supporting a wide range of C4I applications. This project funds the management of the JTC/SIL and MUSE enhancements.

Project D09, Production Extended Range Multi-Purpose (ERMP) Unmanned Aircraft system (UAS) will consist of 12 Unmanned Aircraft System each equipped with multi-mission payloads and a Standard Equipment Package (SEP). The threshold payload is an EO/IR/LD sensor. The SEP includes a communications relay package, Identify Friend or Foe (IFF) equipment and Air Traffic Control radios. Associated Ground Support Equipment (GSE) will have One

Army Page 2 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180 Volume 7 - 256

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
1	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicles	

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	202.116	54.300	90.418	-	90.418
Current President's Budget	262.655	54.300	40.650	-	40.650
Total Adjustments	60.539	-	-49.768	-	-49.768
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
Other Adjustments 1	60.539	-	-49.768	-	-49.768

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army											DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development					OMENCLA 4A: <i>Tactical</i>	TURE Unmanned A	PROJECT 114: Tactica (MIP)	Cal Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (TUAV)					
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
114: Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (TUAV) (MIP)	62.188	1.672	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	63.860		
Quantity of RDT&E Articles													

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Army

The Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (TUAV) Shadow 200 provides the Army Brigade Commander with dedicated Reconnaissance, Surveillance and Target Acquisition (RSTA), Intelligence, Battle Damage Assessment (BDA) and Force Protection. The Shadow provides the Brigade Commander with critical battlefield intelligence and targeting information in the rapid cycle time required for success at the tactical level. The TUAV Shadow system air vehicle meets the required operating range of 50 kilometers and remains on station for up to five hours. The TUAV Shadow system consists of four air vehicles (each configured with an EO/IR sensor payload), launcher, ground control and support equipment including: power generation, communications equipment, automated recovery equipment, one system remote video terminals, vehicle mounted shelters, and High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicles with trailer(s). Each system is equipped with one Maintenance Section Multifunctional (MSM) Vehicle and is supported at the division level by a Mobile Maintenance Facility (MMF).

The TUAV has logged over 575,000 flight hours since Jun 01, most of which were flown in support of Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom. Block upgrades are required for continued improvement and interoperability. Common Systems Integration is required to ensure interoperability with other manned and unmanned weapon systems, to include One System Remote Video Transceiver (OSRVT). Additional development and integration is also required to provide greater capabilities and improved operational flexibility to the Brigade Commander. These improvements to the airframe, avionics, payloads, ground control equipment, and support equipment are based on documented requirements and lessons learned from operational units. Only the SIGINT effort with the payloads improvement is new for FY12. Work previously shown under OIF Improvements/Block Upgrades/Capability Improvements in previous budgets is broken out for greater clarity.

Funding shifts to PE 0305233A - RQ-7 UAV MODS, Project RQ7 in FY11.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total
Title: Base: Block Upgrades / Capability Improvements	8.237	1.672	-	-	-
Articles:	0	0			
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Base: OIF Improvements / Block Upgrades / Capability Improvements					
FY 2011 Plans:					

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 4 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicles PROJECT 114: Tactical Unmanned Aerial (MIP)	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army	DATE: February 2011		
		R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE		
BA 7: Operational Systems Development Vehicles (MIP)				al Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (TUAV)
	BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Vehicles	(MIP)	

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Base: OIF Improvements / Block Upgrades / Capability Improvements					
Title: Base: 4th Generation Wireless Exploitation Article	2.400 es: 0	_	-	-	-
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Base: 4th Generation Wireless Exploitation					
Title: Base: Test and Evaluation Article	2.264 es: 0	-	-	-	-
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Base: Test and Evaluation					
Title: Base: Common System Integration (UGCS, Trainers, OSRVT) Article	16.124 es: 0		-	-	-
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Base: Common System Integration (UGCS, Trainers, OSRVT)					
Title: Base: TUAS Heavy Fuel Engine (HFE) Article	1.600 es: 0		-	-	-
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Base: TUAS Heavy Fuel Engine (HFE)					
Title: Base: Program Management Support Article	0.234 es: 0		-	-	-
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 5 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army	DATE: February 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial	114: Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (TUAV)
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Vehicles	(MIP)

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
	1 1 2010	1 1 2011	Dusc		Total
FY 2010 Accomplishments:					
Base: Program Management Support					
Title: Base: Other Government Agencies (OGA)	1.829	_	_	_	_
Articles:	0				
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments:					
Base: Other Government Agencies (OGA)					
Title: OCO; Shadow Encryption Type 2 Interim Encryption System (TIES)	29.500	-	-	-	-
Articles:	0				
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments:					
OCO; Shadow Encryption Type 2 Interim Encryption System (TIES)					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	62.188	1.672	-	-	-

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

		•	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
• (A00018): TUAV Procurement	649.939	602.815	151.464		151.464		116.199	169.042	172.087	0.000	2,022.745
• (BS9738): Initial Spares - TUAV	2.743	2.628								Continuing	Continuing
• PE 0305233A RQ-7: <i>UAV RDTE</i>		7.805	31.940		31.940		22.507	22.687		0.000	108.309

D. Acquisition Strategy

A System Capability Demonstration (SCD) was conducted with four contractors. The results from the SCD in conjunction with proposal evaluations resulted in the competitive down select of a Best Value TUAV system. A successful Milestone II ASARC was conducted 21 Dec 99, and a TUAV LRIP contract was awarded to AAI Corporation 27 Dec 99. In order to accelerate fielding of the TUAV system, a second LRIP for four systems was awarded 30 Mar 01 following a successful OPTEMPO test. In order to maintain accelerated fielding and continue ramp up to full rate production, a third LRIP was awarded in Mar 02. A successful LRIP program led to a MS III decision 25 Sep 02. The full rate production contract was awarded 27 Dec 02. Continued development of the selected TUAV system will be accomplished through a series of modifications and retrofits such as Tactical Common Data Link (TCDL), Communications Relay, Laser Designator, and reliability upgrades.

Army Page 6 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180 Volume 7 - 260

	UNCLASSIFIED	
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicles	PROJECT 114: Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (TUAV
E. Performance Metrics		
Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification	n material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Perform	ance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED Page 7 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial

Vehicles

PROJECT

114: Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (TUAV)

DATE: February 2011

(MIP)

Management Services (\$ in Millions)					2011		2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Program Management Personnel	Various	PM UAS:Huntsville, AL	9.443	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Subtotal 9.443						-		-		-			

Product Development (\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total					
Cost Category Item	Contract Method Performing Item & Type Activity & Location		Method Performing		Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Base: Target Location Error (TLE) / TCDL/JTRS / Laser Designator	Various	AAI Corporation:Hunt Valley, MD	52.200	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing		
Base: OIF Improvements / Block Upgrades / Capability Improvements	Various	AAI Corporation:Hunt Valley, MD	13.918	1.672		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin		
Base: Re-Wing	Various	AAI Corporation / Other Government Agency:Hunt Valley, MD	10.600	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin		
Base: Shadow Flight in NAS	Various	AAI Corporation / Other:Hunt Valley, MD	-	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing		
Base: Common System Integration (UCGS, Trainers, OSRVT)	Various	AAI Corporation / Other Government Agency:Hunt Valley, MD	7.082	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin		
Base: TUAS Heavy Fuel Engine	Various	AAI Corporation / Other:Hunt Valley, MD	-	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing		
Base: LALHAV	Various	AAI Corporation / Other Government Agency:Hunt Valley, MD	2.000	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuin		
Base: Small Sense and Avoid System (SSAASy)	Various	AAI Corporation / Other Government	-	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing		

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 8 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

UNCLASSIFIED Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army DATE: February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY **R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT** 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial 114: Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (TUAV) BA 7: Operational Systems Development Vehicles (MIP) FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 **Product Development (\$ in Millions)** FY 2011 oco Base Total **Total Prior** Contract Target Method Performing Years Award Award Award Cost To Value of **Cost Category Item Activity & Location** Cost Date Cost Cost **Total Cost** Contract & Type Cost Date Date Cost Complete Agency: Hunt Valley, MD OCO: FY10 OCO Shadow Various Various: Various Continuing Continuing Continuing Encryption Base: Fourth Generation AAI Corporation:Hunt Various Continuing Continuing Continuing Wireless Exploitation Valley, MD Subtotal 85.800 1.672 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Support (\$ in Millions) FY 2011 Base oco Total Contract **Total Prior** Target Method Performing Years Award Award Award **Cost To** Value of **Cost Category Item Activity & Location** Cost Cost Cost Cost **Total Cost** & Type Date Date Date Cost Complete Contract Contractor Engineering Various Various 11.117 Continuing Continuing Continuing Contractors: Various Support Government Engineering and AMRDEC & Various 8.008 Continuing Continuing Continuing Logistics Support IMMC:Various Government Engineering Various AMRDEC: Various 14.760 Continuing Continuing Continuing Support - Extended Range Subtotal 33.885 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Test and Evaluation (\$ in Millions) FY 2011 oco Total Base Contract **Total Prior** Target Method Performing Cost To Value of Years Award Award Award **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Cost Date Complete Contract **Cost Category Item** & Type Cost Date Date Cost **Total Cost** Various Rolling Take Off Various 17.815 Continuina Continuina Continuina Activities:Various Development Testing/ TCDL -Various Various 9 9 7 1 Continuing Continuing Continuing Tactical Common Data Link Activities:Various Subtotal 27.786

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 9 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army				DATE: February 2011				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOME	NCLATURE	PROJECT					
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0305204A: <i>Ta</i>	actical Unmanned Aerial	114: Tactio	actical Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (TUAV)				
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Vehicles		(MIP)					
Total Prio	-			Target				

Total Price	r								Target
Years			FY 2012	FY:	2012	FY 2012	Cost To	į l	Value of
Cost	FY	2011	Base	0	CO	Total	Complete	Total Cost	Contract
Project Cost Totals 156.9	4 1.672		-	-		-			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 10 of 41

R-1 Line Item #180

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 A	۱rmy	/																			D	ATI	E: F	ebru	ary	201	1		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development						R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicles								PROJECT 114: Tactica (MIP)				al Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (TUAV,					TUAV)						
		FY	201	0		F	Y 201	11		FY	201	12		FY	2013	<u> </u>		FY	201	4		FY	/ 20′	15	\top		Y 20)16	
	1	2	3	4	1	2	2 3	3 4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	2 3	4		1	2	3	4
Shadow Flight in NAS			,	•					·		·								,	•									
TUAS Heavy Fuel Engine																													
OCO Shadow Encryption																													

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial	114: Tactica	al Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (TUAV)
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Vehicles	(MIP)	

Schedule Details

	St	art	End			
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year		
Shadow Flight in NAS	1	2010	1	2010		
TUAS Heavy Fuel Engine	1	2010	1	2010		
OCO Shadow Encryption	1	2010	3	2010		

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 12 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Volume 7 - 266

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2012 Army							DATE: Febi	ruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop		IOMENCLA 4A: <i>Tactical</i> (PROJECT 11A: Advan	Vanced Payload Develop & Spt (MIP)						
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
11A: Advanced Payload Develop & Spt (MIP)	39.591	40.252	15.935	-	15.935	6.180	14.849	7.299	11.855	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

Note

Not applicable for this item.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This project supports the Army's transformation by developing payloads for brigade combat team, division, and corps Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) and unmanned systems in accordance with Headquarters Department of the Army (HQDA) and Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC) UAV priorities.

The STARLite Synthetic Aperture Radar/Ground Moving Target Indicator (SAR/GMTI) payload will provide a wide-area search capability with a built-in imaging mode that provides essential all-weather surveillance and increased situational awareness. The STARLite payload is a principal payload for the Gray Eagle (Extended Range/Multi-Purpose - ER/MP) UAS.

The Electro Optical Infra Red w/Laser Designator (EO/IR/LD) Common Sensor Payload (CSP) is being developed and built at the direction of the Vice Chief of Staff of the Army for the Gray Eagle (ER/MP) UAS program and has potential application to other platforms. The CSP system will provide a day/night capability to collect and display continuous imagery with the ability to designate targets of interest for attack by laser guided precision weapons. Additional initiatives will continue to focus on the transition of technologies directly supporting emerging requirements and the Army's Current and Future Force. This effort has been expanded to include High Definition (HD) Target Location Accuracy (TLA) capability.

The Tactical Signals Intelligence (SIGINT) Payload (TSP) is a SIGINT sensor, currently under development for the ER/MP UAS that detects radio frequency (RF) emitters. TSP, through handoff from the Combat Aviation Brigade, is capable of providing the Brigade Combat Team (BCT) Land Commander with an over watch and penetrating SIGINT system capable of detecting, identifying, locating, and providing Geolocation information on RF emitters throughout the Area of Operations. The TSP is scalable and modular, designed to provide maximum flexibility for the BCT mission profile. TSP will provide near real time actionable intelligence that can immediately be used in the commander's decision cycle. The TSP electronic emitter information will be correlated with data from other systems (e.g. Prophet and Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System (EMARSS)), at a Distributed Common Ground Station-Army (DCGS-A) node to provide precise targeting information for immediate engagement. TSP maps and aligns with the 2009 Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Cross Cutting study and Force Sizing Assessment with Airborne Precision Geolocation and Tactical SIGINT capabilities. TSP also supports the 2009 Office of the Secretary of Defense Cross-Cutting Study: 6 Overarching Axioms for Information Warfare, Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) Force Sizing, VCJCS Update, 25 Apr 09, with SIGINT (Geolocation) and SIGINT (Internals). TSP sensors are critical to providing coverage ISR / Reconnaissance Surveillance, and Target Acquisition (RSTA) information and contributing to the Joint ISR net. TSP EMD program will be funded through 11B (0305204A) TSP development in FY12 and beyond.

Volume 7 - 267

Army Page 13 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			D	ATE: Febru	ary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PF	ROJECT			
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aeria	<i>l</i> 11.	A: Advance	d Payload [Develop & S	Spt (MIP)
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Vehicles					
FY12 Base development dollars in the amount of \$16 million contin					testing for t	the CSP
High Definition Target Location Accuracy (HD/TLA) upgrade (\$14.3	s million) and final platform integration funding	for STARL	ite (\$1.7 mil	lion).		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Qu	uantities in Each)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
		FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total
Title: Tactical Signals Intelligence (SIGINT) Payload		-	11.000	-	-	-
	Articles:		0			
Description: Tactical Signals Intelligence (SIGINT) Payload						
FY 2011 Plans:						
Tactical Signals Intelligence (SIGINT) Payload						
Title: CSP High Definition Target Location Accuracy (HD/TLA)		26.753	24.577	14.268	-	14.268
3	Articles:	0	0			
Description: CSP High Definition Target Location Accuracy (HD/TL/	A) - Non Recurring Engineering (NRF)					
design, build and test of 8 CSP HD/TLA integration and test assets.	ty real researcing Engineering (rune),					
FY 2010 Accomplishments:						
Initial NRE and build of 8 CSP integration and test articles along with	the procurement of long lead material					
required for the build process.	3					
FY 2011 Plans:						
Begin contractor qualification testing and commence aircraft and group	und station integration.					
FY 2012 Base Plans:						
Complete contractor testing and Government DT testing on surrogate	e aircraft.					
Title: STARLite (SAR/GMTI)		12.838	4.675	1.667	_	1.667
THE STANLES (SAN SWITT)	Articles:	0	0	1.007		1.007
Description: STARLite (SAR/GMTI) - Design, build and test of 3 inte						
Range and reliability (Inc 1 - larger antenna and enhanced reliability)						
(Gray Eagle)	and integration onto the nest platform					
FY 2010 Accomplishments:						
Design, build and test of 3 integration and test systems with increase	ed Range and reliability (Inc 1 - larger					
antenna and enhanced reliability)	_ , , ,					
FY 2011 Plans:						

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 14 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Volume 7 - 268

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army	DATE: February 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial	11A: Advanced Payload Develop & Spt (MIP)
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Vehicles	

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Finalize testing events and integration onto host platform (Gray Eagle)					
FY 2012 Base Plans:					
Final integration onto host platform (Gray Eagle)					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	39.591	40.252	15.935	-	15.935

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
 A00020 - ACFT: MQ-1 PAYLOAD 	72.908	100.413	134.366	10.800	145.166		169.984	221.618	192.816	Continuing	Continuing
- UAS											
 0603774A 131: Night Vision 	8.000									0.000	8.000
Systems Advanced Development											
• 0305204A 11B: Tactical	21.534	5.336	20.475		20.475		5.395	5.568	5.735	Continuing	Continuing
Unmanned Aerial Vehicle											

D. Acquisition Strategy

Army

Common Sensor Payload (CSP) EO/IR/LD is a KPP requirement for the Gray Eagle UAS. The acquisition strategy for the CSP program was based on a full and open competition for the Army. It was briefed and approved at the Army Systems Acquisition Review Council (ASARC) in Dec 2006. A competitive contract was awarded in Nov 07 to Raytheon for the design, build, test and delivery of the Common Sensor Payload. A new requirement was acknowledged adding High Definition Target Location Accuracy (HD/TLA) capability to the CSP system. The approved acquisition strategy for this new requirement is a sole source task order through the competitively awarded Navy Basic Order Agreement (BOA) with Raytheon. In order to develop this new capability, two technologies needed to be matured - HD IR camera 2nd source Diode pump laser supplier. Once sufficiently matured, these technologies can be incorporated into the HD/TLA test assets and the block upgrade program can undergo testing and final integration (FY12). These two parallel baselines (CSP Standard Definition (SD) and CSP HD/TLA) will proceed until the HD/TLA upgrade has been fully tested and a Full Rate Production (FRP) decision can be made. If a FRP decision is approved for HD/TLA, annual system procurement will commence (FY13) and the CSP (SD) system will be replaced by the CSP HD/TLA. In addition to the annual CSP HD/TLA system procurement, an additional 12 CSP HD/TLA systems will be procured each year until all CSP (SD) systems have been replaced. The Gray Eagle UAS Independent Operational Test and Evaluation (IOT&E) event with the CSP (SD) system is planned for 4th Qtr FY11.

STARLite SAR/GMTI is a threshold requirement for the Gray Eagle UAS. The acquisition strategy for STARLite is for five years of production which was based on a full and open competition for the Army. A competitive contract was awarded in April 2008 to Northrop Grumman for the build, test and deliver STARLite systems with the option for improvements (Increment 1 - Increased Range and Reliability) as well as integration and test onto the Gray Eagle platform. The contract option to increase Range and Reliability has been exercised. STARLite plans to support the Gray Eagle UAS Follow-on Operational Test and Evaluation (FOT&E) event planned for 2nd

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 15 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Volume 7 - 269

	UNCLASSIFIED	
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicles	PROJECT 11A: Advanced Payload Develop & Spt (MIP)
Qtr FY12. The final increment of installation funding slipped to FY12 due to support the Gray Eagle FOT&E.	ue to delays with the software development. No	impact to the STARLite program as it still planns
TSP is a Threshold requirement for the MQ-1C Gray Eagle UAS. The Tand FRP) and will be focused on starting with a mature TRL 6+ sensor into the Distributed Common Ground Station-Army (DCGS-A) workstatic Engineering (NRE) will occur in both the EMD and LRIP phases to mee for the EMD program shifted to FY11 due to requirements definition action SOCOM and INSCOM in manned aircraft in theater. TSP EMD program	for integration and test onto the Gray Eagel plat on. The TSP Initial Operational Test and Evalua It the full set of threshold SIGINT requirements a ivities at Army Staff level. Early developmental	form and integration and test of TSP software ation is planned for 4QFY14. Non-Recurring and complete integration and test. Solicitation TSP prototypes are currently fielded in support of
E. Performance Metrics Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification materia	Il may be found in the EV 2010 Army Performan	ce Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010
1 chormance metres used in the preparation of this justification material	in may be found in the FT 2010 Army Fenomials	ce Baaget vasimeation Book, dated May 2010.

Army Page 16 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180 Volume 7 - 270

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial

Vehicles

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

11A: Advanced Payload Develop & Spt (MIP)

Management Services (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011		FY 2 Ba	-		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total				
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Program Mgmt Personnel	Various	PM RUS:Fort Mommouth, NJ - Aberdeen, MD	15.159	3.583		0.871		-		0.871	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
TSP Program Management	SS/FP	PM, Aerial Common Sensors:Fort Monmouth, NJ	-	0.373		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
TSP Matrix Support	SS/FP	CERDEC:Fort Monmouth, NJ	-	0.654		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	15.159	4.610		0.871		-		0.871			

Product Development (\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011		FY 2012 Base		FY 2012 OCO				
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
CSP (EO/IR/LD) NRE, test & retrofit	C/IDIQ	Raytheon:McKinney, TX	42.118	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
CSP (EO/IR/LD) HD/TLA Upgrade NRE, Build and Test	C/BOA	Raytheon:McKinney, TX	-	9.441		4.223		-		4.223	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
STARLite (SAR/GMTI) Increment 1 Enhancement (Range and Reliability Improvements)	C/CPFF	Northrop Grumman:Linthicum, MD	2.923	3.668		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
TSP Training Development	TBD	TBD:TBD	-	0.126		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
TSP Other Licensing and Equipment	TBD	TBD:TBD	-	0.381		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	45.041	13.616		4.223		-		4.223			

Remarks

CSP EO/IR includes \$12.593 million of ARH funding. Contract was terminated and available funds were returned.

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 17 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial

Vehicles

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

11A: Advanced Payload Develop & Spt (MIP)

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2	2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Gray Eagle Integration Support (STARLite and CSP)	MIPR	PM UAS/General Atomics:Huntsville, AL	5.744	8.286		4.191		-		4.191	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Contractor Support	C/IDIQ	Raytheon:McKinney, TX	0.684	-		1.582		-		1.582	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
TSP Engineering Support	SS/FP	Various:Various	0.609	1.908		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	7.037	10.194		5.773		-		5.773			

Test and Evaluation (\$ in Millions)			FY 2	011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total				
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
CSP (EO/IR/LD) Testing	C/IDIQ	Various:McKinney, TX	9.171	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
STARLite (SAR/GMTI) Increment 1 & 2 Testing	C/CPFF	Various:Linthicum, MD	-	2.359		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
CSP (EO/IR/LD) HD/TLA Testing	MIPR	Various:Various	-	1.915		5.068		-		5.068	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
TSP Development Test and OT Prep	SS/FP	Various:Various	-	6.908		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
TSP Continuous Evaluation	SS/FP	ATEC, FT:Belvior, Va	-	0.650		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
	_	Subtotal	9.171	11.832		5.068		-		5.068			

	Total Prior							Target
	Years		FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012	Cost To		Value of
	Cost	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total	Complete	Total Cost	Contract
Project Cost Totals	76 408	40 252	15 935	_	15 935			

Remarks

Army

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 18 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Volume 7 - 272

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2013	2 Army	,																			D.	ATE	: Fel	orua	ry 2	011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation BA 7: Operational Systems Development	n, Arm	У				Р		0520		MEN A: Tad				ned	Aeria	al		1	R OJI A: <i>A</i>			d Pá	ayloa	d De	evel	lop 8	k Spi	t (MIP)
		FY	2010)		FY	2011	1		FY	2012	2		FY 2	2013			FY 2	2014			FY	2015	5	\Box	FY 2	2016	3
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
CSP SD (EO/IR/LD) Milestone C					,					,	•	•		,								•			-			
CSP SD (EO/IR/LD) Production																												
CSP HD/TLA (EO/IR/LD) Milestone B																												
CSP HD/TLA HDIR camera and Laser Technology Maturity																												
CSP HD/TLA (EO/IR/LD) NRE/Build																												
CSP HD/TLA (EO/IR/LD) Testing																												

R-1 Line Item #180 **Volume 7 - 273**

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army	DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial	11A: Advan	nced Payload Develop & Spt (MIP)
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Vehicles		

Schedule Details

	St	art	E	nd
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
CSP SD (EO/IR/LD) Milestone C	1	2010	1	2010
CSP SD (EO/IR/LD) Production	2	2010	2	2013
CSP HD/TLA (EO/IR/LD) Milestone B	2	2010	2	2010
CSP HD/TLA HDIR camera and Laser Technology Maturity	3	2010	1	2012
CSP HD/TLA (EO/IR/LD) NRE/Build	3	2010	2	2012
CSP HD/TLA (EO/IR/LD) Testing	2	2011	1	2013

 UNCLASSIFIED

 Page 20 of 41
 R-1 Line Item #180

 Volume 7 - 274

Army

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2012 Army							DATE: Feb	ruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	TTY			R-1 ITEM N	OMENCLAT	ΓURE	•	PROJECT			
2040: Research, Development, Test		n, Army		PE 0305204	4A: <i>Tactical</i> (Unmanned A	<i>\erial</i>	11B: <i>TSP D</i>	EVELOPME	ENT (MIP)	
BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	ment			Vehicles							
COST (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
COST (\$ III WIIIIOHS)	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
11B: TSP DEVELOPMENT (MIP)	19.393	5.336	20.392	-	20.392	5.221	5.375	2.677	4.313	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Tactical Signals Intelligence (SIGINT) Payload (TSP) is an Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS) mounted SIGINT sensor that detects radio frequency (RF) emitters. TSP, through handoff from the Combat Aviation Brigade, is capable of providing the Tactical Land Commander with an overwatch and penetrating SIGINT system capable of detecting, identifying, locating, and providing geolocation information on RF emitters throughout the Area of Operations. The TSP is scalable and modular, designed to provide maximum flexibility. TSP will provide near real time actionable intelligence that can immediately be used in the commander's decision cycle. The TSP electronic emitter information will be correlated with data from other systems (e.g. Prophet and Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System (EMARSS), at a Distributed Common Ground System-Army (DCGS-A) node to provide precise targeting information for immediate engagement. TSP maps and aligns with the 2009 Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Cross Cutting study and Force Sizing Assessment with Airborne Precision Geolocation and Tactical SIGINT capabilities. The Tactical Signals Intelligence (SIGINT) Payload (TSP) is an Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS) mounted SIGINT sensor that detects radio frequency (RF) emitters. TSP, through handoff from the Combat Aviation Brigade, is

capable of providing the Tactical Land Commander with an overwatch and penetrating SIGINT system capable of detecting, identifying, locating, and providing geolocation information on RF emitters throughout the Area of

Operations. The TSP is scalable and modular, designed to provide maximum flexibility. TSP will provide near real time actionable intelligence that can immediately be used in the commander's decision cycle. The TSP electronic emitter information will be correlated with data from other systems (e.g. Prophet and Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System (EMARSS), at a Distributed Common Ground System-Army (DCGS-A) node to provide precise targeting information for immediate engagement. TSP maps and aligns with the 2009 Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Cross Cutting study and Force Sizing Assessment with Airborne Precision Geolocation and Tactical SIGINT capabilities. TSP also supports the 2009 Office of the Secretary of Defense Cross-Cutting Study: Six Overarching Axioms for Information Warfare, Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) Force Sizing, VCJCS Update, 25 Apr 09, with SIGINT (Geolocation) and SIGINT (Internals). TSP sensors are critical to providing Reconnaissance, Surveillance, and Target Acquisition (RSTA) information and contributing to the Joint ISR net.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	OCO	Total
Title: EMD NRE, Training Development, Other Licensing and Equipment	19.393	5.336	12.013	-	12.013
Articles:	0	0			
Description: EMD NRE, Training Development, Other Licensing and Equipment					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: EMD NRE, Training Development, Other Licensing and Equipment					
FY 2011 Plans:					

Volume 7 - 275

Army Page 21 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial
Vehicles

11B: TSP DEVELOPMENT (MIP)

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Continued EMD NRE, Training Development, Other Licensing and Equipment					
FY 2012 Base Plans: Continued EMD NRE(2), Training Development, Other Licensing and Equipment					
Title: TSP Development Test and OT Prep	-	-	8.379	-	8.379
Description: TSP Test Program					
FY 2012 Base Plans: FY12 Development Test Program					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	19.393	5.336	20.392	-	20.392

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To		
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	<u>000</u>	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost	
• NSA MIP (TSP): NSA MIP (TSP)	0.657	1.171					6.795	6.795		0.000	24.087	
 A00020 Payload UAV: A00020 	14.832	20.000	28.966		28.966		67.318	66.772	51.200	0.000	311.736	
Payload UAV												
 0305204A 11A Tactical SIGINT 		11.000								0.000	11.000	
D 00070014 114 T 11 1												1

Payloa: 0305204A 11A Tactical

SIGINT Payload

D. Acquisition Strategy

TSP is a Threshold requirement for the MQ-1C Gray Eagle UAS. The TSP Program will be based on a full and open competitive solicitation and will be focused on starting with a mature TRL 6+ sensor for integration and test

into the Distributed Common Ground Station-Army (DCGS-A) workstation. The TSP system will be integrated onto the Gray Eagle platform to reach an Initial Operational Test and Evaluation. Non-Recurring Engineering (NRE)

will occur in an EMD phase to meet the full set of threshold SIGINT requirements and complete integration and test. Following EMD, a Milestone C production decision will be sought to procure, build and deliver TSP

systems in support of the Gray Eagle platform. Solicitation for the EMD program shifted to FY11 due to requirements definition activities at Army Staff level. TSP prototypes are currently fielded in support of SOCOM and INSCOM

in manned aircraft in theater.

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 22 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Volume 7 - 276

	UNCLASSIFIED	
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicles	PROJECT 11B: TSP DEVELOPMENT (MIP)
E. Performance Metrics		
Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification	n material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Perform	ance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 23 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Volume 7 - 277

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial

Vehicles

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

11B: TSP DEVELOPMENT (MIP)

Management Services	(\$ in Millio	ons)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Program Management	SS/FP	PM, Aerial Common Sensors, Fort Monmouth, NJ:TBD	2.092	-		1.164		-		1.164	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Matrix Support	Various	CERDEC, Fort Monmouth, NJ:TBD	2.125	-		0.725		-		0.725	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Contract Mods	SS/FP	TBD:TBD	-	-		2.064		-		2.064	0.000	2.064	0.000
		Subtotal	4.217	-		3.953		-		3.953			

Product Development	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	011	FY 2 Ba	2012 ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
TSP EMD NRE	C/CPIF	TBD:TBD	-	-		0.856		-		0.856	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
TSP EMD Manufacturing	C/CPIF	TBD:TBD	-	5.336		1.718		-		1.718	0.000	7.054	0.000
Training Development	Various	TBD:TBD	-	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Program Recision	Various	TBD:TBD	-	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
		Subtotal	-	5.336		2.574		-		2.574			

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Systems Engineering Support	SS/CPIF	Mitre:TBD	1.573	-		5.061		-		5.061	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Engineering Support	Various	Various:TBD	0.440	-		0.425		-		0.425	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	2.013	-		5.486		-		5.486			

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 24 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial

Vehicles

PROJECT

DATE: February 2011

11B: TSP DEVELOPMENT (MIP)

Test and Evaluation (\$	in Millions	3)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Developmental Test and Activities	C/CPIF	Various:TBD	4.139	-		8.379		-		8.379	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Continuous Evaluation	C/FP	ATEC, Ft Belvoir, VA:TBD	0.500	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	4.639	-		8.379		-		8.379			
			Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		Project Cost Totals	10.869	5.336		20.392		-		20.392			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 25 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial
Vehicles

PROJECT
11B: TSP DEVELOPMENT (MIP)

		FY	201	0		F	Y 20′	11		F	Y 20)12			FY 2	201	3		FY	201	4		F	Y 2	2015	5		FY	201	6
	1	2	3	4	1	2	2 3	3 .	4 1	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	,	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Milestone B																														
TSP EMD Award																														
System Integration and Test																														
Operational Assessment																														
Milestone C																														
LRIP																														
Independent Operational Test and Evaluation																														
Full Rate Production Decision																														

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial
Vehicles

Schedule Details

	St	art	E	nd
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Milestone B	2	2011	2	2011
TSP EMD Award	2	2011	2	2011
System Integration and Test	1	2012	4	2012
Operational Assessment	4	2012	4	2012
Milestone C	3	2013	3	2013
LRIP	3	2013	4	2014
Independent Operational Test and Evaluation	3	2014	4	2014
Full Rate Production Decision	2	2015	2	2015

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army								DATE : Febr	:: February 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development				PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial 123: JOIN				PROJECT 123: JOINT INTEGRAT	T TECHNOLOGY CENTER SYSTEM TION (MIP)			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
123: JOINT TECHNOLOGY CENTER SYSTEM INTEGRATION (MIP)	4.389	6.698	4.323	-	4.323	4.280	4.196	2.043	3.252	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Joint Technology Center/System Integration Laboratory (JTC/SIL) is a joint facility that develops, integrates and supports the enhancement of its Multiple Unified Simulation Environment (MUSE) capability for Army systems and operational concepts. The JTC/SIL conducts prototype hardware and software development, the UAS Institutional Mission Simulator (IMS) trainer for the Shadow, Hunter, and ERMP programs, and modeling and simulation support. The MUSE is a real-time, operator in-the-loop simulation that may be integrated with larger simulations in support of Army and Joint training and exercises. The MUSE is also employed as a Mission Rehearsal Tool for ongoing combat operations. This project funds the management of the JTC/SIL and MUSE enhancements.

This system supports the Legacy to Objective transition path of the Transformation Campaign Plan (TCP).

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: Base: Product Development Articles	1.868	3.902 0	3.807	-	3.807
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Base: Product Development					
FY 2011 Plans: Base: Product Development					
FY 2012 Base Plans: Base: Product Development					
Title: Support cost in support of OSD Joint Interoperability Requirements Articles	2.000	2.000 0	-	-	-
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments:					

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 28 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

		DATE: February 2011
R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial	123: <i>JOINT</i>	TECHNOLOGY CENTER SYSTEM
Vehicles	INTEGRAT	ION (MIP)
	PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial PROJECT 123: JOINT

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Support cost in support of OSD Joint Interoperability Requirements						
FY 2011 Plans:						
Support cost in support of OSD Joint Interoperability Requirements						
Title: Base: Management Services		0.521	0.796	0.516	-	0.516
An	ticles:	0	0			
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort						
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Base: Management Services						
FY 2011 Plans: Base: Management Services						
FY 2012 Base Plans: Base: Management Services						
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals	4.389	6.698	4.323	-	4.323

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
• PE 0603261N Navy: <i>PE</i> 0305204N Navy	3.703		3.573		3.573		3.629	3.667	1.689	0.000	19.861
• PE 0305206F Air Force: <i>PE</i> 0305205F Air Force	3.470	4.000	3.235		3.235		3.472	3.373	3.387	Continuing	Continuing

D. Acquisition Strategy

Continued MUSE development will be accomplished through a combination of Government in-house functional directorate support using a variety of existing contract vehicles.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Volume 7 - 283

Army Page 29 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army DATE: February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY **R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT** 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial 123: JOINT TECHNOLOGY CENTER SYSTEM BA 7: Operational Systems Development Vehicles INTEGRATION (MIP) FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Management Services (\$ in Millions) FY 2011 oco Base Total **Total Prior** Contract Target Method Performing Years Award Award Award Cost To Value of **Cost Category Item** & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Complete **Total Cost** Contract AMC/AMCOM/ AMRDEC/SED:AMC/ **MIPR** 22.851 0.516 Continuing Program Management 0.796 0.516 Continuing Continuing AMCOM/ AMRDEC/ SED Subtotal 22.851 0.796 0.516 0.516 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 **Product Development (\$ in Millions)** FY 2011 Base oco Total Contract **Total Prior** Target Method Performing Years Award Award Award Cost To Value of Complete **Cost Category Item** & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost **Total Cost** Contract AMC/AMCOM/ AMRDEC/SED:AMC/ MUSE Development **MIPR** 22.851 3.902 1.807 1.807 Continuina Continuina Continuina AMCOM/AMRDEC/ SED Subtotal 22 851 3.902 1.807 1.807 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Support (\$ in Millions) oco FY 2011 Base Total Contract **Total Prior** Target Award Value of Method Performing Years Award Award Cost To **Cost Category Item** & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Complete **Total Cost** Contract AMC/RDECOM/ Interoperability Support **MIPR** AMRDEC:AMC/ 2.000 2.000 2.000 2.000 Continuina Continuina Continuing RDECOM/ AMRDEC Subtotal 2.000 2.000 2.000 2.000 **Total Prior** Target Years FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 **Cost To** Value of Cost FY 2011 Base oco Total Complete **Total Cost** Contract 6.698 4.323 **Project Cost Totals** 47.702 4.323 Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 30 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army								DATE : Feb	ATE: February 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVE 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Development	st & Evaluation	n, Army			E 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial ehicles				ENDED RANGE UAV (MIP)			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
D09: EXTENDED RANGE UAV (MIP)	135.136	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Army

The production Extended Range Multi-Purpose (ERMP) Unmanned Aircraft system (UAS) will consist of 12 Unmanned Aircraft Systems, each equipped with multi-mission payloads and a Standard Equipment Package (SEP). The threshold payload is an EO/IR/LD sensor. The SEP includes a communications relay package, Identify Friend or Foe (IFF) equipment and Air Traffic Control radios. Associated Ground Support Equipment (GSE) will have One System Ground Control Stations (OSGCS-V2 & V3), Tactical Common Data Links (TCDL) Ground Data Terminals (GDT), Portable GCS(PGCS), Portable GDT (PGDT), and Satellite Communication (SATCOM) Ground Data Terminal. Each system will also have the Automatic Take off and Landing System (ATLS), Tactical Automatic Landing System-Tracking Subsystems (TALS-TS). Each aircraft will have the connectivity, plus space, weight and power to support SATCOM and payloads; and each aircraft will be weaponized.

RDT&E funds continue to resource the Engineering Manufacturing and Development (EMD) phase for ERMP, as well as continuing improvements after EMD. FY12 funding will provide for continued system development and integration, developmental test, and Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOT&E).

Beginning in FY11, ERMP RDT&E funding shifts to PE 0305219A to comply with Section 214 of the FY09 National Defense Authorization Act to submit the ERMP budget in a dedicated Program Element.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total
<i>Title:</i> ERMP EMD System including Electro-Optical / Infrared, Synthetic Aperture Radar, and communications Relay Payloads	41.578 0	-	-	-	-
Articles:					
Description: ERMP EMD System including Electro-Optical / Infrared, Synthetic Aperture Radar, and communications Relay Payloads					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: ERMP EMD System including Electro-Optical / Infrared, Synthetic Aperture Radar, and communications Relay Payloads					
Title: Government Test Support including IOT&E, LUT, Logistics Demonstration Operational Tempo (OPTEMPO)	53.287 0	-	-	-	-

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 31 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army	DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicles	PROJECT D09: EXTE	NDED RANGE UAV (MIP)

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Articles:					
Description: Government Test Support including IOT&E, LUT, Logistics Demonstration Operational Tempo (OPTEMPO)					
FY 2010 Accomplishments:					
Government Test Support including IOT&E, LUT, Logistics Demonstration Operational Tempo (OPTEMPO)					
Title: ERMP System Training and Training Equipment Development	32.798	-	-	-	-
Articles:	0				
Description: ERMP System Training and Training Equipment Development					
FY 2010 Accomplishments:					
ERMP System Training and Training Equipment Development					
Title: ERMP Support including Engineering and Program Management	7.473	_	_	_	_
Articles:	0				
Description: ERMP Support including Engineering and Program Management					
FY 2010 Accomplishments:					
ERMP Support including Engineering and Program Management					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	135.136	-	_	_	-

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost 10		
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	<u>Base</u>	<u>000</u>	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost	
• (A00005): MQ-1 UAV - APA -	439.650	506.310	658.798		658.798		500.334	0.054		0.000	2,781.903	
Base and OCO												
• (A00025): MQ-1 UAV - APA	3.786	14.729								0.000	18.515	

D. Acquisition Strategy

The ERMP Operational Requirement Document (ORD) was approved by the Joint Requirement Oversight Council (JROC) 6 Apr 2005, Milestone B occurred 20 Apr 2005, and the System Development and Demonstration contract was awarded 8 Aug 2005, as a result of a competitive solicitation which included a vendor system capabilities demonstration. Capabilities Production Document was approved 14 Mar 09. To meet the required capability, evolutionary acquisition has been employed

Army Page 32 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army	DATE : February 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial	D09: EXTENDED RANGE UAV (MIP)
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Vehicles	

to implement the incremental approach outlined in the CPD. The ERMP UAS is being matured during the System Development and Demonstration (SDD) phase, which includes the development and integration of key components such as the Tactical Common Data Link (TCDL), Link-16, and integration of Government Furnished Equipment (GFE), payloads, appropriate Common Aviation Ground Support Equipment and the One System GCS. PM JAMS is developing the P+ model of the HELLFIRE missile and participating in the integration and test activities for the entire ERMP system. PM JAMS is budgeting for the procurement of missiles for the fielded systems. PM Night Vision/Reconnaissance, Surveillance, and Target Acquisition (RSTA) under PEO Intelligence and Electronic Warfare Systems (IEWS) develops, manages, and competes in the POM and is responsible for meeting all ERMP costs associated for payloads, payload integration, and payload sustainment. The US Army's Acquisition Objective for ERMP is 35 systems. The Army procurement Objective for ERMP is 13 systems. Field Tests at the Electronic Proving Grounds in Ft Huachuca, AZ, and integration tests at the Central Technical Support Facility in Ft Hood, TX, are examples of the tests planned to reduce risk in the SDD phase. The LRIP will:

- a. Establish an effective and efficient production base for the system required to provide a solid foundation on which to build FRP systems.
- b. Permit an orderly increase in production rate to mitigate risk.

Army

- c. Procure production representative equipment to support test & evaluation.

d. Support Doctrine, Training, Leadership Development, Organization, Materiel, Personnel and Facilities (DTLOMPF) and Tactics, Techniques and Procedures (TTP) development.
e. Provide an opportunity to incorporate lessons learned from the comprehensive test and evaluation program into the production baseline.
E. Performance Metrics
Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED Page 33 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Volume 7 - 287

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial

Vehicles

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

D09: EXTENDED RANGE UAV (MIP)

Management Services	(\$ in Millio	ons)		FY 2	2011		2012 ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Program Management Personnel	MIPR	PM UAS, Redstone:PM UAS, Redstone	7.511	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
	Subtotal 7.51			-		-		-		-			

Product Development (\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011		2012 Ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Development Engineering & Prototype Manufacturing	Various	General Atomics/ ASI:General Atomics/ ASI	338.894	1		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Common System Integration	Various	Various:Various	3.663	-		-		-		-	0.000	3.663	Continuing
Government Furnished Equipment	Various	Various:Various	4.625	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Launcher Software Development	Various	PM JAMS:Various	1.000	-		-		-		-	0.000	1.000	Continuing
Aviation Mission Planning Systems	Various	Other Government Agencies:Various	1.615	-		-		-		-	0.000	1.615	Continuing
Next Generation Ice Protection	Various	AMRDEC:Various	1.920	-		-		-		-	0.000	1.920	Continuing
		Subtotal	351.717	-		-		-		-			

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	2011		2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Contractor Engineering Support	C/FFP	Various Contractors:Various Contractors	9.084	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Government Engineering Support	MIPR		15.487	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 34 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

UNCLASSIFIED Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army DATE: February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY **R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT** PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army D09: EXTENDED RANGE UAV (MIP) Vehicles BA 7: Operational Systems Development FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Support (\$ in Millions) FY 2011 oco Base Total **Total Prior** Contract Target Method Performing Years Award Award Award Cost To Value of Contract **Cost Category Item** & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Complete **Total Cost** AMRDEC and IMMC:AMRDEC and **IMMC ERMP System Training** Ft Huachuca:Ft and Training Equipment **MIPR** Continuing Continuing Continuing Huachuaca Development Subtotal 24.571 -FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Test and Evaluation (\$ in Millions) FY 2011 Base oco Total **Total Prior** Target Contract Method Value of Performing Years Award Award Award Cost To & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Complete **Total Cost** Contract **Cost Category Item** Various Government Continuing Continuing System Test and Evaluation **MIPR** Agencies:Various 5.850 Continuing **Government Agencies** Subtotal 5.850 _ **Total Prior** Target Years FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Cost To Value of Cost FY 2011 oco Total Complete Total Cost Contract Base **Project Cost Totals** 389.649

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 35 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Volume 7 - 289

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial
Vehicles

PROJECT
D09: EXTENDED RANGE UAV (MIP)

		FY	201	0		FY	201′	I		FY	2012	2		FY	201	3		FY 2	2014	1		FY	2015	5		FY 2	016	
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Milestone C							*								•									•	•	•		
Low Rate Initial Production Contract Award																												
Limited User Testing																												
First Unit Equipped																												
Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOT&E)																												
Full Rate Initial Production Contract Award																												
Initial Operating Capability																												
FOT&E																												

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial D09: EXTENDED RANGE UAV (MIP)

BA 7: Operational Systems Development Vehicles

Schedule Details

	St	art	E	nd
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Milestone C	1	2010	1	2010
Low Rate Initial Production Contract Award	1	2010	1	2010
Limited User Testing	2	2010	3	2011
First Unit Equipped	2	2011	2	2011
Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOT&E)	3	2011	3	2011
Full Rate Initial Production Contract Award	2	2012	2	2012
Initial Operating Capability	1	2012	1	2012
FOT&E	2	2012	2	2012

 UNCLASSIFIED

 Army
 Page 37 of 41
 R-1 Line Item #180
 Volume 7 - 291

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	stification: PE	3 2012 Army							DATE : Feb	ruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTI			-	R-1 ITEM N	IOMENCLA	TURE	_	PROJECT	-		
2040: Research, Development, Tes	st & Evaluatio	n, Army		PE 030520	4A: <i>Tactical</i>	Unmanned /	Aerial	D10: SUAV	' (MIP)		
BA 7: Operational Systems Develo	pment			Vehicles							
COST (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
COST (\$ III MIIIIOIIS)	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
D10: SUAV (MIP)	1.958	0.342	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	2.300
Quantity of RDT&F Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Small Unmanned Aircraft System (SUAS), RQ-11B Raven provides ground maneuver battalions and below with situational awareness and enhanced force protection. The Raven B is a man-portable, unmanned aircraft system capable of handling a wide variety of Intelligence, Surveillance & Reconnaissance (ISR) tasks. The Raven B aircraft has a wingspan of 4.5 feet and weighs 4.2 pounds. It is hand-launched and provides aerial observation, day or night, at line-of-sight ranges up to 10 kilometers. The aircraft has an endurance rate of 90 minutes and can deliver color or infrared imagery in real time to the ground control station and remote video terminal. Raven B obtained Milestone C approval on 6 Oct 05, and successfully completed IOT&E June 06. The program obtained Full Rate Production approval 5 Oct 06. A significant system upgrade completed in early FY10 incorporated a Digital Data Link (DDL) which improved operational capability by: incorporating encryption capability allowing for secure data links; increasing the number of channels allowing for more air vehicles to be flown in a smaller area; extending the operational range through communication relay capability; and integration of advanced digital payloads. The first DDL systems were fielded in December 2009.

Funding for this project shifts to PE 0305232A RQ-11 in FY11.

Army

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: Product Improvement Studies and Development Articles:	1.419	-	_	_	-
Description: Product Improvement Studies and Development					
FY 2010 Accomplishments:					
Product Improvement Studies and Development					
Title: Program Management Support	0.381	0.342	-	-	-
Articles:	0	0			
Description: Program Management Support					
FY 2010 Accomplishments:					
Program Management Support					
FY 2011 Plans:					

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 38 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

PROJECT

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial

D10: SUAV (MIP)

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

Vehicles

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)				FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
		FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total
Program Management Support						
Title: Other Government Agencies (OGA)		0.158	-	-	-	-
	Articles:	0				
Description: Other Government Agencies (OGA)						
FY 2010 Accomplishments:						
Other Government Agencies (OGA)						
Accomplishments/Planned Programs	Subtotals	1.958	0.342	-	-	-

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

	•	-	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	<u>Base</u>	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
• PE 0305232A RQ-11: Raven (MIP) (RDT&E,A)		1.941	1.938		1.938		2.884	2.943	2.990	0.000	14.625
• (A00010): RQ-11 (RAVEN)/APA	84.340	37.572	70.762		70.762		9.562	10.933	10.867	0.000	248.035

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 39 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

UNCLASSIFIED Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army DATE: February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE **PROJECT** 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial D10: SUAV (MIP) BA 7: Operational Systems Development Vehicles FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 **Management Services (\$ in Millions)** FY 2011 oco Base Total **Total Prior** Contract Target Method Performing Years Award Award Award Cost To Value of Cost Category Item & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Complete **Total Cost** Contract **Program Management** RO PM UAS:PM UAS 0.675 0.342 Continuing Continuing 0.000 0.342 Subtotal 0.675 0.000 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 **Product Development (\$ in Millions)** oco FY 2011 Base Total **Total Prior** Contract Target Method Years **Award Award** Cost To Value of Performing Award **Cost Category Item** & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Complete **Total Cost** Contract **Product Improvement Studies** AeroVironment:AeroVironment13.281 0.000 Various Continuina Continuina and Development

		Other											
	_	Subtotal	15.281	-		-		-		-			0.000
Support (\$ in Millions)				FY	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Other Government Agencies (OGA)	RO	PM UAS:PM UAS	0.675	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000

AAI Corporation /

Other: AAI Corporation /

Subtotal

2.000

0.675

Various

Test and Evaluation (\$	in Millions	s)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se	FY 2	2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Other Government Agencies (OGA)	RO	PM UAS:PM UAS	0.675	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
		Subtotal	0.675	-		-		-		-			0.000

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 40 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

0.000

0.000

Continuing

Continuing

Shadow Flight in the National

Airspace

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0305204A: Tactical Unmanned Aerial
Vehicles

_										
T	Total Prior									Target
	Years			FY 2012	FY:	2012	FY 2012	Cost To		Value of
	Cost	FY 2	2011	Base	0	co	Total	Complete	Total Cost	Contract
Project Cost Totals	17.306	0.342		-	-		-			0.000

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 41 of 41 R-1 Line Item #180

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0305208A: Distributed Common Ground/Surface Systems

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To	Total Cost
	1 1 2010	1 1 2011	Dase	000	IOtai	1 1 2013	1 1 2017	1 1 2013	1 1 2010	Complete	Total Gost
Total Program Element	191.253	119.202	44.198	-	44.198	39.692	33.470	20.655	20.985	Continuing	Continuing
956: Distributed Common Ground System (DCGS) (MIP)	190.603	118.582	44.198	-	44.198	39.692	33.470	20.655	20.985	Continuing	Continuing
D15: MUSE & TES TADSS (MIP)	0.650	0.620	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	1.270

Note

Change Summary Explanation: FY10 Project 956 Congressional Adds: \$2,000 for Asymmetric Threat Response and Analysis Project (ATRAP) and \$788 for Army/Joint STARS Surveillance and Control Data Link (SCDL). FY12 Project 956 increased \$12,499 to fund Cloud Development.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Distributed Common Ground System-Army (DCGS-A) is the Army's Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) family of systems (FoS) for joint, interagency, allied, coalition, and National data analysis, information sharing, and collaboration. DCGS-A is the ISR component of the modular and future forcesBattle Command System, the Army's component of the Defense Intelligence Information Enterprise (DI2E), and the Army's system for ISR sensor tasking, data processing, data exploitation, data dissemination, of combat information and intelligence. It provides commanders from maneuver company to Army Service Component Command access to the DI2E and National intelligence collection, analysis, and targeting capabilities.

DCGS-A provides a single integrated ground processing system composed of common Commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) and Government off-the-shelf (GOTS) components that are interoperable with National, theater, and tactical sensors, other information sources, Army and joint battle command systems and the DI2E, which includes the DoD DCGS FoS. DCGS-A software is tailored by echelon and is scalable to each units mission. DCGS-A provides commanders and staffs the ability to maintain an accurate and up to date understanding of the operational environment. DCGS-A's contributions to commanders' visualization and situational awareness, rapid planning, and the synchronization of all warfighting functions enable Army units to operate within the enemy's decision cycle. This capability enhances tactical and operational maneuver and the conduct of full spectrum operations across the range of military operations from humanitarian to major combat operations.

DCGS-A core functions are to receive and process space, aerial, ground, and maritime sensor data; to control select Army and joint sensors systems; to synchronize planning and operations; to integrate reconnaissance and surveillance; to fuse theater-wide information; and to direct and distribute relevant and timely threat, weather, and terrain data, information, and intelligence. It is designated a Major Automated Information System (MAIS) program that operates across multiple security levels throughout the DI2E. As enhanced capabilities are developed and tested, annual software releases are integrated into Army Common/commodity hardware and fielded to units IAW the Army Force Generation (ARFORGEN) process.

The DCGS-A configurations range from laptops to systems integrated in tactical shelters and mounted on tactical vehicles to large commodity servers operating in a Cloud Processing Architecture. Main cloud nodes will be placed in data centers strategically located across the globe, while tactical edge cloud nodes will be integrated within select existing equipment currently on units Modified Tables of Organization & Equipment (MTOE) lists. The fundamental intent and tenet of this approach is to reduce forward deployed equipment/footprint by co-locating the advanced analytics capabilities within the DCGS-A baseline with the regional data centers, where the

Army Page 1 of 15 R-1 Line Item #181 Volume 7 - 296

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army	DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0305208A: Distributed Common Ground/Surface Systems
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	

data is stored. This infrastructure consolidation simultaneously reduces processor and communications requirements in tactical units by limiting the number of large data files transported across tactical communications systems. The first DCGS-A cloud node was deployed to Operation ENDURING FREEDOM (OEF) in 1QFY11. The design and deployment strategy of the tactical edge nodes will be finalized in FY11 as well. Following a successful operational assessment and Milestone C Oct 11/Full Deployment Decision in Sep 12, DCGS-A Software Baseline (DSB) 1.0 capability will be deployed across the DCGS-A enterprise.

DCGS-A consolidates and modernizes the tasking, processing, exploitation, and dissemination (TPED) capabilities found in the following programs: Joint Intelligence Operations Capability-Iraq (JIOC-I), All Source Analysis System (ASAS) FoS, Tactical Exploitation System (TES) FoS, Integrated Meteorological System (IMETS) FoS, Digital Topographic Support System (DTSS) FoS, Counterintelligence and Interrogation Operations (CI&I Ops) workstation, Guardrail Common Sensor Intelligence Processing Facility/Guardrail Ground Baseline, Common Ground Station, Prophet Control, and Enhanced Trackwolf processing capabilities. DCGS-A provides these technologically advanced PED capabilities in tailored and scalable mobile and fixed configurations in all combat and combat support units from company to Army Service Component Command, and in select combat service support units brigade and above. The program will also develop software packages that will be embedded into battle command and other select systems to provide required ISR/analytic capabilities. DCGS-A is a key component of the DoD ISR Task Force modernization efforts and a critical Army priority

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	188.465	119.202	31.699	-	31.699
Current President's Budget	191.253	119.202	44.198	-	44.198
Total Adjustments	2.788	-	12.499	-	12.499
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
 Adjustments to Budget Years 	-	-	12.499	-	12.499
Other Adjustments 1	2.788	-	-	=	-

Army Page 2 of 15 R-1 Line Item #181 Volume 7 - 297

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB	3 2012 Army							DATE: Febr	ATE: February 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	est & Evaluation, Army ppment PE 0305208A: Di Surface Systems				BA: Distribute					buted Common Ground System			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
956: Distributed Common Ground System (DCGS) (MIP)	190.603	118.582	44.198	-	44.198	39.692	33.470	20.655	20.985	Continuing	Continuing		
Quantity of RDT&E Articles													

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Distributed Common Ground System-Army (DCGS-A) is the Army's Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) family of systems (FoS) for joint, interagency, allied, coalition, and National data analysis, information sharing, and collaboration. DCGS-A is the ISR component of the modular and future forces, Battle Command System, the Army's component of the Defense Intelligence Information Enterprise (DI2E), and the Army's system for ISR sensor tasking, data processing, data exploitation, data dissemination, of combat information and intelligence. It provides commanders from maneuver company to Army Service Component Command access to the DI2E and National intelligence collection, analysis, and targeting capabilities.

DCGS-A provides a single integrated ground processing system composed of common Commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) and Government off-the-shelf (GOTS) components that are interoperable with National, theater, and tactical sensors, other information sources, Army and joint battle command systems and the DI2E, which includes the DoD DCGS FoS. DCGS-A software is tailored by echelon and is scalable to each unit's mission. DCGS-A provides commanders and staffs the ability to maintain an accurate and up to date understanding of the operational environment. DCGS-A's contributions to commanders visualization and situational awareness, rapid planning, and the synchronization of all warfighting functions enable Army units to operate within the enemy's decision cycle. This capability enhances tactical and operational maneuver and the conduct of full spectrum operations across the range of military operations from humanitarian to major combat operations.

DCGS-A core functions are to receive and process space, aerial, ground, and maritime sensor data; to control select Army and joint sensors systems; to synchronize planning and operations; to integrate reconnaissance and surveillance; to fuse theater-wide information; and to direct and distribute relevant and timely threat, weather, and terrain data, information, and intelligence. It is designated a Major Automated Information System (MAIS) program that operates across multiple security levels throughout the DI2E. As enhanced capabilities are developed and tested, annual software releases are integrated into Army Common/commodity hardware and fielded to units IAW the Army Force Generation (ARFORGEN) process.

The DCGS-A configurations range from laptops to systems integrated in tactical shelters and mounted on tactical vehicles to large commodity servers operating in a Cloud Processing Architecture. Main cloud nodes will be placed in data centers strategically located across the globe, while tactical edge cloud nodes will be integrated within select existing equipment currently on unit's Modified Tables of Organization & Equipment (MTOE) lists. The fundamental intent and tenet of this approach is to reduce forward deployed equipment/footprint by co-locating the advanced analytics capabilities within the DCGS-A baseline with the regional data centers, where the data is stored. This infrastructure consolidation simultaneously reduces processor and communications requirements in tactical units by limiting the number of large data files transported across tactical communications systems. The first DCGS-A cloud node was deployed to Operation ENDURING FREEDOM (OEF) in 1QFY11. The design and deployment strategy of the tactical edge nodes will be finalized in FY11 as well. Following a successful operational assessment and Milestone C Oct 11/Full Deployment Decision in Sep 12, DCGS-A Software Baseline (DSB) 1.0 capability will be deployed across the DCGS-A enterprise.

Army Page 3 of 15 R-1 Line Item #181 Volume 7 - 298

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0305208A: Distributed Common Ground/	956: Distrib	uted Common Ground System
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Surface Systems	(DCGS) (MI	IP)

DCGS-A consolidates and modernizes the tasking, processing, exploitation, and dissemination (TPED) capabilities found in the following programs: Joint Intelligence Operations Capability-Iraq (JIOC-I), All Source Analysis System (ASAS) FoS, Tactical Exploitation System (TES) FoS, Integrated Meteorological System (IMETS) FoS, Digital Topographic Support System (DTSS) FoS, Counterintelligence and Interrogation Operations (CI&I Ops) workstation, Guardrail Common Sensor Intelligence Processing Facility/Guardrail Ground Baseline, Common Ground Station, Prophet Control, and Enhanced Trackwolf processing capabilities. DCGS-A provides these technologically advanced PED capabilities in tailored and scalable mobile and fixed configurations in all combat and combat support units from company to Army Service Component Command, and in select combat service support units brigade and above. The program will also develop software packages that will be embedded into battle command and other select systems to provide required ISR/analytic capabilities. DCGS-A is a key component of the DoD ISR Task Force modernization efforts and a critical Army priority

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: Design and Development of DCGS-A enterprise level net-centric architecture Articles:	123.431 0	102.382 0	3.164	-	3.164
Description: Continue design and development of DCGS-A enterprise level net-centric architecture to include: Development & Integration of DCGS-A Software; Development and Assembly of Competitive Data Package; Limited User Test, Developmental Testing, Mobile Basic Data and Program Management support costs					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continued design and development of DCGS-A enterprise level net-centric architecture to include: Development & Integration of DCGS-A Software; Development and Assembly of Competitive Data Package; Limited User Test, Developmental Testing, Mobile Basic Data and Program Management support costs					
FY 2011 Plans: Continue design and development of DCGS-A enterprise level net-centric architecture to include: Development & Integration of DCGS-A Software; Development and Assembly of Competitive Data Package; Limited User Test, Developmental Testing, Mobile Basic Data and Program Management support costs					
FY 2012 Base Plans: Continue and complete design and development of DCGS-A enterprise level net-centric architecture to include: Development & Integration of DCGS-A Software; Development and Assembly of Competitive Data Package; Limited User Test, Developmental Testing, Mobile Basic Data and Program Management support costs					
Title: Cloud development Articles:	-	13.200 0	21.500	-	21.500
Description: Global Unified Data Environment (Cloud) development - creates near real-time multi-intelligence analytics environment, extends access and reduces analytic response time.		Ů			

UNCLASSIFIED

Army Page 4 of 15 R-1 Line Item #181 Volume 7 - 299

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			D	ATE: Febru	ary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0305208A: Distributed Common Gro Surface Systems	ound/ 9	ROJECT 56: Distribute DCGS) (MIP)		Ground Sy	/stem
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Artic	cle Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
FY 2011 Plans: Global Unified Data Environment (Cloud) development - create environment, extends access and reduces analytic response ti	•					
FY 2012 Base Plans: Global Unified Data Environment (Cloud) development - create environment, extends access and reduces analytic response ti						
Title: Human Terrain Teams	Articles:	-	3.000	-	-	-
Description: Human Terrain Teams - Develop software for the baseline 1.0 release.	MAP-HT system for capabilities above the					
FY 2011 Plans: Human Terrain Teams - Develop software for the MAP-HT sys	tem for capabilities above the baseline 1.0 release					
Title: Software evaluation, integration and test	Articles:	21.60	1 -	-	-	-
Description: Continue to evaluate, integrate and test new soft incorporation into the DCGS-A Software Baseline (DSB).	ware applications and components for					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continue to evaluate, integrate and test new software application DCGS-A Software Baseline (DSB).	ons and components for incorporation into the					
Title: Army and Joint Testing	Articles:	1.60	0 -	17.100	-	17.100
Description: Ongoing Army and Joint interoperability testing a (Empire Challenge)\$1.8M, JITC (\$1.1M), and Operational Test						
FY 2010 Accomplishments:						

UNCLASSIFIED

Army

Page 5 of 15 R-1 Line Item #181

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			D	ATE: Febru	ary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0305208A: Distributed Common Gro Surface Systems	ound/ 95	nd/ 956: Distributed Common Ground System (DCGS) (MIP)				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	e Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	
Ongoing Army and Joint interoperability testing and evaluation to Challenge)\$1.8M, JITC (\$1.1M), and Operational Test (\$14.2M)	include Operational Assessment (Empire						
FY 2012 Base Plans: Ongoing Army and Joint interoperability testing and evaluation to testing.	include Central Test Support Facility (CTSF)						
Title: Migrate Sensor Fusion processes and Current Force syste	2.558	-	-	-	-		
Description: Continue to migrate sensor fusion processes and C sources, geospatial and weather data) into DCGS-A Service Orie development and integration of SIGINT and All Source application Multi-Function Workstation (MFWS). FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continue to migrate sensor fusion processes and Current Force segeospatial and weather data) into DCGS-A Service Oriented Arch development and integration of SIGINT and All Source application Multi-Function Workstation (MFWS).	ented Architecture (SOA) environment. Continue ins and the integration framework for DCGS-A systems capabilities (multi-INT sources, hitecture (SOA) environment. Continue						
Title: Support Costs and Management Services		-	-	2.434	-	2.434	
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort FY 2012 Base Plans: Provide matrix support and PMO efforts							
Title: Standard Sharable Geospatial Foundation Support	Articles:	3.550 0		-	-	-	
Description: Standard Sharable Geospatial Foundation Develop Shared Low Bandwidth Imagery	oment to support Unified Battle Command						
FY 2010 Accomplishments:							

UNCLASSIFIED

Army Page 6 of 15 R-1 Line Item #181

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army				DATE: Febru	ary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	arch, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0305208A: Distributed Common Ground/ 956: Dis					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Qu	antities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Standard Sharable Geospatial Foundation Development to support U Bandwidth Imagery	nified Battle Command Shared Low					
Title: Develop and enhance two-way Battle Command	Articles:	5.66	5 -	-	-	-
Description: Continue to develop and enhance two-way Battle Commontrol (JC2) interoperability. (previously Project D07)	nand to include Joint Command and					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continue to develop and enhance two-way Battle Command to includ interoperability. (previously Project D07)	e Joint Command and Control (JC2)					
Title: Current and Future Force Multi-INT sensor	Articles:	5.37	0 -	-	-	-
Description: Continue to isolate and integrate Current Force Multi-IN Intelligence, Signal Intelligence, Measurement and Signature Intellige Continued planning and analysis of Future Force Multi-INT sensor monetwork. (previously Project D08)	nce) modules into the DCGS-A network.					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continue to isolate and integrate Current Force Multi-INT sensor (Hur Signal Intelligence, Measurement and Signature Intelligence) module: planning and analysis of Future Force Multi-INT sensor modules for ir (previously Project D08)	s into the DCGS-A network. Continued					
Title: HIPPIE	Articles:	1.04	0 -	-	-	-
Description: Continue Heuristic Internet Protocol Packet Inspection B	Engine.					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continue Heuristic Internet Protocol Engine.						
Title: Intelligence Integrated Architecture	Articles:	23.00	0 - 0	-	-	-

UNCLASSIFIED

Army Page 7 of 15 R-1 Line Item #181

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 A	rmy	DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0305208A: Distributed Common Ground/	956: Distributed Common Ground System
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Surface Systems	(DCGS) (MIP)
	·	

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Description: Modify Intelligence Integrated Architecture (I2A) to apply cloud computing technology to operational and tactical DCGS-A architecture.					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Modify Intelligence Integrated Architecture (I2A) to apply cloud computing technology to operational and tactical DCGS-A architecture.					
Title: Asymmetric Threat Response and Anaylsis Project (ATRAP) Articles:	2.000 0	-	-	-	-
Description: Congressional add. Asymmetric Threat Response and Anaylsis Project (ATRAP)					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Asymmetric Threat Response and Anaylsis Project (ATRAP)					
Title: Army/Joint STARS Surveillance and Control Data Link (SCDL) Articles:	0.788 0	-	-	-	-
Description: Congressional Add					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Congressional Add					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	190.603	118.582	44.198	-	44.198

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			<u>FY 2012</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	<u>Base</u>	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
• BZ7316: DCGS-A (MIP)	335.588	334.516	144.548	83.000	227.548		265.032	316.418	437.621	Continuing	Continuing

D. Acquisition Strategy

The Distributed Common Ground System-Army (DCGS-A) program was created in response to the Department of Defense (DoD) Distributed Common Ground/Surface System (DCGS) Mission Area Initial Capabilities Document (MA ICD) dated 13 Aug 2004, which captured the overarching requirements for an Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) Family of Systems (FoS) that will contribute to Joint and combined Warfighter needs. That ICD was updated as the Distributed Common Ground/Surface System (DCG/SS) Enterprise ICD, and approved by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JROC) 27 Feb 2009. The Army requirements were refined in the DCGS-A Capabilities Development Document (CDD), and approved by the JROC 31 Oct 2005. The DCGS-A program is currently

Army Page 8 of 15 R-1 Line Item #181

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0305208A: Distributed Common Ground/	956: Distrib	uted Common Ground System
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Surface Systems	(DCGS) (M	IP)

in the Engineering, Manufacturing and Development (EMD) phase as authorized by the PEO IEW&S ADM dated 6 Apr 2006. DCGS-A was designated as a Major Automated Information System (MAIS) in OSD(AT&L) Memorandum, 29 Mar 2010.

DCGS-A is following an evolutionary acquisition approach to develop and field system capabilities over time to satisfy the requirements of the DCGS-A Capability Development Document (CDD). Following this approach, the first increment was defined and a Capability Production Document (CPD) was created with full consideration of all of the preceding supporting documents and analysis. As part of its initial staffing, a Cost Benefit Analysis was completed in support of the DCGS-A CPD. This analysis projected a significant cost avoidance/savings over the life cycle by not limiting the hardware configuration to a one size fits all unit types design but rather integrating the DCGS-A SW capabilities into common servers and other IT components fielded at that echelon. This approach was included in the CPD and is being added to an updated DCGS-A Acquisition Strategy. The CPD is currently in formal staffing at HQDA. It is anticipated that the JROC approval will be in 4th Quarter FY 11.

The DCGS-A System Engineering Plan (SEP) updated the current development plan and was approved by OSD DASD (C4ISR & IT Acquisition) on 3 Dec 2009. The DCGS-A Acquisition Strategy Report (ASR) was approved by the Defense Acquisition Executive (DAE) on 26 Jun 2010. It is anticipated the DCGS-A Acquisition Program Baseline will be approved as an Acquisition Category (ACAT) IAM in 3rd Quarter FY11 and Army will be instructed to transition the DCGS-A program into the Department's emerging Information Technology streamlined acquisition approach. The DCGS-A program is currently preparing for a milestone C in 1Q12 and an operational test in 2Q12 and on a subsequent FDD decision in 4Q12.

FY12 Funding Execution: Completion of the Operation Test and FDD. It also provides development and evaluation of technology initiatives and continues under competitively awarded contracts. CERDEC/SEC at Ft. Monmouth, NJ continues the DCGS-A Cloud development under a competitive contract.

E. Performance Metrics

Darfarmanaa matrica waad in tha proparatio	n of this instification material may	he found in the EV 2010 Army Derformance	e Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010
Performance metrics used in the brebaratio	n oi inis iusillication material mav	be found in the FY 2010 Anny Performance	e buddel justilication book, dated way zu fu

Army Page 9 of 15 R-1 Line Item #181 Volume 7 - 304

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0305208A: Distributed Common Ground/

Surface Systems

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

956: Distributed Common Ground System

(DCGS) (MIP)

Management Services (\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	FY 2012 FY 2011 Base		FY 2	2012 CO	FY 2012 Total				
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Project Management	Various	PM, DCGS-A:APG, MD	8.175	6.957		2.434		-		2.434	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	8.175	6.957		2.434		-		2.434			
Product Development (\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Design and development of DCGS-A architecture, software baseline and mobile hardware configuration.	Various	Northrop Grumman:Linthicum, Md.	-	74.699		3.164		-		3.164	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
SETA Support to Visualization/Data Sharing, Modeling & Simulation	Various	Booz-Allen,:various	15.225	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
DCGS-A Product Selection and Integration	Various	CERDEC/SEC,:various	17.270	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Metadata Catalog	Various	MITRE,:various	7.135	6.595		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
SIL Software Integration	Various	CERDEC/ RDCOM:various	11.537	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Effects Based Approach to Operations	Various	Battle Labs:Austin, TX	2.600	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Heuristic Internet Protocol Engine	Various	Battle Labs:Austin, TX	2.000	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Blast Risk Analysis and Mitigation Application	Various	Battle Labs:Austin, TX	1.850	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Asymmetric Threat Response and Analysis Project	Various	Battle Labs:Austin, Tx.	4.900	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Beyond Line of Sight (BLOS) Network for MASINT Sensors	Various	Battle Labs:Austin, TX	0.800	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Silver Fox and MANTA	Various	Battle Labs:Austin, TX	2.000	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Human Terrain Teams - Develop software for the MAP-	Various	CERDEC/SEC:various	-	3.000		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 10 of 15 R-1 Line Item #181

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

ATEC:ATEC

TBD:TBD

Empire Challenge:CA.

Various

Various

Various

4.765

2.421

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0305208A: Distributed Common Ground/

Surface Systems

от ^{___}

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

956: Distributed Common Ground System

Continuing

0.000

0.000

14.200

1.800

1.100

(DCGS) (MIP)

	•								<u> </u>				
Product Development	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	011	FY 2 Ba	-	FY 2	2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
HT system for capabilities above the baseline 1.0													
Constant Look Operational Support Environment (CLOSE)	Various	Battle Labs:various	0.800	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Global Unified Data Environment (Cloud) Development	Various	CERDEC/SEC:APG, MD	-	13.200		21.500		-		21.500	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
SCDL	Various	CUBIC:Orlando, Fla.	-	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	0.000
		Subtotal	66.117	97.494		24.664		-		24.664			
Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	011	FY 2 Ba	-	FY 2		FY 2012 Total			
Support (\$ in Millions) Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2 Cost	011 Award Date		-			-	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
	Contract Method		Years		Award	Ва	se Award	00	CO Award	Total			Value of Contract
Cost Category Item Objective Doctrine/TTP	Contract Method & Type	Activity & Location	Years Cost		Award	Ва	se Award	00	CO Award	Total	Complete		Value of Contract
Cost Category Item Objective Doctrine/TTP Development	Contract Method & Type Various	Activity & Location various:various	Years Cost 7.023	Cost -	Award	Ba Cost	se Award	Cost -	CO Award	Total	Complete Continuing	Continuing	Value of Contract
Cost Category Item Objective Doctrine/TTP Development	Contract Method & Type Various Various	Activity & Location various:various CECOM:CECOM Subtotal	Years Cost 7.023 6.574	Cost - 3.591	Award Date	Cost -	Award Date	Cost -	Award Date	Total	Complete Continuing	Continuing	Value of Contract
Cost Category Item Objective Doctrine/TTP Development Matrix Support	Contract Method & Type Various Various	Activity & Location various:various CECOM:CECOM Subtotal	Years Cost 7.023 6.574	Cost - 3.591 3.591	Award Date	Cost FY 2	Award Date	Cost FY 2	Award Date	Total Cost FY 2012	Complete Continuing	Continuing	Value of Contract
Cost Category Item Objective Doctrine/TTP Development Matrix Support Test and Evaluation (\$	Contract Method & Type Various Various in Millions Contract Method	Activity & Location various:various CECOM:CECOM Subtotal Performing	Years Cost 7.023 6.574 13.597 Total Prior Years	Cost - 3.591 3.591 FY 2	Award Date	Cost FY 2 Ba	Award Date 012 se Award	Cost	Award Date	Total Cost FY 2012 Total	Complete Continuing Continuing Cost To	Continuing	Value of Contract Continuing Continuing Target Value of
Cost Category Item Dispective Doctrine/TTP Development Matrix Support Fest and Evaluation (\$ Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type Various Various in Millions Contract Method & Type	Activity & Location various:various CECOM:CECOM Subtotal Performing Activity & Location	Years Cost 7.023 6.574 13.597 Total Prior Years	Cost - 3.591 3.591 FY 2	Award Date	Cost FY 2 Ba Cost	Award Date 012 se Award	Cost	Award Date	Total Cost FY 2012 Total	Continuing Continuing Continuing Cost To Complete Continuing	Continuing Continuing Total Cost	Value of Contract Continuing Continuing Target Value of Contract

UNCLASSIFIED

14.200

1.800

1.100

Page 11 of 15 R-1 Line Item #181

Continuing Continuing

0.000

0.000

1.800

1.100

JITC

DCGS-A

Operational Test support for

Operational Assessment

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army **DATE:** February 2011 R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

PE 0305208A: Distributed Common Ground/ Surface Systems

956: Distributed Common Ground System

(DCGS) (MIP)

est and Evaluation (\$	in Millions	•		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2	2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value o Contrac
		Subtotal	4.765	10.540		17.100		-		17.100			
			Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2		FY 2012 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contra
		Project Cost Totals	92.654	118.582		44.198		-		44.198			

Remarks

Army

xhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 A	4rmy																						D	ATE	: Fe	bri	uary	20	11		
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army							PE (030		8A:	: D	NCL istrib			mor	n Gr	oun	d/	9	56:		trik	T ributed Common Ground System MIP)								
	F	Y 2	2010)		FY	1 20)11			F١	/ 201	2	F	Y 2	2013	3		FY	20	14			FY	201	5		F	Y 2	016	<u> </u>
	1	2	3	4	1	2	2	3	4	1	1	2 3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	(3	4	1	2	3		4 ′	1	2	3	4
Full Deployment Decision					,		,		,																,		,				
Operational Test																															
Developmental Test																															
1Operational Assessment/Operational Test																															
2Developmental Test																														_	
3Operational Assessment/Operational Test																															

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army	DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0305208A: Distributed Common Ground/	956: Distrib	uted Common Ground System
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	(DCGS) (M	IP)	

Schedule Details

	St	art	E	nd
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Full Deployment Decision	2	2012	2	2012
Operational Test	1	2012	1	2012
Developmental Test	4	2012	1	2013
1Operational Assessment/Operational Test	3	2013	3	2013
2Developmental Test	4	2014	1	2015
3Operational Assessment/Operational Test	3	2015	3	2015

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	DATE: February 2011										
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	R-1 ITEM N PE 0305208 Surface Sys	BA: Distribut		PROJECT D15: MUSE	JECT MUSE & TES TADSS (MIP)						
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
D15: MUSE & TES TADSS (MIP)	0.650	0.620	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	1.270
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

funds Training Aids, Devices, Simulators and Simulations (TADSS) for the Tactical Exploitation System (TES).

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	OCO	Total
Title: TADSS	0.650	0.620	-	-	-
Articles:	0	0			
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Providerd Training Aids, Devices, Simulators and Simulations (TADSS)					
FY 2011 Plans: Continue Training Aids, Devices, Simulators and Simulations (TADSS)					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	0.650	0.620	-	-	-

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Army Page 15 of 15 R-1 Line Item #181 Volume 7 - 310

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0305219A: MQ-1 Sky Warrior - Army UAV (MIP)

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	123.156	137.038	-	137.038	66.124	9.847	0.306	19.530	Continuing	Continuing
MQ1: MQ-1 SKY WARRIOR - ARMY UAV (MIP)	-	123.156	137.038	-	137.038	66.124	9.847	0.306	19.530	Continuing	Continuing

Note

Change Summary Explnation: Funding - FY 11 program was transferred to its own stand alone PE. Prior to FY 2011, ERMP RDT&E funding was in PE 0305204A, Project D09.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The production Extended Range Multi-Purpose (ERMP) Unmanned Aircraft system (UAS) will consist of 12 Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS), each equipped with multi-mission payloads and a Standard Equipment Package (SEP). The threshold payload is an EO/IR/LD sensor. The SEP includes a communications relay package, Identify Friend or Foe (IFF) equipment and Air Traffic Control radios. Associated Ground Support Equipment (GSE) will have One System Ground Control Stations (OSGCS-V2 & V3), Tactical Common Data Links (TCDL) Ground Data Terminals (GDT), Portable GCS, (PGCS), Portable GDT?s (PGDT), and a ground Satellite Communication (SATCOM) system. Each system will also have the Automatic Take off and Landing System (ATLS), Tactical Automatic Landing System-Tracking Subsystems (TALS-TS). Each UA will have the connectivity, plus space, weight and power to support SATCOM and payloads; each UA will be weaponized.

RDT&E funds continue to resource the Engineering Manufacturing and Development (EMD) phase for ERMP, as well as continuing improvements after EMD. The FY12 funding will provide for continued system development and integration, developmental test, Limited User Testing (LUT), and Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOT&E).

Prior to FY11, ERMP RDT&E funding was in PE 0305204A, Project D09.

Army Page 1 of 9 R-1 Line Item #182 Volume 7 - 311

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0305219A: MQ-1 Sky Warrior - Army UAV (MIP)	
BA 7: Operational Systems Development		

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	-	123.156	60.725	-	60.725
Current President's Budget	-	123.156	137.038	-	137.038
Total Adjustments	-	-	76.313	-	76.313
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
Congressional Adds		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
Other Adjustments 1	-	-	76.313	-	76.313

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2012 Army							DATE: Febr	uary 2011				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	& Evaluation	n, Army		R-1 ITEM N PE 0305219 (MIP)			Army UAV	SKY WARF	SKY WARRIOR - ARMY UAV					
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost			
MQ1: MQ-1 SKY WARRIOR - ARMY UAV (MIP)	-	123.156	137.038	-	137.038	66.124	9.847	0.306	19.530	Continuing	Continuing			
Quantity of RDT&E Articles														

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Army

The production Extended Range Multi-Purpose (ERMP) Unmanned Aircraft system (UAS) will consist of 12 Unmanned Aircraft Systems, each equipped with multi-mission payloads and a Standard Equipment Package (SEP). The threshold payload is an EO/IR/LD sensor. The SEP includes a communications relay package, Identify Friend or Foe (IFF) equipment and Air Traffic Control radios. Associated Ground Support Equipment (GSE) will have One System Ground Control Stations (OSGCS-V2 & V3), Tactical Common Data Links (TCDL) Ground Data Terminals (GDT), Portable GCS(PGCS), Portable GDT (PGDT), and Satellite Communication (SATCOM) Ground Data Terminal. Each system will also have the Automatic Take off and Landing System (ATLS), Tactical Automatic Landing System-Tracking Subsystems (TALS-TS). Each aircraft will have the connectivity, plus space, weight and power to support SATCOM and payloads; each aircraft will be weaponized.

RDT&E funds continue to resource the Engineering Manufacturing and Development (EMD) phase for ERMP, as well as continuing improvements after EMD. The FY12 funding will provide for continued system development and integration, developmental test, Limited User Testing (LUT), and Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOT&E).

Prior to FY11, ERMP RDT&E funding was in PE 0305204A, Project D09.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: ERMP EMD System including Electro-Optical / Infrared, synthetic Aperture Radar, and communications Relay Payloads	-	61.656	94.231
Articles:		0	
Description: ERMP EMD System including Electro-Optical / Infrared, synthetic Aperture Radar, and communications Relay			
Payloads			
FY 2011 Plans:			
ERMP EMD System including Electro-Optical / Infrared, synthetic Aperture Radar, and communications Relay Payloads			
FY 2012 Plans:			
ERMP EMD System including Electro-Optical / Infrared, synthetic Aperture Radar, and communications Relay Payloads			
Title: Government Test support including IOT&E, LUT, Logistics Demonstration Operational Tempo (OPTEMPO)		20.500	18.165
Articles:		0	
Description: Government Test support including IOT&E, LUT, Logistics Demonstration Operational Tempo (OPTEMPO)			

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 3 of 9 R-1 Line Item #182

Volume 7 - 313

				01102/10	O						
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justi	fication: PB	2012 Army							DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVI 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Developr	& Evaluation,	, Army		R-1 ITEM NO PE 0305219 <i>i</i> (<i>MIP</i>)			rmy UAV	PROJECT MQ1: MQ (MIP)		RRIOR - ARM	Y UAV
B. Accomplishments/Planned Prog	grams (\$ in N	Millions, Art	icle Quanti	ties in Each)				FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
FY 2011 Plans: Government Test support including I	OT&E, LUT,	Logistics De	monstration	n Operational	Tempo (OP	TEMPO)					
FY 2012 Plans: Government Test support including I	OT&E, LUT,	Logistics De	monstration	ı Operational	Tempo (OP	TEMPO)					
Title: ERMP System Training and Tr	aining Equip	ment Develo	pment					Articles:	-	18.900 0	20.764
Description: ERMP System Training	g and Trainin	g Equipment	t Developme	ent							
FY 2011 Plans: ERMP System Training and Training	ı Equipment [Development	t								
FY 2012 Plans: ERMP System Training and Training	ı Equipment [Development	t								
Title: ERMP Support including Engir	neering and F	Program Man	nagement					Articles:	-	22.100 0	3.878
Description: ERMP Support includir	ng Engineerir	ng and Progr	am Manage	ement							
FY 2011 Plans: ERMP Support including Engineering	g and Progra	m Managem	ent								
FY 2012 Plans: ERMP Support including Engineering	g and Progra	m Managem	ent								
				Accon	nplishment	s/Planned P	rograms S	ubtotals	-	123.156	137.038
C. Other Program Funding Summa	ary (\$ in Milli	ons)	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	1
<u>Line Item</u> • MQ-1 UAV - APA (A00005): MQ-1 UAV - APA (A00005) - Base	FY 2010 439.650	FY 2011 506.310	Base 658.798	OCO	<u>Total</u> 658.798	FY 2013	FY 2014 500.334	FY 201		6 Complete	-
and OCO	3.786	14.729								0.000	18.515

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 4 of 9 R-1 Line Item #182

Volume 7 - 314

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0305219A: MQ-1 Sky Warrior - Army UAV
(MIP)

MQ1: MQ-1 SKY WARRIOR - ARMY UAV
(MIP)

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

<u>FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012</u>

<u>Cost To Line Item FY 2010 FY 2011 Base OCO Total FY 2013 FY 2014 FY 2015 FY 2016 Complete Total Cost To Co</u>

• MQ-1 UAV - APA (A00025): MQ-1 UAV - APA (A00025)

D. Acquisition Strategy

The ERMP Operational Requirement Document (ORD) was approved by the Joint Requirement Oversight Council (JROC) 6 Apr 2005, Milestone B occurred 20 Apr 2005, and the System Development and Demonstration contract was awarded 8 Aug 2005, as a result of a competitive solicitation which included a vendor system capabilities demonstration. Capabilities Production Document was approved 14 Mar 09. To meet the required capability, evolutionary acquisition has been employed to implement the incremental approach outlined in the CPD. The ERMP UAS is being matured during the System Development and Demonstration (SDD) phase, which includes the development and integration of key components such as the Tactical Common Data Link (TCDL), Link-16, and integration of Government Furnished Equipment (GFE), payloads, appropriate Common Aviation Ground Support Equipment and the One System GCS. PM JAMS is developing the P+ model of the HELLFIRE missile and participating in the integration and test activities for the entire ERMP system. PM JAMS is budgeting for the procurement of missiles for the fielded systems. PM Night Vision/Reconnaissance, Surveillance, and Target Acquisition (RSTA) under PEO Intelligence and Electronic Warfare Systems (IEWS) develops, manages, and competes in the POM and is responsible for meeting all ERMP costs associated for payloads, payload integration, and payload sustainment. The US Army's Acquisition Objective for ERMP is 35 systems. The Army procurement Objective for ERMP is 13 systems. Field Tests at the Electronic Proving Grounds in Ft Huachuca, AZ, and integration tests at the Central Technical Support Facility in Ft Hood, TX, are examples of the tests planned to reduce risk in the SDD phase. The LRIP will:

- a. Establish an effective and efficient production base for the system required to provide a solid foundation on which to build FRP systems.
- b. Permit an orderly increase in production rate to mitigate risk.
- c. Procure production representative equipment to support test & evaluation.
- d. Support Doctrine, Training, Leadership Development, Organization, Materiel, Personnel and Facilities (DTLOMPF) and Tactics, Techniques and Procedures (TTP) development.
- e. Provide an opportunity to incorporate lessons learned from the comprehensive test and evaluation program into the production baseline.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Army UNCLASSIFIED
Page 5 of 9 R-1 Line Item #182 Volume 7 - 315

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army **DATE:** February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

PE 0305219A: MQ-1 Sky Warrior - Army UAV (MIP)

MQ1: MQ-1 SKY WARRIOR - ARMY UAV (MIP)

Management Services	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Program Management Personnel	RO	PM UAS:PM UAS	-	6.158		0.843		-		0.843	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	-	6.158		0.843		-		0.843			

Product Development (\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Development Engineering & Prototype Manufacturing	Various	General Atomics/ ASI:General Atomics/ ASI	-	88.775		56.426		-		56.426	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Ground Support Equipment	Various	Various:Various	-	-		0.189		-		0.189	0.000	0.189	0.000
		Subtotal	-	88.775		56.615		-		56.615			

Support (\$ in Millions))			FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2	2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Contractor Engineering Support	Various	Various Contractors:Various Contractors	-	,		37.617		-		37.617	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Government Engineering Support	MIPR	AMRDEC and IMMC:AMRDEC and IMMC	-	-		3.034		-		3.034	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
ERMP System Training and Training Equipment Development	MIPR	Ft. Huachuca:Ft. Huachuca	-	18.186		20.764		-		20.764	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	-	18.186		61.415		-		61.415			

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0305219A: MQ-1 Sky Warrior - Army UAV	MQ1: MQ-1	SKY WARRIOR - ARMY UAV
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	(MIP)	(MIP)	

Test and Evaluation (\$	in Millions	3)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
System Test and Evaluation	MIPR	Various Government Agencies:Various Government Agencies	-	10.037		18.165		-		18.165	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	-	10.037		18.165		-		18.165			
			Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba			2012 CO	FY 2012 Total	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		Project Cost Totals	-	123.156		137.038		-		137.038	-		

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED Page 7 of 9

R-1 Line Item #182

Volume 7 - 317

xhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 A	rmy																					D	ATE	<u> </u>	ebrı	Jar	y 20)11		
PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, A A 7: Operational Systems Development	A <i>rm</i> y	/				P		EM 8052							ior -	Arm _.	y Ui	4 <i>V</i>	М			-	SKY	WA	RRI	IOF	₹ - ⊁	4 <i>RN</i>	1Y U	'AV
		FY	2010)		FY	201	1		FY	′ 20	12			FY 2	2013			FY	201	4		FY	20°	15	\neg		FY:	2016	
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	2 3	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	3 4	4	1	2	3	4
Milestone C			·			·							•								,		·							
Low Rate Initial Production Contract Award																														
Limited User Testing																														
First Unit Equipped																														
Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOT&E)																														•
Full Rate Produciton Contract Award																														
Initial Operating Capability																														-
FOT&E I																														

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0305219A: MQ-1 Sky Warrior - Army UAV	MQ1: MQ-1	I SKY WARRIOR - ARMY UAV
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	(MIP)	(MIP)	

Schedule Details

	St	art	E	nd
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Milestone C	1	2010	1	2010
Low Rate Initial Production Contract Award	1	2010	1	2010
Limited User Testing	2	2010	2	2010
First Unit Equipped	2	2011	2	2011
Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOT&E)	4	2011	4	2011
Full Rate Produciton Contract Award	2	2012	2	2012
Initial Operating Capability	1	2012	1	2012
FOT&E I	2	2012	2	2012

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 9 of 9 R-1 Line Item #182

Volume 7 - 319

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-I II EW NOWENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0305232A: RQ-11 Raven

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	1.599	1.938	-	1.938	1.929	2.884	2.943	2.990	Continuing	Continuing
RA7: RQ-11 RAVEN (MIP)	-	1.599	1.938	-	1.938	1.929	2.884	2.943	2.990	Continuing	Continuing

Note

Change Summary Explanation: Funding - FY 11: Program was transferred from PE 375204 to this stand alone PE.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Small Unmanned Aircraft System (SUAS), RQ-11B Raven provides the ground maneuver battalions and below with situational awareness and enhanced force protection. The Raven B is a man-portable, unmanned aircraft system capable of handling a wide variety of Intelligence, Surveillance & Reconnaissance (ISR) tasks. The Raven B aircraft has a wingspan of 4.5 feet and weighs 4.2 pounds. It is hand-launched and provides aerial observation, day or night, at line-of-sight ranges up to 10 kilometers. The aircraft has an endurance rate of 90 minutes and can deliver color or infrared imagery in real time to the ground control station and remote video terminal. Raven B obtained Milestone C approval on 6 Oct 05 and successfully completed IOT&E June 06. The program obtained Full Rate Production authority 5 Oct 06. A significant system upgrade completed in early FY10 incorporated a Digital Data Link (DDL) which improved operational capability by: incorporating encryption capability allowing for secure data links; increasing the number of channels allowing for more air vehicles to be flown in a smaller area; extending the operational range through communication relay capability; and integration of advanced digital payloads. The first DDL systems were fielded in December 2010.

FY12 engineering services efforts will continue to focus on auto-land accuracy, software blocking integration, chemical payload integration, common controller, and ADS-B integration and noise reduction.

Prior to FY11, the funding for this project was in PE 0305204A, Project D10. However, due to an error, \$342 thousand for FY11 remains in PE 0305204A.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	_	1.599	1.943	-	1.943
Current President's Budget	-	1.599	1.938	-	1.938
Total Adjustments	-	-	-0.005	-	-0.005
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
Congressional Adds		-			
Congressional Directed Transfers		-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
Other Adjustments 1	-	-	-0.005	-	-0.005

UNCLASSIFIED

Army Page 1 of 5 R-1 Line Item #183 **Volume 7 - 320**

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification: Pl	3 2012 Army	,				DATE: February 2011				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACT 2040: Research, Development, Te BA 7: Operational Systems Develo	st & Evaluatio			IOMENCLA 2A: RQ-11 R			PROJECT RA7: RQ-11 RAVEN (MIP)				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RA7: RQ-11 RAVEN (MIP)	-	1.599	1.938	-	1.938	1.929	2.884	2.943	2.990	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Small Unmanned Aircraft System (SUAS), RQ-11B Raven provides the ground maneuver battalions and below with situational awareness and enhanced force protection. The RQ-11B is a man-portable unmanned aircraft system capable of handling a wide variety of Intelligence, Surveillance & Reconnaissance (ISR) tasks. The aircraft has a wingspan of 4.5 feet and weights 4.2 pounds. It is hand-launched and provides aerial observation, day or night, at line of sight ranges up to 10 kilometers. The aircraft has an endurance rate of 90 minutes and can deliver color or infrared imagery in real time to the ground control station and remote video stations. The Program obtained Milestone C approval on 6 Oct 05 and successfully completed IOT&E Jun 06. The program obtained Full Rate Production approval on 5 Oct 06. A significant system upgrade completed in early FY2010 incorporated a Digital Data Link (DDL) which improved operational capability by: incorporating an encryption capability enabling secure data links increasing the number of channels allowing for more air vehicles to be flown in a smaller area; extending the operational range through communication relay capability; and integration of advanced digital payloads. The first DDL systems were fielded in December 2009.

Justification:

FY 2012 engineering services efforts will continue to focus on auto-land accuracy, software blocking integration, chemical payload integration, common hand controller, and Automatic Dependant Surveillance Broadcast (ADS-B) integration and noise reduction.

Prior to FY 2011, funding for this project was in PE 0305204A, Proj D10.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Product Improvement Studies and Development	-	1.408	1.403
Articles:		0	
Description: Product Improvement Studies and Development			
FY 2011 Plans: Product Improvement Studies and Development			
FY 2012 Plans: Product Improvement Studies and Development			
Title: Program Management Support	-	0.034	0.378
Articles:		0	

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 2 of 5 R-1 Line Item #183

Volume 7 - 321

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0305232A: RQ-11 Raven	RA7: RQ-11 RAVEN (MIP)
BA 7: Operational Systems Development		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Description: Program Management Support			
FY 2011 Plans: Program Management Support			
FY 2012 Plans: Program Management Support			
Title: Other Government Agencies Artic	es:	0.157 0	0.157
Description: Other Government Agencies			
FY 2011 Plans: Other Government Agencies			
FY 2012 Plans: Other Government Agencies			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subto	als -	1.599	1.938

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	<u>000</u>	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
• PE 0305204A Project D10 (MIP)	1.958	0.342								0.000	2.300
(RDT&: PE 0305204A Project D10											
(MIP) (RDT&E,A)											
• RQ-11 (RAVEN) - A00010:	84.340	37.582	70.762		70.762		9.562	10.933	10.867	0.000	248.045
RQ-11 (RAVEN) - A00010											

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 3 of 5 R-1 Line Item #183

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army DATE: February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY **R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT** 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0305232A: RQ-11 Raven RA7: RQ-11 RAVEN (MIP) BA 7: Operational Systems Development FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Management Services (\$ in Millions) **FY 2011** Base oco Total **Total Prior** Contract Target Method Performing Years Award Award Award Cost To Value of Cost Complete **Cost Category Item Activity & Location** Cost Date Cost Date Date **Total Cost** Contract & Type Cost Cost Program Management RO PM UAS:PM UAS 0.034 0.378 0.378 Continuing Continuing Continuing Personnel Subtotal 0.034 0.378 0.378 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 **Product Development (\$ in Millions)** FY 2011 Base oco Total **Total Prior** Contract **Target** Method Performing Years **Award** Award Award Cost To Value of **Cost Category Item Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Cost Date Cost Complete **Total Cost** Contract & Type Date **Product Improvements** Aero Vironment:Aero Various 1.408 1.403 1.403 Continuing Continuing Continuina Studies and Development Vironment Subtotal 1.408 1.403 1.403 **FY 2012** FY 2012 FY 2012 Support (\$ in Millions) oco FY 2011 Base Total **Total Prior** Target Contract **Cost To** Method Performing Years Award Award Award Value of **Cost Category Item** & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Complete **Total Cost** Contract Continuing Other Government Agencies **MIPR** Various Various 0 157 0 157 0.157 Continuina Continuing Subtotal 0.157 0.157 0.157 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Test and Evaluation (\$ in Millions) FY 2011 Base oco Total Contract **Total Prior** Target Performing Method Years Award Award Award Cost To Value of Cost Category Item & Type **Activity & Location** Cost Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Complete **Total Cost** Contract Reliability Availability and **MIPR** Continuing Various: Various 0.000 0.000 Manintainability (RAM) Test Subtotal 0.000 0.000 **Total Prior Target** FY 2012 Years FY 2012 FY 2012 Cost To Value of Cost FY 2011 Base oco Total Complete **Total Cost** Contract **Project Cost Totals** 1.599 1.938 1.938

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 4 of 5 R-1 Line Item #183

		UNCLASS	SIFIED						
Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 201	12 Army				DATE : February 2011				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Art BA 7: Operational Systems Development	ту		R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0305232A: RQ-11 Raven			/EN (MIP)			
	Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	Cost To Complete Total C	Target Value of Cost Contract		
Remarks									

Page 5 of 5 R-1 Line Item #183

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0305233A: RQ-7 Shadow UAV

DATE: February 2011

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	7.805	31.940	-	31.940	30.828	23.370	22.507	22.687	Continuing	Continuing
RQ7: RQ-7 SHADOW UAV	-	7.805	31.940	-	31.940	30.828	23.370	22.507	22.687	Continuing	Continuing

Note

Not applicable for this item.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (TUAV) Shadow 200 provides the Army Brigade Commander with dedicated Reconnaissance, Surveillance and Target Acquisition (RSTA), Intelligence, Battle Damage Assessment (BDA) and Force Protection. The Shadow provides the Brigade Commander with critical battlefield intelligence and targeting information in the rapid cycle time required for success at the tactical level. The TUAV Shadow system air vehicle meets the required operating range of 50 kilometers and remains on station for up to five hours. The TUAV Shadow system consists of four air vehicles (each configured with an EO/IR sensor payload), launcher and ground control and support equipment including: power generation, communications equipment, automated recovery equipment, one system remote video terminals, vehicle mounted shelters, and High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicles with trailer(s). Each system is equipped with one Maintenance Section Multifunctional (MSM) Vehicle and is supported at the division level by a Mobile Maintenance Facility (MMF).

The TUAV has logged over 524,175 flight hours since Jun 01, most of which were flown in support of Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom. Block upgrades are required for continued improvement and interoperability. Common Systems Integration is required to ensure interoperability with other manned and unmanned weapon systems, to include One System Remote Video Transceiver (OSRVT). Additional development and integration is also required to provide greater capabilities and improved operational flexibility to the Brigade Commander. These improvements to the airframe, avionics, payloads, ground control equipment, and support equipment are based on documented requirements and lessons learned from operational units. Only the SIGINT effort with the payloads improvement is new for FY12. Work previously shown under OIF Improvements/Block Upgrades/Capability Improvements in previous budgets is broken out for greater clarity.

FY12 Base funds will be used for Capability Improvements, specifically: mission computer and multi-band radio development/integration and engine improvements; integration of Synthetic Aperture Radar Ground Moving Target Indicator (SAR GMTI), signals intelligence (SIGINT), and high definition (HD) payloads. Additionally, funds will be for System Engineering/Reliability Solutions (to include improvements to meet emerging national airspace requirements) and test support.

Prior to FY11, funding for this project was in PE 0305204A, Project 114.

Army Page 1 of 10 R-1 Line Item #184 Volume 7 - 325

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0305233A: RQ-7 Shadow UAV

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	-	7.805	50.487	-	50.487
Current President's Budget	-	7.805	31.940	-	31.940
Total Adjustments	-	-	-18.547	-	-18.547
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
Other Adjustments 1	-	-	-18.547	-	-18.547

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification: Pl	3 2012 Army	,							DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development					NOMENCLAT 3A: RQ-7 Sh			PROJECT RQ7: RQ-7 SHADOW UAV				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
RQ7: RQ-7 SHADOW UAV	-	7.805	31.940	-	31.940	30.828	23.370	22.507	22.687	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (TUAV) Shadow 200 provides the Army Brigade Commander with dedicated Reconnaissance, Surveillance and Target Acquisition (RSTA), Intelligence, Battle Damage Assessment (BDA) and Force Protection. The Shadow provides the Brigade Commander with critical battlefield intelligence and targeting information in the rapid cycle time required for success at the tactical level. The TUAV Shadow system air vehicle meets the required operating range of 50 kilometers and remains on station for up to five hours. The TUAV Shadow system consists of four air vehicles (each configured with an EO/IR sensor payload), launcher and ground control and support equipment including: power generation, communications equipment, automated recovery equipment, one system remote video terminals, vehicle mounted shelters, and High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicles with trailer(s). Each system is equipped with one Maintenance Section Multifunctional (MSM) Vehicle and is supported at the division level by a Mobile Maintenance Facility (MMF).

The TUAV has logged over 575,000 flight hours since Jun 01, most of which were flown in support of Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom. Block upgrades are required for continued improvement and interoperability. Common Systems Integration is required to ensure interoperability with other manned and unmanned weapon systems, to include One System Remote Video Transceiver (OSRVT). Additional development and integration is also required to provide greater capabilities and improved operational flexibility to the Brigade Commander. These improvements to the airframe, avionics, payloads, ground control equipment, and support equipment are based on documented requirements and lessons learned from operational units. Only the SIGINT effort with the payloads improvement is new for FY12. Work previously shown under OIF Improvements/Block Upgrades/Capability Improvements in previous budgets is broken out for greater clarity.

FY12 Base funds will be used for Capability Improvements, specifically: mission computer and multi-band radio development/integration and engine improvements; integration of Synthetic Aperture Radar Ground Moving Target Indicator (SAR GMTI), signals intelligence (SIGINT), and high definition (HD) payloads. Additionally, funds will be for System Engineering/Reliability Solutions (to include improvements to meet emerging national airspace requirements) and test support.

Prior to FY11, funding for this project was in PE 0305204A, Proj 114.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	oco	Total
Title: OIF Improvements/Block Upgrades/Capability Improvements	-	3.355	-	-	-
Articles:		0			
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2011 Plans:					

Army Page 3 of 10 R-1 Line Item #184 Volume 7 - 327

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0305233A: RQ-7 Shadow UAV

DATE: February 2011

PROJECT

RQ7: RQ-7 SHADOW UAV

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Funds OIF Improvements/Block Upgrades/Capability Improvements					
Title: System Engineering/Reliability Solutions Articles	-	2.025 0	-	-	-
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2011 Plans:					
Continued System Engineering/Reliability Solutions					
Title: Air Vehicle Improvements	-	-	14.071	-	14.071
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2012 Base Plans: Continued funding for Air Vehicle Improvements					
Title: Payload Improvements	-	-	7.420	-	7.420
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2012 Base Plans: Continues to fund Payload Improvements					
Title: Ground Equipment Improvements	-	-	2.500	-	2.500
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2012 Base Plans: Continues to fund Ground Equipment Improvements					
Title: One System Remote Video Terminal (OSRVT)	-	-	3.914	-	3.914
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort					
FY 2012 Base Plans:					
Continues to fund One System Remote Video Terminal (OSRVT)					
Title: Test and Evaluation Articles	-	1.500 0	1.607	-	1.607

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 4 of 10 R-1 Line Item #184

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justif	ication: PB	2012 Army						D	ATE: Febru	uary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVIT 2040: Research, Development, Test & BA 7: Operational Systems Developm	& Evaluation,	Army		R-1 ITEM NC PE 0305233/		_		PROJECT RQ7: RQ-7 S	HADOW U	4 <i>V</i>	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Prog	rams (\$ in N	lillions, Art	ticle Quantit	ties in Each))		FY 2010	0 FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Description: Funding is provided for	the following	effort									
FY 2011 Plans: Funded Test and Evaluation											
FY 2012 Base Plans: Continues to fund Test and Evaluation	n										
Title: System Engineering/Program N	Management								2.428	-	2.428
Description: Funding is provided for	the following	effort									
FY 2012 Base Plans: Continues the funding for System En	gineering/Pro	ogram Mana	agement								
Title: Program Management Support						Articles:		- 0.475 0	-	-	-
Description: Funding is provided for	the following	effort									
FY 2011 Plans: Funds Program Management Suppo	ort										
Title: Other Government Agencies						Articles:		- 0.450 0	-	-	-
Description: Funding is provided for	the following	effort									
FY 2011 Plans: Funds Other Government Agencies											
			Accomplisi	hments/Plar	ned Progra	ams Subtotals		- 7.805	31.940	-	31.940
C. Other Program Funding Summa	ry (\$ in Millio	ons)									
Line Item	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
• A00018: Shadow MODS (RQ-7)	649.939 2.743	602.815	126.239	94.600	220.839		189.659	300.884	232.198	Continuing	Continuing Continuing

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 5 of 10 R-1 Line Item #184

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0305233A: RQ-7 Shadow UAV RQ7: RQ-7 SHADOW UAV

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
Line Item	FY 2010	FY 2011	<u>Base</u>	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost

• Initial Spares - TUAV: Initial

Spares - TUAV

• 114: *TUAS* 62.188 1.672 0.000 63.860

D. Acquisition Strategy

A System Capability Demonstration (SCD) was conducted with four contractors. The results from the SCD in conjunction with proposal evaluations resulted in the competitive down select of a Best Value TUAS. A successful Milestone II ASARC was conducted 21 Dec 99, and a TUAV LRIP contract was awarded to AAI Corporation 27 Dec 99. In order to accelerate fielding of the TUAS, a second LRIP for four systems was awarded 30 Mar 01 following a successful OPTEMPO test. In order to maintain accelerated fielding and continue ramp up to full rate production, a third LRIP was awarded in Mar 02. A successful LRIP program led to a MS III decision 25 Sep 02. The full rate production contract was awarded 27 Dec 02. Continued development of the TUAS system will be accomplished through a series of modifications and retrofits such as air vehicle improvements, additional payload integration, and ground control equipment improvements. Development/integration of these improved capabilities will be through individual efforts on a sole source cost-plus fixed fee engineering services contract with the Shadow prime contractor.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Army Page 6 of 10 R-1 Line Item #184 Volume 7 - 330

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

SS/CPFF

Various

Various: Various

Various:Various

Base: Payload Improvements

Base: One System Remote

Video Terminal (OSRVT)

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PROJECT

DOT: DO 7 SHADOWIIAV

7.420

3.914

0.000

0.000

7.420

3.914

Volume 7 - 331

0.000

0.000

DATE: February 2011

2040: Research, Develo BA 7: Operational Syste				PE	0305233A:	: RQ-7 Sha	adow UAV		RQ7: <i>I</i>	RQ-7 SHAI	DOW UAV		
Management Services	(\$ in Millio	ens)		FY	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Program Management Personnel	Various	PM UAS,:Redstone Arsenal, AL	-	0.475		1.021		-		1.021	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	-	0.475		1.021		-		1.021			
roduct Development (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Base: OIF Improvements / Block Upgrades / Capability	SS/CPFF	AAI Corporation, MD:AAI Corporation, MD	-	3.355		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Base: System Engineering / Reliability Solutions	SS/CPFF	AAI Corporation, MD:AAI Corporation, MD	-	2.025		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Base: Air Vehicle Improvements	SS/CPFF	AAI Corporation, MD:AAI Corporation, MD	-	-		14.071		-		14.071	0.000	14.071	0.000
Base: Ground Equipment Improvements	SS/CPFF	AAI Corporation, MD:AAI Corporation, MD	-	-		2.500		-		2.500	0.000	2.500	0.000

	Subtotal -		5.380		27.905		-		27.905				
Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	2011		2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Contractor Engineering Support	Various	Various:Various	-	0.300		0.943		-		0.943	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing

7.420

3.914

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0305233A: RQ-7 Shadow UAV

PROJECT

RQ7: RQ-7 SHADOW UAV

DATE: February 2011

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY 2	011		2012 se		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Government Engineering and Logistics Support	Various	AMRDEC & IMMC,:Redstone Arsenal, AL	-	0.150		0.464		-		0.464	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	-	0.450		1.407		-		1.407			

Test and Evaluation (\$	in Millions	3)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2	-	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Development Testing	Various	PM UAS,:Redstone Arsenal, AL	-	1.500		1.607		-		1.607	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	-	1.500		1.607		-		1.607			

	Total Prior										Target
	Years			FY:	2012	FY 2	2012	FY 2012	Cost To		Value of
	Cost	FY 2	FY 2011		ise	00	co	Total	Complete	Total Cost	Contract
Project Cost Totals	-	7.805		31.940		-		31.940			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 8 of 10 R-1 Line Item #184

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army DATE: February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0305233A: RQ-7 Shadow UAV RQ7: RQ-7 SHADOW UAV BA 7: Operational Systems Development

		FY 2010				FY 2	2011	l		FY 2	2012	2		FY 2	2013	}		FY 2	2014			FY 2	2015	5		FY 2	016
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3 4
Air Vehicle Improvements																											
Payload Improvements																											
Ground Equipment Improvements																											

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army			DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0305233A: RQ-7 Shadow UAV	RQ7: RQ-7	SHADOW UAV
BA 7: Operational Systems Development			

Schedule Details

	St	art	E	nd
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Air Vehicle Improvements	4	2011	3	2016
Payload Improvements	4	2011	3	2016
Ground Equipment Improvements	4	2011	3	2016

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 10 of 10 R-1 Line Item #184

Volume 7 - 334

Army

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0307207A: Aerial Common Sensor (ACS)

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	115.432	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	115.432
024: AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (MIP)	115.432	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	115.432

Note

Change Summary Explanation: FY 2011 and FY 2012: OSD directed that this MIP program be moved to its own separate program element, 0605626A.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Aerial Common Sensor program has ben restructured and renamed the Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System (EMARSS). EMARSS is the Army's next generation C-12 based, direct support, manned airborne intelligence collection, processing, and targeting support system. EMARSS provides a persistent capability to detect, locate, classify/identify, and track surface targets with a high degree of timeliness and accuracy. EMARSS aircraft will be assigned to the U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command?s (INSCOM) Aerial Exploitation Battalions (AEB). EMARSS is an improvement over the existing MARSS QRC in that it hosts an on board DCGS-A capability, improved satellite communications, and improved aircraft performance.

EMARSS will consist of a commercial derivative aircraft equipped with an Electro-optical/Infrared (EO/IR) Full Motion Video (FMV) sensor, a Communications Intelligence (COMINT) collection system, an aerial precision geolocation (APG) system, tactical line-of-site (LOS) and beyond line-of-site (BLOS) communications suites, two Distributed Common Ground System? Army (DCGS-A) enabled operator workstations and a self-protection suite.

EMARSS will operate as a single platform in direct support of tactical missions. EMARSS, working with and incorporating elements of the DCGS-A, will provide efficient response to Combat Forces Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance (ISR) tasking with centralized Processing, Exploitation & Dissemination (PED) of ISR products while simultaneously transmitting critical FMV and other intelligence products to engaged tactical forces.

The National Security Agency's Military Intelligence Program (MIP) provides funding to support enhanced SIGINT capabilities.

There is no FY12 funding request for EMARSS in this funding line. That request is found in PE 0605626A, project AC5, Aerial Common Sensor SDD.

Army Page 1 of 8 R-1 Line Item #185 Volume 7 - 335

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0307207A: Aerial Common Sensor (ACS)	

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	115.430	_	-	-	-
Current President's Budget	115.432	-	-	-	-
Total Adjustments	0.002	-	-	-	-
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
Other Adjustments 1	0.002	-	-	-	-

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2012 Army	,						DATE : Feb	ruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Test BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	t & Evaluation	n, Army			NOMENCLA 7A: Aerial C	TURE ommon Sen	sor (ACS)	PROJECT 024: AERIA	AL COMMON	I SENSOR (MIP)
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
024: AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (MIP)	115.432	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	115.432
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Aerial Common Sensor program has ben restructured and renamed the Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System (EMARSS). EMARSS is the Army's next generation C-12 based, direct support, manned airborne intelligence collection, processing, and targeting support system. EMARSS provides a persistent capability to detect, locate, classify/identify, and track surface targets with a high degree of timeliness and accuracy. EMARSS aircraft will be assigned to the U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command?s (INSCOM) Aerial Exploitation Battalions (AEB). EMARSS is an improvement over the existing MARSS QRC in that it hosts an on board DCGS-A capability, improved satellite communications, and improved aircraft performance.

EMARSS will consist of a commercial derivative aircraft equipped with an Electro-optical/Infrared (EO/IR) Full Motion Video (FMV) sensor, a Communications Intelligence (COMINT) collection system, an aerial precision geolocation (APG) system, tactical line-of-site (LOS) and beyond line-of-site (BLOS) communications suites, two Distributed Common Ground System? Army (DCGS-A) enabled operator workstations and a self-protection suite.

EMARSS will operate as a single platform in direct support of tactical missions. EMARSS, working with and incorporating elements of the DCGS-A, will provide efficient response to Combat Forces Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance (ISR) tasking with centralized Processing, Exploitation & Dissemination (PED) of ISR products while simultaneously transmitting critical FMV and other intelligence products to engaged tactical forces.

The National Security Agency's Military Intelligence Program (MIP) provides funding to support enhanced SIGINT capabilities.

There is no FY12 funding request for EMARSS in this funding line. That request is found in PE 0605626A, project AC5, Aerial Common Sensor SDD.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: EMARSS DEVELOPMENT		100.695	-	-
	Articles:	0		
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort				
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Start EMD contract, purchases 4 EMD aircraft, purchase GFE and technical support, DCGS-A on board processing.				
Title: SUPPORT SERVICES		14.737	-	-
	Articles:	0		

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 3 of 8 R-1 Line Item #185

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army **DATE:** February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY **R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE**

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army PE 0307207A: Aerial Common Sensor (ACS) 024: AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (MIP)

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

PROJECT

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Supports Material Development Decision (MDD), EMD Source Selection activities, test support, Program Management Office (PMO)			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	115.432	_	_

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
Line Item	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	<u>000</u>	<u>Total</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Complete	Total Cost
• EMARSS: <i>EMARSS</i>		211.500	31.544		31.544		30.349	28.934	28.853	Continuing	Continuing
• ACS NSA MIP: ACS NSA MIP	2.022	1.350	10.634		10.634		7.395	7.395		Continuing	Continuing
• GRCS NSA MIP: GRCS NSA	2.780	0.685	5.246		5.246		3.601	3.601		Continuing	Continuing
MIP											
Aircraft Mods: Aircraft Mods	0.074									0.000	0.074

D. Acquisition Strategy

The Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System (EMARSS) is a program of record based on an Army G-3/5/7 Directed Requirement (DR) signed 11 December 2009. The decision to pursue EMARSS is a direct result of relooking the Army's approach to the Airborne Intelligence Surveillance and Reconnaissance (ISR) construct and applying the lessons learned from current overseas operations. The Army Material Development Decision (MDD) review was completed in 2Q FY10. The program entered the acquisition process in the Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) phase with 4Q10 contract award. This award was competitively awarded to a single contractor. An option for LRIP is anticipated to be part of this effort. MS C is scheduled for 4QFY12 and FRP in 3QFY13.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

UNCLASSIFIED Volume 7 - 338 Page 4 of 8 R-1 Line Item #185 Army

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PE 0307207A: Aerial Common Sensor (ACS)

PROJECT

024: AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (MIP)

DATE: February 2011

			_								1		
Management Services	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	-	FY 2		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
PMO Staff/travel/O/H expenses	Various	PM, AC Sensors::Aberdeen, MD	2.399	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Program SETA Support	Various	CACI,BAH, USFalcon, LM::NJ/ DC	4.500	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
SETA Mgmt Support	Various	Mitre::Various	1.400	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Matrix Support	Various	Multiple::Ft. Monmouth, NJ	2.400	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Gov't Matrix Support	Various	PMFW, AMRDEC::Redstone, AL	2.600			-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	13.299	-		-		-		-			
			Г					=>/.			1		
Product Development	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 2	012 O	FY 2012 Total			
·	Contract Method	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2	2011 Award Date						Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Cost Category Item EMARSS EMD	Contract	Performing	Years		Award	Ва	se Award	00	O Award	Total		Total Cost Continuing	Value of
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Years Cost	Cost	Award	Ba Cost	se Award	Cost	O Award	Total	Complete		Value of Contract
Cost Category Item EMARSS EMD Vortex Data Links/PALS/	Contract Method & Type Various	Performing Activity & Location TBD:TBD L3COMM,:Warner	Years Cost 88.101	Cost -	Award	Ba Cost	se Award	Cost	O Award	Total	Complete Continuing	Continuing	Value of Contract Continuing
Cost Category Item EMARSS EMD Vortex Data Links/PALS/ DBOS Other GFE/COMSEC	Contract Method & Type Various Various	Performing Activity & Location TBD:TBD L3COMM,:Warner Robins AFB	Years Cost 88.101 5.890	Cost - -	Award	Cost -	se Award	Cost	O Award	Total	Complete Continuing Continuing Continuing	Continuing Continuing	Value of Contract Continuing Continuing
Cost Category Item EMARSS EMD Vortex Data Links/PALS/ DBOS Other GFE/COMSEC Equipment Airborne Precision Geo-	Contract Method & Type Various Various	Performing Activity & Location TBD:TBD L3COMM,:Warner Robins AFB TBD:TBD	Years Cost 88.101 5.890 0.870	Cost - -	Award	Cost -	se Award	Cost	O Award	Total	Complete Continuing Continuing Continuing	Continuing Continuing Continuing	Value of Contract Continuing Continuing
Cost Category Item EMARSS EMD Vortex Data Links/PALS/ DBOS Other GFE/COMSEC Equipment Airborne Precision Geo-	Contract Method & Type Various Various Various Various	Performing Activity & Location TBD:TBD L3COMM,:Warner Robins AFB TBD:TBD NSA:Washington	Years Cost 88.101 5.890 0.870 1.270	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Total Cost	Complete Continuing Continuing Continuing	Continuing Continuing Continuing	Value of Contract Continuing Continuing
Cost Category Item EMARSS EMD Vortex Data Links/PALS/ DBOS Other GFE/COMSEC Equipment Airborne Precision Geo- Location (APG) System	Contract Method & Type Various Various Various Various	Performing Activity & Location TBD:TBD L3COMM,:Warner Robins AFB TBD:TBD NSA:Washington	Years Cost 88.101 5.890 0.870 1.270	Cost	Award Date	Cost FY 2	Award Date	Cost FY 2	Award Date	Total Cost FY 2012	Complete Continuing Continuing Continuing	Continuing Continuing Continuing	Value of Contract Continuing Continuing

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2012 Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0307207A: Aerial Common Sensor (ACS)

PROJECT
024: AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (MIP)

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

Support (\$ in Millions)				FY	2011		2012 ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		Subtotal	5.100	_		_		_		_			

Test and Evaluation (\$	in Millions	s)		FY:	2011		2012 ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Test Support	Various	Gov't/various:Various	0.900	-		-		-		-	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	0.900	-		-		-		-			
			Total Prior Years Cost	FY	2011		2012 ise		2012 CO	FY 2012 Total	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		Project Cost Totals	115.430	-		-		-		-			

Remarks

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 6 of 8 R-1 Line Item #185

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0307207A: Aerial Common Sensor (ACS)

PROJECT
024: AERIA

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

024: AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (MIP)

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

Army

		FY 2010		FY 2010 FY 2011			FY 2012 FY 2013				FY	201	4	FY 2015			FY 2016											
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Material Development Decision (MDD)					,	,			•	•			•					,		•		,						
Contract Award IPR																												
Engineering Manufacturing & Development																												
SRR/SFR																												
System Design Review																												
CT/DT																												
DT/OT & LUT																												
MS C																												
LRIP																												
IOT&E																												
Full Rate Production																												
Production																												

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Army

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army
BA 7: Operational Systems Development

DATE: February 2011

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0307207A: Aerial Common Sensor (ACS)
024: AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (MIP)

Schedule Details

	St	tart	En	nd
Events	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Material Development Decision (MDD)	1	2010	1	2010
Contract Award IPR	2	2010	2	2010
Engineering Manufacturing & Development	2	2010	3	2011
SRR/SFR	3	2010	3	2010
System Design Review	1	2011	1	2011
CT/DT	1	2011	2	2011
DT/OT & LUT	2	2011	3	2011
MS C	3	2011	3	2011
LRIP	3	2011	2	2012
IOT&E	1	2012	1	2012
Full Rate Production	2	2012	2	2012
Production	2	2012	3	2015

R-1 Line Item #185

Army

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army

DATE: February 2011

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army

PE 0708045A: End Item Industrial Preparedness Activities

BA 7: Operational Systems Development

COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	106.259	61.098	59.297	-	59.297	70.390	75.135	90.745	74.527	Continuing	Continuing
E25: MFG SCIENCE & TECH	65.926	61.098	59.297	-	59.297	70.390	75.135	90.745	74.527	Continuing	Continuing
EA2: MANTECH INITIATIVES (CA)	40.333	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	40.333

Note

FY12 funding realigned to higher priority efforts.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program element (PE) demonstrates manufacturing processes that enable producibility and affordability of emerging and enabling technologies. Initiatives within the PE result in cost savings and reduced risk of transitioning military-unique manufacturing processes into production. This PE also fosters the transfer of new/improved manufacturing technologies to the industrial base, including manufacturing efforts that have potential for high payoff across the spectrum of Army systems and/or significant impact on national manufacturing issues (project E25). Major investment areas include Aviation Systems, Armor and Survivability, Sensors, Electronics and Power Systems, Precision Munitions and Armaments, and Flexible Displays. Project EA2 funds congressional special interest items.

Work in this PE is related to, and fully coordinated with, PE 0603710A (Night Vision Advanced Technology), PE 0602303A (Missile Technology), PE 0602105A (Materials Technology), PE 0602618A (Ballistics Technology), PE 0602601A (Combat Vehicle and Automotive Technology), and PE 0603005A (Combat Vehicle and Automotive Advanced Technology) and PE 0602705A (Electronics and Electronic Devices).

The cited work is consistent with the Director, Defense Research and Engineering Strategic Plan, the Army Modernization Strategy, and the Army Science and Technology Master Plan.

Work in this PE is performed by the Army Research, Development, and Engineering Command (RDECOM) and efforts are executed by the Army Research Laboratory (ARL) and appropriate Army Research, Development, and Engineering Centers (RDECs).

Army Page 1 of 11 R-1 Line Item #187 Volume 7 - 343

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Army		DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0708045A: End Item Industrial Preparedness Activities	
BA 7: Operational Systems Development		

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	102.867	61.098	74.193	-	74.193
Current President's Budget	106.259	61.098	59.297	-	59.297
Total Adjustments	3.392	-	-14.896	-	-14.896
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
Reprogrammings	5.575	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-2.183	-			
 Adjustments to Budget Years 	-	-	-14.896	-	-14.896

Exhibit R-2A, RD1&E Project Just	tification: PE	3 2012 Army					DATE: February 2011				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 2040: Research, Development, Tes BA 7: Operational Systems Develop	R-1 ITEM N PE 0708045 Preparedne		Industrial		PROJECT E25: MFG SCIENCE & TECH						
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
E25: MFG SCIENCE & TECH	65.926	61.098	59.297	-	59.297	70.390	75.135	90.745	74.527	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This project develops and demonstrates advanced manufacturing processes, equipment, and systems that enhance the quality and/or quantity of products, while achieving reductions in cost and/or transfer of improved manufacturing technologies to the industrial base. Efforts within this project have potential for high payoff across the spectrum of Army weapon systems, and significant positive impact on national manufacturing issues and the US industrial base. Current investment areas include: Aviation, Armor and Survivability, Sensors, Electronics and Power Systems, Precision Munitions and Armaments, and Display Technology.

The cited work is consistent with the Director, Defense Research and Engineering Strategic Plan, the Army Modernization Strategy, and the Army Science and Technology Master Plan.

Work in this project is performed by the Army Research, Development, and Engineering Command (RDECOM) and efforts are executed by the Army Research Laboratory (ARL) and appropriate Army Research, Development, and Engineering Centers (RDECs).

The cited work is consistent with the Director, Defense Research and Engineering Strategic Plan, the Army Modernization Strategy, and the Army Science and Technology Master Plan.

Work in this project is performed by the Army Research, Development, and Engineering Command (RDECOM) and efforts are executed by the Army Research Laboratory (ARL) and appropriate Army Research, Development, and Engineering Centers (RDECs).

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Aviation Systems	11.898	5.000	11.579
Articles:	0	0	
Description: Aviation Systems domain consists of manned and unmanned platforms, power systems, missile systems, maintenance and support systems and modeling and simulation systems.			
FY 2010 Accomplishments:			
Demonstrated lamination production compatibility for embedding sensors with airframe fabrication on the aft fuselage, composite vertical stabilizer, and composite tail boom for the Apache Block II. Demonstrated integrated materials, design, and manufacturing			

Volume 7 - 345

Army Page 3 of 11 R-1 Line Item #187

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Fe	bruary 2011				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0708045A: End Item Industrial Preparedness Activities	PROJEC E25: MF	ROJECT 25: MFG SCIENCE & TECH					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	Quantities in Each <u>)</u>		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012			
process controls for producing and integrating low cost cabin floor blade assembly production line for the anti-corrosion coating proce of shroud components for T-700 helicopter engines. Developed neefficiency for unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) heavy fuel engines.	esses. Evaluated new ceramic technologies for fal	orication						
FY 2011 Plans: Automation of Blade Erosion Coating: Increase manufacturing yield that increase blade life and quality over current manual coating processes enabling application improve thrust, fuel consumption, and reliability compared to current solutions for structural components and transition to program of recommendations.	ocesses. Advanced Ceramic Manufacturing and Moof new Ceramic Matrix Composite technologies that T-700 helicopter engine. Validate low cost man	lachining: at significantly						
FY 2012 Plans: Will apply erosion coating materials onto UH-60 and AH-64 rotor-b from 48 ? 24 a year and reduce coating costs from \$18K - \$14K per manufacturing processes to increase UAV heavy fuel engine perform UAV life cycle costs. Will integrate improved heavy fuel engine material effectiveness. Will develop cost effective processes for manufacture durability and reliability of UH-60 and AH-64 components. Will aut to reduce coating costs. Will manufacture high performance flexibly riveting techniques. Will improve auto clave, bonding lines and join costs. Will demonstrate improved cost effective Environmental Bat process improvements to reduce fabrication labor and weight for T	er rotor-blade. Will develop novel tooling approach rmance, fuel efficiency and reliability, which reduct anufacturing processes into UAV platforms to demuring nano-composite coatings which increases peromate nano-composite application processes and le airborne antennas substrates using both chemicants to increase yield rates which reduce antenna marrier Coating (EBC) deposition methods and combined in the composition methods and combined in the combined in	es and es overall constrate formance, equipment cal and nanufacturing	ng					
Title: Base Structural Armor		Articles:	14.695 0	13.293 0	-			
Description: Funding is provided for the following efforts								
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Demonstrated manufacture of ballistic armor using hot pressed sili process controls to lower the cost, weight and material flaws for lower the cost.	•	· ·						
FY 2011 Plans:								

 UNCLASSIFIED

 Army
 Page 4 of 11
 R-1 Line Item #187
 Volume 7 - 346

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJE	DATE: Ea			
ADDRODDIATION/RUDGET ACTIVITY D.4 ITEM NOMENCI ATLIDE DRO IE	DAIL. FE	bruary 2011		
	CT FG SCIENCE	NCE & TECH		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Show production yield for ballistic and blast armors suitable for combat vehicles and add on protective modules with scalable protection requirements. Show suitable base and add-on armor production facilities to begin transition of production protocols to Ground Combat Vehicle and other platform programs of record with these ballistic requirements.				
Title: Ground Systems Articles	4.666	13.293 0	6.368	
Description: The Ground Systems domain consists of Survivability (armor), Power and Mobility, Intelligent Ground Systems (robotics and unmanned systems)				
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Developed the automated specifications and process controls to demonstrate encapsulation of ceramic tiles for armored structures. Manufactured ballistic armor using hot pressed silicon carbide tiles, advanced ceramic composite lamination, and process controls to lower the cost, weight and material flaws for low rate production of combat vehicle modular armor.				
FY 2011 Plans: Demonstrate and qualify ballistic and blast armors, add on protective modules and limited production of build-to-print armor with automated specification controls. Demonstrate low yield automated assembly of ceramic composites suitable for the fabrication of Ballistic, Hull & Turret, and high yield production of affordable Silcon Carbide (SiC) and Titanium (Ti). Transparent Spinel Armor: Show high yield fabrication capability for ceramic composites with reduced weight and improved ballistic protection. Demonstrate manufacture process of spinel armor plates in sizes up to 600 square inch which will reduce cost and weight for tactical vehicles.				
FY 2012 Plans: Will develop aluminum oxide manufacturing processes for sintered Spinel powder applications. Will improve transparent armor production using a sintered technique which lowers the cost from \$3k to \$1.2k a square foot. Will developed improved manufacturing processes and process controls to lower the cost, weight and material flaws for low rate production of combat vehicle modular armor.				
Title: Sensors Articles	2.023	5.000 0	-	
Description: Funding is provided for the following efforts.				
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Infrared Focal Plane Arrays: Demonstrated high yield processes for infrared systems.				
FY 2011 Plans:				

Army Page 5 of 11 R-1 Line Item #187

	UNCLASSIFIED						
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Feb	ruary 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0708045A: End Item Industrial Preparedness Activities		PROJECT E25: MFG SCIENCE & TECH				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article (Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012		
Color 1280x1024 Micro-Displays: Demonstrate 4 inch wafer line w line to produce 6 inch wafers with increased display contrast and c tradeoff and selected initial process improvements. Demonstrate p and reduced surface defects.	olor performance. Produce initial lots of wafers to	o conduct					
Title: Third Gen Infrared (IR) Dewar / Cooler Aperture		Articles:	2.706 0	3.000 0	-		
Description: Funding is provided for the following efforts.							
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Reduced weight and manufacturing costs of Third Generation Infra range for the optics used on guided weapons and surveillance sigh	•	I reliability and					
FY 2011 Plans: Third Gen Infrared (IR) Dewar / Cooler Aperture: Begin transition o and combat support program of record.	of optimized production process and configuration	s to combat					
Title: Sensors, Electronics and Communications Systems		Articles:	6.909 0	5.119 0	18.400		
Description: The Sensors, Electronics and Communications Syste Reconnaissance and Targeting Systems, Mission Command Syste Device (IED) Detect/Defeat Systems.							
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Demonstrated high yield processes for focal plane array production fabrication of CdZnTe substrates for high definition FPA material. modules between GPS systems. Used new micro display pixel madisplay contrast, resolution and color performance for Color Micro I selected initial process improvements for Infrared Focal Plane Arra with increase in wafer yield and reduced surface defects for FPA's.	Baselined the process for the calibration of con anufacturing line to produce 6 inch wafers with ind Displays. Produced initial lots of wafers to condu tys (FPA). Demonstrated production line of varia	mmon time creased act tradeoff and					
FY 2011 Plans: Increase focal plan array substrate diameter and growth yield, improcesses to enable affordable large format, multi-color focal plane situational awareness and target detection. Demonstrate low volumes	e arrays for high definition infrared sensors that in	nprove					

UNCLASSIFIED

Army Page 6 of 11 R-1 Line Item #187

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Fel	oruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	PROJEC E25: MF	DJECT : MFG SCIENCE & TECH			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Demonstrate vacuum environment manufacturing processes for o sources, transducers, electronic circuits, and ballistic housings th					
FY 2012 Plans: Will develop a production capacity for low cost, very large, affordamaterials. Will improve HgCdTe pilot lines by increasing the dian for FPA production. Will develop single-layer crystal yield and defead substrates. Will reduce propagate density and decrease sumanufacture the final components package, demonstrate limited begin transition to Air Force GPS Wing and PEO C3T. Will develop integrated flexible display pilot production line for demonstrations night vision sensor optimization to reduce costs and increase relia	neters of substrates and reduce material waste, decemonstrate improved polishing processes for more of acceptage of FPA substrate and transition to production of chip scale atomic clock power sourcestop full color organic light emitting diodes (OLEDS) to system integrators. Will manufacture processing	reasing costs uniformed PEO. Will s and from a fully			
Title: Very High Power (VHP) Batteries:	ability from 1200 to 10000 floure per concer.	Articles:	2.807	2.500 0	
Description: Funding is provided for the following efforts. FY 2010 Accomplishments:					
Completed battery certifications and transitioned production capa	ibilities to support of combat vehicles and/or weapor	n systems.			
FY 2011 Plans: Demonstrate and transition efficient production line with reduced	flaws and automated specifications and process co	ntrols.			
Title: Low Cost Zinc Sulfide Missile Dome		Articles:	3.006 0	3.000 0	-
Description: Funding is provided for the following efforts.					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Developed manufacturing process for improved zinc sulfide (ZnS blank growth processes for long range missile domes.) chemical vapor deposition processes, and improve	ed ZnS dome			
FY 2011 Plans: Optimize post-deposition treatments and scale-up reactor product	tion for transition to PM JAGM.				
Title: Precision Munitions and Armament Systems		Articles:	5.638 0	2.893 0	9.67

UNCLASSIFIED

Army

Page 7 of 11 R-1 Line Item #187

Volume 7 - 349

	UNCLASSIFIED					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Fe	bruary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0708045A: End Item Industrial Preparedness Activities	PROJEC E25: MF				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Description: The Precision Munitions and Armament Systems do Logistics, Emerging Technologies and Advanced Energetics and		e Control,				
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Demonstrated large scale production run of PAX-3 environmenta processes for spider grenade initiation module scale up. Conduct molding processes. Optimized new generation insensitive muniti	ted fabrication of warhead case and assembly of fo	orging and				
FY 2011 Plans: Develop automated process for the assembly of the Grenade Initivalidates reliability of the automatic process. Demonstrate molyb process. Show reduced cost production processes for solvent leand simulation to enable the production of new generation insens lowered production cost (from \$5.00/lb to \$4.25/lb) and improve y artillery, 60 mm mortar and Spider munitions.	denum fast jet manufacturing improvements and ress propellant. Improve processing technology using the munitions formulation. Install equipment and	efine charge g modeling demonstrate				
FY 2012 Plans: Will develop a manufacturing process for molding the frag-sleeve Will develop field assisted spark technology and embedded tungs man-hours and lower cost. Will develop processes for residence IMX 104 manufacturing process and transition to PM-CAS. Will r free cladding process for large and medium caliber gun barrels. You enable higher performance ammunition. Will demonstrate M-C fabrication which reduces costs from \$6K to \$5K per warhead and	sten fragment molding processes which will reduce time, temperature, agitation rate and order of feed manufacture a crown breach design using a hexava Will develop a tantalum tungsten alloy protective b Charge liner improvements, billet fabrication and wa	production s to optimize alent chromium ore coating				
Title: Laser Ignition Articles:				3.000 0	-	
Description: Funding is provided for the following efforts.						
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Demonstrated prototype laser ignition diodes using a new manufalines and crystal assembly production controls.	acturing process. Demonstrated Phase Haser diod	les production				
FY 2011 Plans:						

 UNCLASSIFIED

 Army
 Page 8 of 11
 R-1 Line Item #187
 Volume 7 - 350

	UNCLASSII ILD					
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army			DATE: Fe	bruary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0708045A: End Item Industrial Preparedness Activities	PROJEC E25: MF				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article	Quantities in Each)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Complete transition of production specifications, methodology and for compact crystal assembly and electronics to facilitate full scale						
Title: Flexible Display Technology		Articles:	4.927 0	5.000 0	5.000	
Description: Funding is provided for the following efforts.						
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Increased yield, and demonstrated improved processing for higher	r resolution micro displays.					
FY 2011 Plans: Demonstrate sensor manufacturing processes and demonstrate flessensor power and improved computational performance.	exible electronics integrated with flexible displays fo	r reduced				
FY 2012 Plans: Will develop full color OLEDS from fully integrated GEN II pilot line	e for demonstrators to system integrators.					
Title: Soldier Systems		Articles:	1.959 0	-	3.378	
Description: The Soldier Systems domain consists of Combat Fe Clothing and Protective Equipment and Expeditionary Base Camp		r Sensors,				
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Developed mixing, calendaring and cutting/sealing processes for Mathroughput to reduce cost and pollution. Demonstrated fabric substinuous of shelters. Demonstrated high yield fabrication capab ballistic protection for body armor.	strates bonding, coatings and sealing processes for	AEROGEL				
FY 2012 Plans: Will develop manufacturing processes for nano-pigment and addit performance and reliability of chemical/biological (CB) resistant sh structures that meet joint expeditionary collective protection requiremanufacturing processes for lightweight body armor. Will demons of organic composite materials and co-curing processes for the X-	nelters. Will fabricate and demonstrate multiple 600 rements. Will develop new generation of scalable are strate stacked tooling which reduce costs for bulk may	ft tent nd affordable				
Title: Advanced Manufacturing Initiatives			-	-	3.073	

 UNCLASSIFIED

 Army
 Page 9 of 11
 R-1 Line Item #187
 Volume 7 - 351

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army	DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	PE 0708045A: End Item Industrial	E25: <i>MFG</i> \$	SCIENCE & TECH
BA 7: Operational Systems Development	Preparedness Activities		

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Description: The Advanced Manufacturing Initiatives domain includes the following areas: Model Based Manufacturing, Network Centric manufacturing data environments, Collaborative Manufacturing Modeling and Simulation, and advanced manufacturing technologies.	ζ.		
FY 2012 Plans: Will develop fully annotated 3D digital technical data packages (TDP) for vehicle passive and protective armor systems that car be used in design and manufacturing production lines. Will port the digital capabilities to depots and labs to facilitate integration refit and rebuild operations. Will develop advanced manufacturing environment.			
Title: Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfer Programs Articl	1.788 es: 0	-	1.821
Description: Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfer Programs			
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfer Programs			
FY 2012 Plans: Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfer Programs			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtot	ls 65.926	61.098	59.297

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

Not applicable for this item.

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

Army Page 10 of 11 R-1 Line Item #187 Volume 7 - 352

	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Army							DATE: February 2011				
2040: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army			R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0708045A: End Item Industrial Preparedness Activities				PROJECT EA2: MANTECH INITIATIVES (CA)					
	COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
	EA2: MANTECH INITIATIVES (CA)	40.333	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	40.333
	Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Congressional Interest Item funding for Mantech Initiatives.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Title: Congressional Interest Item funding for Mantech Initiatives.	40.333	-	_	
Articles:	0			
Description: Funding is provided for the following effort				
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Congressional Interest Item funding for Mantech Initiatives.				
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	40.333	-	-	

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics used in the preparation of this justification material may be found in the FY 2010 Army Performance Budget Justification Book, dated May 2010.

 UNCLASSIFIED

 Army
 Page 11 of 11
 R-1 Line Item #187
 Volume 7 - 353